



Application of *Euglena gracilis* in wastewater treatment processes

INNA NEZBRYTSKA^{1*}, SERGI SHAMANSKYI², LESIA PAVLIUKH², ZOYA GORBUNOVA¹

¹Institute of Hydrobiology of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

²National Aviation University, Kyiv, Ukraine

Abstract

Microalgae strains can rapidly remove biogenic elements, which contribute to the eutrophication of water bodies, from wastewater. In recent years, interest in microalgae strains has increased significantly. This research aimed to assess the ability of *Euglena gracilis* G.A. Klebs (Euglenozoa) to reduce the concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen in domestic wastewater to the level recommended by the EU legislation in a short time (4 days). In this study, wastewater with different nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations was used. *E. gracilis* reduced the concentration of phosphorus in the analyzed wastewater by 96–100% and that of nitrogen up to 63%. In addition, this study found that *E. gracilis* is resistant to high concentrations of these nutrients in water and accumulates biomass and photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll *a* and carotenoids) with increasing concentrations of phosphates (from 4 to 14 mg/l) and ammonium nitrogen (from 30 to 90 mg/l). These results suggest that *E. gracilis* is a promising alga for biological treatment of wastewater to reduce phosphorus and nitrogen concentrations.

Key words: *Euglena gracilis*, posttreatment of wastewater, nitrogen, phosphorus, environmental safety

Introduction

Euglena gracilis is a eukaryotic unicellular flagellate and belongs to the phylum Euglenozoa. It is found in freshwater habitats, quite often in eutrophic ponds and lakes (Tahedl and Häder, 2001; Azizullah et al., 2013; Borowitzka, 2018). The salient characteristic of *E. gracilis* that allows it to adapt to different environmental conditions is that its growth can be photoautotrophic (organisms that synthesize all necessary organic matter from inorganic substances using the energy from sunlight), heterotrophic (organisms that cannot produce their food, but instead avail nutrition from other sources of organic carbon, mainly plant or animal matter), or mixotrophic (organisms that follow a combination of both regimes) (Šantek et al., 2010; Buetow, 2011; Wang et al., 2018; Gissibl et al., 2019). *E. gracilis* can metabolize a wide range of alternative carbon sources such as glucose, glutamate, malate, pyruvate, lactate, and ethanol (Jasso-Chávez et al., 2005; Rodríguez-Zavala et al., 2010). *Euglena* species are extremely resistant to stres-

ful conditions such as low pH (below 4), temperature changes, and high concentrations of metal ions (Wang et al., 2015; Gissibl et al., 2019). In addition, studies show that *Euglena* species can actively accumulate biomass in domestic wastewater with a high content of biogenic elements, namely nitrogen and phosphorus (Mahapatra et al., 2013; Kuroda et al., 2018), and reduce their concentration by 98% and 85% (Mahapatra et al., 2013), respectively, which makes them successful bioagents for wastewater remediation.

The removal of nitrogen and phosphorus is an important step in wastewater treatment because the presence of a large amount of nutrients with wastewater in water bodies results in unbalanced eutrophication, which in turn leads to dangerous consequences such as “bloom” of cyanobacteria, accumulation of toxic substances in the aquatic environment, violation of the oxygen regime, etc. (Ryding and Rast, 1989; Ansari et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Hwang, 2020; Lin et al., 2021; Preisner et al., 2021). This issue needs to be addressed not only

* Corresponding author: Institute of Hydrobiology of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine; e-mail: inna_imn@ukr.net

for nature conservation and fishery purposes but also to overcome difficulties arising from drinking water supply and industrial water supply from eutrophic reservoirs. To prevent eutrophication and pollution of water bodies with phosphorus and nitrogen, a strict regulation of their concentrations in wastewater discharges has been established (Silva et al., 2015; European Commission, 2016; Mohsenpour et al., 2021). Taking into account the population equivalent (p.e.) defined by the EU legislation (Directive 91/271/EEC), wastewater discharges should meet the following requirements: for 10 to 100 thousand p.e. – total phosphorus and nitrogen content of up to 2 and 15 mg/l, respectively; for more than 100 thousand p.e. – total phosphorus and nitrogen content of up to 1 and 10 mg/l, respectively (Commission Directive 98/15/EC; Silva et al., 2015; Mohsenpour et al., 2021).

Microalgae are environmentally friendly and economical alternatives to traditional biological wastewater treatment processes (Abdel-Raouf et al., 2012; Molazadeh et al., 2019; Empanan et al., 2019; Pavliukh et al., 2020). Many researchers have reported high efficiency of using microalgae at various stages of wastewater treatment, both in the primary technology and at the final stages (Abdel-Raouf et al., 2012; Empanan et al., 2019; Mohsenpour et al., 2021; Geremia et al., 2021). The rationale for the use of mixotrophic microalgae in wastewater treatment is associated with the high lability of their metabolism and their ability to absorb inorganic and organic substances, thus decreasing their concentration in water (Kotoula et al., 2020; Geremia et al., 2021; Nezbrytska et al., 2021).

This research aimed to assess the ability of *E. gracilis* G.A. Klebs to reduce phosphorus and nitrogen concentrations in domestic wastewater to the level recommended by the EU legislation in a short time.

Materials and methods

Composition of wastewater

Synthetic domestic wastewater was used in the experiments. The chemical composition of synthetic wastewater was based on the formulation of a modified nutrient medium for the cultivation of euglenoid algae (Gromov, 1983): NaCl 0.1 g/l; MgSO₄ × 7H₂O 0.4 g/l; CaCl₂ × 2H₂O 0.05 g/l; glucose 5 g/l; α-glutamic acid 1 g/l; solution of microelements (g/l: H₃BO₃ 2.86; MnCl₂ × 4H₂O 1.81; ZnSO₄ × 7H₂O 0.222; MoO₃ 0.018; and NH₄VO₃ 0.023)

1 ml; and solution of iron EDTA [sodium iron (Fe³⁺) ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)] (g/l: FeSO₄ × 7H₂O 24.9 and EDTA 30.2) 1 ml. As the sources of phosphates and ammonium nitrogen, KH₂PO₄ and NH₄Cl, respectively, were added to the medium to achieve the following concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen:

- variant of experiment I: 4 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 30 mg N-NH₄⁺/l;
- variant of experiment II: 7 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 50 mg N-NH₄⁺/l;
- variant of experiment III: 14 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 90 mg N-NH₄⁺/l.

Microalgae strain and culture conditions

Cultures of unicellular algae *E. gracilis* G.A. Klebs (strain HPDP-114) were obtained from the Collection of Microalgae Cultures of the Institute of Hydrobiology of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (HPDP, Culture Collection of Algae in Hydrobiological Practice, Distribution and Perspective), Kyiv, Ukraine. Before using the cultures in the experiments, preliminary adaptation of the cultures to the studied compositions of wastewater was carried out (the adaptation period was 7 days). A stock culture of *E. gracilis* HPDP-114 was grown in 500-ml glass flasks containing 250 ml of modified Gromov's medium (1983). After a 7-day culture period, a suspension of algae cells in the exponential growth phase was obtained, which was used as an inoculum. The microalgae were inoculated in 500-ml glass flasks, which contained synthetic wastewater. The ratio of inoculum to wastewater was 1:10. The initial concentration of microalgae biomass was 120 mg/l. *Euglena gracilis* was cultivated in wastewater at 27 ± 2 °C under artificial lighting using fluorescent lamps Philips TL-D 18W 54-765 G13 daylight.

Analytical methods

Sampling for analysis was performed on 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 days of culturing of the microalgae. Concentrations of ammonium nitrogen and phosphate in water were investigated following the protocol of Arsan et al. (2006).

The percentage of nutrients removed from wastewater by the microalgae was calculated using equation (1):

$$\text{Removal efficiency [\%]} = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where C_0 is the initial concentration of nutrients and C_t is the concentration of nutrients at time t .

Growth intensity of the culture under the studied conditions was evaluated by changes in dry weight (DW). The algal cell suspension was filtered through predried and weighed cellulose nitrate membrane filters (Sartorius, pore size 0.45 μm). The filters with the precipitated algae were dried to a constant weight in a thermostat at 105 °C (Lim et al., 2010). Dry matter content was calculated using equation (2):

$$\text{Dry weight [mg/l]} = \frac{\text{Weight of filter with algal cells} - \text{Weight of blank filters}}{\text{Volume of algal culture}} \quad (2)$$

Biomass productivity was calculated using equation (3):

$$\text{Biomass productivity} = \frac{N - N_0}{T} \quad (3)$$

where N (mg/l day⁻¹) is the concentration of biomass at the end of cultivation, N_0 (mg/l day⁻¹) is the concentration of biomass at the beginning of cultivation, and T is the duration of cultivation (4 days).

The chlorophyll *a* content and the sum of carotenoids were determined using the extract spectrophotometric method (SCOR-UNESCO, 1966). To determine the content of photosynthetic pigments from each flask in which algae were cultured, 10 ml of cell suspension was used. Sampling for analysis was performed on 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 days of algae cultivation. Algae cells were separated from the culture medium by filtration using cellulose nitrate membrane filters (Sartorius, pore size 0.45 μm) under low vacuum conditions. To extract pigments, a filter with concentrated algae cells was placed in a porcelain mortar and ground with the addition of quartz sand and 90% acetone. Spectrophotometry of the extracts was carried out at the wavelengths of 664, 647, and 480 nm, which correspond to the maxima of light absorption in 90% acetone, respectively, for chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, and carotenoids (SCOR-UNESCO, 1966). In addition, nonspecific absorption of light by the extracts was measured at the wavelength of 750 nm. The concentration of chlorophyll was calculated using the equation of Jeffrey and Humphrey (1975), and the sum of carotenoids was calculated using the equation of Parsons and Strickland (1963).

Statistical analysis

All indicators were determined in triplicate. The results were statistically analyzed using the program SPSS Statistics (version 17). Arithmetic means and standard

deviations were calculated, and Student's *t*-test was carried out. The difference was considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

Percentage of phosphorus and nitrogen removal from wastewater using *E. gracilis*

The most active removal of phosphates (P-PO₄³⁻) from artificial domestic wastewater using *E. gracilis* was observed on the second day of the experiment for all concentrations tested (70, 76, and 95% for variants I, II, and III, respectively) – Table 1. At the end of the experiment, almost complete removal of P-PO₄³⁻ from all investigated wastewater compositions was recorded (96, 99, and 100% for variants I, II, and III, respectively). The removal of P-PO₄³⁻ indicated the use of this nutrient by the microalgae to support its metabolism and showed the ability of *E. gracilis* to effectively reduce the concentration of phosphorus in an aquatic environment. The dynamics of the changes in phosphorus and nitrogen concentrations in synthetic wastewater during the 4-day cultivation of *E. gracilis* are shown in Figure 1.

The percentage removal of ammonium nitrogen (N-NH₄⁺) from wastewater by *E. gracilis* was lower than that of phosphates. At the end of the experiment, N-NH₄⁺ ranged from 43 to 63%, depending on its initial concentration (Table 2). In addition, *E. gracilis* removed N-NH₄⁺ more efficiently starting from the third and fourth days of the experiment (as opposed to P-PO₄³⁻), which is apparently due to the presence of other forms of nitrogen in wastewater.

Changes in the intensity of *E. gracilis* growth

To assess the growth intensity of *E. gracilis* during its cultivation period in wastewater, changes in dry mass were studied (Fig. 2). On the first day of the experiment, the lowest rates of biomass accumulation were observed in all variants of wastewater, which is consistent with the lowest rates of removal of nutrients on the first day. Starting from the second day, biomass production increased due to the transition of the culture from the lag phase to the phase of intensive growth. As a consequence, the nutrient removal efficiency also significantly increased (see Table 1 and Table 2), which should be taken into account when using microalgae in wastewater treatment. At higher concentrations of nutrients,




Fig. 1. Changes in phosphorus (A) and nitrogen (B) concentrations in *Euglena gracilis* culture media during the 4-day experiment: I – 4 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 30 mg N-NH₄⁺/l; II – 7 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 50 mg N-NH₄⁺/l; III – 14 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 90 mg N-NH₄⁺/l

Table 1. Percentage of P-PO₄³⁻ removal from domestic wastewater using *Euglena gracilis* [%]

Variant of experiment	Day of experiment			
	1	2	3	4
I	23	95	96	100
II	21	76	97	99
III	20	70	88	96

Table 2. Percentage of N-NH₄⁺ removal from domestic wastewater using *Euglena gracilis* [%]

Variant of experiment	Day of experiment			
	1	2	3	4
I	7	14	47	63
II	6	16	28	43
III	4	18	31	44

the microalgae were characterized by higher indicators of biomass accumulation. For the variant with 4 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 30 mg N-NH₄⁺/l (variant of experiment I), biomass productivity was 112.50 mg/l day⁻¹; for the variant with 7 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 50 mg N-NH₄⁺/l (variant of experiment II), it was 137.00 mg/l day⁻¹; and for the variant with 14 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 90 mg N-NH₄⁺/l (variant of experiment III), it was 167.50 mg/l day⁻¹ ($P < 0.05$, for variants of experiments II and III in comparison with variant I). The obtained data indicate an increase in the functional activity and biomass yield of the microalgae with an increase in the concentrations of ammonium nitrogen and phosphates in water.




Fig. 2. Changes in the dry weight of *Euglena gracilis* in different compositions of wastewater during the 4-day experiment: I – 4 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 30 mg N-NH₄⁺/l; II – 7 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 50 mg N-NH₄⁺/l; III – 14 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 90 mg N-NH₄⁺/l

Changes in the content of chlorophyll *a* and the sum of carotenoids in *E. gracilis*

The photosynthetic activity of *E. gracilis* was determined by the change in the content of photosynthetic pigments, chlorophyll *a* and sum of carotenoids (Fig. 3). On the first day of culture in wastewater, no significant increase in the content of photosynthetic pigments, as well as dry mass, was observed in any variant of the experiment. Starting from the second day, positive dynamics of the accumulation of chlorophyll *a* and carotenoids were observed for all studied compositions of wastewater. During the first 3 days, no statistically significant difference ($P > 0.05$) was observed between the values of the content of photosynthetic pigments. By the end of the experiment, when *E. gracilis* was cultivated on wastewater with 4 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 30 mg N-NH₄⁺/l




Fig. 3. Changes in the content of chlorophyll *a* (A) and the sum of carotenoids (B) in *Euglena gracilis* in different compositions of wastewater during the 4-day experiment: I – 4 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 30 mg N-NH₄⁺/l; II – 7 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 50 mg N-NH₄⁺/l; III – 14 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 90 mg N-NH₄⁺/l

(variant of experiment I), the content of chlorophyll *a* was 7.49 ± 0.44 mg/g DW and that of carotenoids was 2.00 ± 0.16 mg/g DW. At the same time, when *E. gracilis* was cultivated with 7 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 50 mg N-NH₄⁺/l (variant of experiment II) and 14 mg P-PO₄³⁻/l + 90 mg N-NH₄⁺/l (variant of experiment III), the content of chlorophyll *a* was 8.77 ± 0.55 and 9.12 ± 0.56 mg/g DW, respectively, and the content of carotenoids was 2.16 ± 0.12 and 2.43 ± 0.15 mg/g DW, respectively. This indicates an increase in photosynthetic activity and assimilation of nitrogen and phosphorus compounds in microalgae cells, with an increased load of these nutrients in the aquatic environment.

Discussion

In this study, *E. gracilis* was characterized by its ability to remove ammonium nitrogen and phosphates from wastewater under the studied conditions. It removed inorganic phosphorus from the aquatic environment more efficiently than inorganic nitrogen, which could be attributable to their different initial concentrations in wastewater. In addition to NH₄Cl, which was the source of the inorganic nitrogen, α -glutamic acid (C₅H₉NO₄) was present in synthetic wastewater, which is a source of organic nitrogen. According to Buetow (2011), Wang et al. (2018), and Gissibl et al. (2019), *E. gracilis* is capable of mixotrophic growth and uses both inorganic and organic substances to maintain metabolism, which further explains the lower percentage of inorganic nitrogen removal from wastewater. The percentage of ammonia nitrogen removal by *E. gracilis*

increased with an increase in the duration of exposition (up to 3 and 4 days), which, of course, is associated with a decrease in the concentration of organic nitrogen. Previous studies (Oswald and Gotaas, 1957; Abdel-Raouf et al., 2012; Emparan et al., 2019) have reported that photosynthesis plays a key role in the removal of inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus compounds from wastewater by microalgae. The results of the present study are consistent with this observation, as the content of photosynthetic pigments in *E. gracilis* increased with an increase in the period of exposition and the nutrient concentration in wastewater. According to Kitaya et al. (1998), the efficiency of photosynthesis in *E. gracilis* was about 60 times higher than in rice and 2 times higher than in algae of the genus *Chlorella*. Thus, due to this high photosynthetic activity, *E. gracilis* can increase the concentration of oxygen in wastewater, which has a positive effect on the oxidation and mineralization of organic impurities.

Analysis of the data obtained showed that *E. gracilis* is characterized by a higher efficiency of phosphorus removal from wastewater than some green microalgae from the genera *Chlamydomonas* and *Chlorella* (Kong et al., 2010; Bhatnagar et al., 2010; Ruiz-Marin et al., 2010). According to Kong et al. (2010), *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* P.A. Dangeard removed 12.5 to 15.4% of P-PO₄³⁻ from municipal wastewater during a 10-day cultivation period. *Chlorella minutissima* Fott & Novakova has been shown to remove up to 30% of phosphorus concentration from domestic wastewater (Bhatnagar et al., 2010). The results obtained herein showed that

the phosphorus removal efficiency of *E. gracilis* from domestic wastewater was 96–100% during the 4-day cultivation period. At the maximum contents of phosphorus (14 mg/l) and nitrogen (90 mg/l) in wastewater, an increase in *E. gracilis* biomass productivity was observed, which indicates the active absorption and assimilation of these nutrients by algae cells. Therefore, the use of *E. gracilis* in wastewater treatment systems has several advantages: a rapid decrease in the concentration of the major nutrients, which contribute to the eutrophication of water bodies, to a level below that established by the EU legislation for wastewater discharges; and an increase in oxygen concentration due to the high photosynthetic activity and direct removal of organic pollutants from wastewater, which was attributable to mixotrophic growth.

Despite these advantages, some practical problems may limit the use of *E. gracilis* in wastewater treatment. As reported in the literature, the major problems of using microalgae in tertiary wastewater treatment are biomass harvesting and utilization because secondary pollution of wastewater or environmental pollution can occur due to the decomposition of algal biomass (Pandian and Thomas, 2019; Molazadeh et al., 2019; Geremia et al., 2021).

According to previous studies (Pittman et al., 2011; Abdel-Raouf et al., 2012; Mahapatra et al., 2013; Eladel et al., 2019; Pavliukh et al., 2020; Geremia et al., 2021), the accumulated algal biomass in wastewater can serve as a raw material for the production of industrially valuable metabolites. It has been reported that *E. gracilis* accumulated a significant amount (up to 50–80% of DW) of paramylon, a type of β -1,3-glucan that is unique to Euglenozoa, in particular species of the genus *Euglena* (Suzuki et al., 2013; Grimm et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2018; Harada et al., 2020). In addition, *E. gracilis* has been investigated as a source of lipids (up to 20% of DW) for biofuel production and proteins (33–61% of DW) (Wang et al., 2015; Gissibl et al., 2019; Khatiwada et al., 2020). The fatty acid profile of *E. gracilis* is characterized by a high content (> 50% of total lipid) of polyunsaturated fatty acids, including α -linolenic, arachidonic, eicosapentaenoic, and docosahexaenoic acids (Korn, 1964; Wang et al., 2015). Thus, by appropriate treatment, the accumulated biomass of *E. gracilis* can be converted into fuel or additional raw materials to obtain other commercially valuable products.

For microalgae biomass harvesting from wastewater, filtration, centrifugation, flocculation, flotation and immobilization, as well as various combinations of these methods, are currently the most widely used methods (Larsdotter, 2006; Bhatt et al., 2014; Geremia et al., 2021). Some of these methods are labor and energy intensive (e.g., filtration and centrifugation) or accompanied by the addition of chemicals to wastewater (e.g., flocculation), and hence, their use is limited (Bhatt et al., 2014). Previous studies have reported that many species of algae (such as *Botryococcus braunii* Kützing, *Chlorella vulgaris* Beyerinck [Beijerinck], *Chlorella ellipsoidea* Gerneck, *Neochloris oleoabundans* S. Chantana-Chat and Bold, *Scenedesmus obliquus* (Turpin) Kützing, etc.) are characterized by their biosedimentation and bioflocculation abilities, which facilitate the collection of their biomass, but these abilities are less pronounced in flagellated microalgae (Stahl, 1880; Reynolds, 1984; Larsdotter, 2006; Salim et al., 2011; Moorthy et al., 2017; Patyna et al., 2018). To summarize, there is a need for further research aimed at developing effective methods for harvesting *E. gracilis* biomass.

Conclusions

The *E. gracilis* Klebs HPDP-114 strain can reduce the concentration of nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) in domestic wastewater that cause eutrophication of water bodies. In this study, 96–100% of phosphates ($P-PO_4^{3-}$) and up to 63% of ammonium nitrogen ($N-NH_4^+$) were removed from wastewater. The results obtained showed that *E. gracilis* can reduce the concentration of $P-PO_4^{3-}$ in wastewater to a level below that recommended by the EU legislation for wastewater discharges within 2–4 days, depending on its initial concentration. In addition, analyses showed that *E. gracilis* is characterized by resistance to high concentrations of nutrients in water and the ability to accumulate biomass and photosynthetic pigments with increasing concentrations of $P-PO_4^{3-}$ (from 4 to 14 mg/l) and $N-NH_4^+$ (from 30 to 90 mg/l) in wastewater. These results suggest that *E. gracilis* is a promising alga for biological treatment of wastewater to remove phosphorus and nitrogen.

The removal of *E. gracilis* biomass from wastewater is the primary problem that limits the use of this flagellated microalgae in wastewater treatment processes. Therefore, further research is needed to develop cost-

effective and environmentally friendly methods for the harvesting and utilization of microalgae biomass.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Department of Ecology of Aquatic Plants and Toxicology, Institute of Hydrobiology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, for technical support to conduct this study and for maintaining the strain of algae.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abdel-Raouf N., Al-Homaidan A.A., Ibraheem I.B.M. (2012) *Microalgae and wastewater treatment*. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 19: 257–275.
- Ansari A.A., Gill S.S., Lanza G.R., Rast W. (2011) *Eutrophication: causes, consequences and control*. Netherlands. Springer.
- Arsan O.M., Davydov O.A., Dyachenko T.M., Yevtushenko N.Yu., Zhukinsky V.M., Kyrpenko N.I., Klenus V.H., Kipnis L.S., Lynnyk P.M., Konovets I.M. et al. (2006) *Metody gidroekologichnykh doslidzhen poverkhnevyykh vod. (Methods of hydroecological investigations of surface waters.)*. Kyiv. Logos Press.
- Azizullah A., Murad W., Adnan M., Ullah W., Häder D.-P. (2013) *Gravitactic orientation of Euglena gracilis – a sensitive endpoint for ecotoxicological assessment of water pollutants*. Front. Environ. Sci. 1: 4.
- Bhatt N.C., Panwar A., Bisht T.S., Tamta S. (2014) *Coupling of algal biofuel production with wastewater*. Sci. World J. 2014: 1–10.
- Borowitzka M.A. (2018) *Biology of microalgae*. [in:] *Microalgae in health and disease prevention*. Ed. Levine I., Fleurence J., Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Elsevier: 23–72.
- Buetow D.E. (2011) *Euglena*. Hoboken. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Commission Directive 98/15/EC of 27 February 1998 Amending Council Directive 91/271/EEC with Respect to Certain Requirements Established in Annex I thereof (Text with EEA Relevance) – Publications Office of the EU. Available online: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/ff7ec087-8cc3-4619-bffc-b08ea4883d2c>.
- European Commission (2016) Eighth report on the implementation status and the programmes for implementation (as required by Article 17) of Council Directive 91/271/EEC concerning urban waste water treatment. COM (2016) 105. Available online: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52016SC0045&from=en>
- Eladel H., Esakkimuthu S., Abomohra A. (2019) *Dual role of microalgae in wastewater treatment and biodiesel production*. [in:] *Application of microalgae in wastewater treatment*. Ed. Gupta S.K., Bux F. Springer: 85–121.
- Emparan Q., Harun R., Danquah M.K. (2019) *Role of phycoremediation for nutrient removal from wastewaters: a review*. Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res. 17(1): 889–915.
- Geremia E., Ripa M., Catone C.M., Ulgiati S. A (2021) *Review about microalgae wastewater treatment for bioremediation and biomass production – a new challenge for Europe*. Environments 8(12): 136.
- Gissibl A., Sun A., Care A., Nevalainen H., Sunna A. (2019) *Bioproducts from Euglena gracilis: synthesis and applications*. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7: 108.
- Grimm P., Risse J.M., Cholewa D., Müller J.M., Beshay U., Friehs K., Flaschel E. (2015) *Applicability of Euglena gracilis for biorefineries demonstrated by the production of a-tocopherol and paramylon followed by anaerobic digestion*. J. Biotechnol. 215: 72–79.
- Gromov B.V. (1983) *Cultivation of collection strains of algae*. Leningrad: Leningr. Gos. Univ.
- Harada R., Nomura T., Yamada K., Mochida K., Suzuki K. (2020) *Genetic engineering strategies for Euglena gracilis and its industrial contribution to sustainable development goals: a review*. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 8: 790.
- Hwang S.J. (2020) *Eutrophication and the ecological health risk*. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health. 17(17): 6332.
- Jasso-Chávez R., Garcáa-Cano I., Marin-Hernández A., Mendoza-Cózatl D., Rendon J.L., Moreno-Sánchez R. (2005) *The bacterial-like lactate shuttle components from heterotrophic Euglena gracilis*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1709: 181–190.
- Jeffrey S., Humphrey F.H. (1975) *New spectrophotometric equations for determining chlorophyll a, b, c1 and c2 in higher plants, algae and natural phytoplankton*. Biochem. Physiol. Pflanz. 167: 171–194.
- Khatiwada B., Sunna A., Nevalainen H. (2020) *Molecular tools and applications of Euglena gracilis: from biorefineries to bioremediation*. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 117(12): 3952–3967.
- Kitaya Y., Kibe S., Oguchi M., Tanaka H., Miyatake K., Nakano Y. (1998) *Effects of CO₂ and O₂ concentrations and light intensity on growth of microalgae (Euglena gracilis) in CELSS*. Life Support Biosph. Sci. 5(2): 243–247.
- Kong Q.X., Li L., Martinez B., Chen P., Ruan R. (2010) *Culture of microalgae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii in wastewater for biomass feedstock production*. Biochem. Biotechnol. 160: 9–18.
- Korn E.D. (1964) *The fatty acids of Euglena gracilis*. J. Lipid Res. 5: 352–362.
- Kotoula D., Iliopoulou A., Irakleous-Palaiologou E., Gatidou G., Aloupi M., Antonopoulou P., Fountoulakis M.S., Stasinakis A.S. (2020) *Municipal wastewater treatment by combining in series microalgae Chlorella sorokiniana and macrophyte Lemna minor: preliminary results*. J. Clean. Prod. 271: 122704.
- Kuroda M., Horino T., Inoue D., Morikawa M., Ike M. (2018) *Biomass production and nutrient removal through cultivation of Euglena gracilis in domestic wastewater*. Japanese J. Wat. Treat. Biol. 54: 105–113.
- Larsdotter K. (2006) *Wastewater treatment with microalgae – a literature review*. Vatten 62: 31–38.

- Li G.L., Bai X., Yu S., Zhang H., Zhu Y.G. (2012) *Urban phosphorus metabolism through food consumption: the case of China*. J. Industr. Ecol. 16(4): 588–599.
- Lim S.L., Chu W.L., Phang S.M. (2010) *Use of Chlorella vulgaris for bioremediation of textile wastewater*. Bioresour. Technol. 101(19): 7314–7322.
- Lin S.S., Shen S.L., Zhou A., Lyu H.M. (2021) *Assessment and management of lake eutrophication: a case study in Lake Erhai, China*. Sci. Total Environ. 751: 141618.
- Mahapatra D.M., Chanakya H.N., Ramachandra T.V. (2013) *Euglena sp. as a suitable source of lipids for potential use as biofuel and sustainable wastewater treatment*. J. Appl. Phycol. 25(3): 855–865.
- Mohsenpour S.F., Hennige S., Willoughby N., Adeloye A., Gutierrez T. (2021) *Integrating micro-algae into wastewater treatment: a review*. Sci. Total Environ. 752: 142168.
- Molazadeh M., Ahmadzadeh H., Pourianfar H.R., Lyon S., Rampelotto P.H. (2019) *The use of microalgae for coupling wastewater treatment with CO₂ biofixation*. Front Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7: 42.
- Moorthy R.K., Premalatha M., Arumugam, M. (2017) *Batch sedimentation studies for freshwater green alga Scenedesmus abundans using combination of flocculants*. Front. Chem. 5: 37.
- Nezbrýtska I.M., Shamanskyi S.Y., Boichenko S.V., Kharchenko G.V. (2021) *Some problems of the use of microalgae for nitrogen and phosphorus removal from wastewater (a review)*. Hydrobiol. J. 57(2): 62–78.
- Oswald W.J., Gotaas H.B. (1957) *Photosynthesis in sewage treatment*. Trans. Am. Soc. Civil. Eng. 122: 73–105.
- Pandian S.K. Thomas J. (2019) *Integrated study on nutrients and heavy metals removal from domestic wastewater using free and immobilized Scenedesmus rubescens (Chlorophyta, Chlorophyceae)*. Inter. J. Algae. 21: 83–96.
- Parsons T.R., Strickland J.D.H. (1963) *Discussion of spectrophotometric determination of marine plant pigments and carotenoids*. J. Marine. Res. 21(3): 155–163.
- Patyna A., Płaczek M., Witczak S. (2018) *Study of Chlorella vulgaris sedimentation process*. MATEC Web of Conferences. 240: 05023.
- Pavliukh L., Shamanskyi S., Boichenko S., Jaworski A. (2021) *Evaluation of the potential of commercial use of microalgae in the world and in Ukraine*. Aircraft Engin. Aerospace Technol. 93(3): 429–436.
- Pittman J., Dean A., Osundeko O. (2011) *The potential of sustainable algal biofuel production using wastewater resources*. Bioresour. Technol. 102: 17–25.
- Preisner M., Neverova-Dziopak E., Kowalewski Z. (2021) *Mitigation of eutrophication caused by wastewater discharge: a simulation-based approach*. Ambio. 50(2): 413–424.
- Reynolds C.S. (1984) *The ecology of freshwater phytoplankton*. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Rodríguez-Zavala J.S., Ortiz-Cruz M.A., Mendoza-Hernández G., Moreno-Sánchez R. (2010) *Increased synthesis of α -tocopherol, paramylon and tyrosine by Euglena gracilis under conditions of high biomass production*. J. Appl. Microbiol. 109(6): 2160–2172.
- Ruiz-Marin A., Mendoza-Espinosa L., Stephenson T. (2010) *Growth and nutrient removal in free and immobilized green algae in batch and semi continuous cultures treating real wastewater*. Bioresour. Technol. 101: 58–64.
- Ryding S.O., Rast W. (1989) *The control of eutrophication of lakes and reservoirs*. Paris: Unesco, The Parthenon Publishing Group.
- Salim S., Bosma R., Vermuë M.H., Wijffels R.H. (2011) *Harvesting of microalgae by bio-flocculation*. J. Appl. Phycol. 23(5): 849–855.
- Šantek B., Felski M., Friehs K., Lotz M., Flaschel E. (2010) *Production of paramylon, a β -1,3-glucan, by heterotrophic cultivation of Euglena gracilis on potato liquor*. Eng. Life Sci. 10: 165–170.
- SCOR-UNESCO. (1966) *Determination of photosynthetic pigments*. Monographs on Oceanographic Methodology 1. Paris: UNESCO.
- Silva N.F.P., Gonçalves A.L., Moreira F.C., Silva T.F.C.V., Martins F.G., Alvim-Ferraz M.C.M., Boaventura R.A.R., Vilar V.J.P., Pires J.C.M. (2015) *Towards sustainable microalgal biomass production by phycoremediation of a synthetic wastewater: A kinetic study*. Algal Res. 11: 350–358.
- Stahl E. (1880) *Über den Einfluß von Richtung und Stärke der Beleuchtung auf einige Bewegungerscheinungen im Pflanzenreich*. Bot. Zeit. 38: 297–413.
- Sun A., Hasan M.T., Hobba G., Nevalainen H., Te'o J. (2018) *Comparative assessment of the Euglena gracilis var. saccharophila variant strain as a producer of the β -1,3-glucan paramylon under varying light conditions*. J. Phycol. 54(4): 529–538.
- Suzuki K., Nakano R., Yamaguchi H., Maruta A., Nakano Y. (2013) *Function of paramylon from Euglena gracilis as filler*. J. Soc. Powder Technol. Japan. 50: 728–732.
- Tahedl H., Häder D.P. (2001) *Automated biomonitoring using real time movement analysis of Euglena gracilis*. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 48(2): 161–169.
- Wang Y., Seppänen-Laakso T., Rischer H., Wiebe M.G. (2018). *Euglena gracilis growth and cell composition under different temperature, light and trophic conditions*. PloS One 13(4): e0195329.