

Anna Napierała-Filipiak, Antoni Werner

Antagonism of higher fungi to *Heterobasidion annosum* (Fr.) Bref. in laboratory conditions

Abstract: Interactions between 42 higher fungi and six strains of *Heterobasidion annosum* (Fr.) Bref., representing P and S intersterility groups were studied *in vitro*. Part of the higher fungi was represented by strains of ectomycorrhizal fungi indigenous to old disease centres caused by *H. annosum* in pine stands. Variation in antagonism to the pathogen was observed both within and between the species. The antagonism was dependent on growth rates of fungi in control. Fungi growing faster displayed a greater ability to arrest the development of the pathogen mycelia. The same tendency was observed in the strains of *H. annosum*, which generally grew faster and their growth was restricted less than that of higher fungi. Two strains of an ectedomycorrhizal fungus Mrg X, accompanied by a helper bacteria reduced mycelial extension of *H. annosum* by 72% and 76%. Among ectomycorrhizal fungi, the most effective antagonists were strains of *Xerocomus subtomentosus, Amanita muscaria, A. citrina* and *Laccaria laccata.* Three various types of interaction between the studied fungi were observed. Inhibition zone between interacting mycelia appeared often, however the fungi displayed this antagonistic property not to all strains of the pathogen. Although mycelia of *H. annosum* invaded colonies of the other fungi frequently, in none of the treatments growth of the higher fungus over the opposing colony of the pathogen was observed.

Key words: antagonism, mycorrhizal fungi, Heterobasidion annosum, paired cultures

Address: A. Napierała-Filipiak. Department of Phytopathology, Institute of Dendrology, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Parkowa 5, 62-035 Kórnik, Poland

A. Werner. Department of Phytopathology, Institute of Dendrology, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Parkowa 5, 62-035 Kórnik, Poland

Introduction

Several mechanisms have been suggested by which mycorrhizal fungi reduce the probability of infection by root rotting pathogens (Zak 1964; Marx 1972; Perrin 1985). Besides antibiosis and physical barrier of the fungal mantle (Marx and Davey 1969) and accumulation of plant-produced antimicrobial substances, such as phenols (Duchesne et al., 1987) or volatiles (Krupa and Fries 1971; Krupa and Nylund 1972; Krupa et al., 1973), mycorrhizal fungi can reintroduce and support antagonistic, beneficial rhizosphere microorganisms (Linderman 1987). Moreover, by utilising the surplus of root carbohydrates the fungi can render the feeder roots less attractive to pathogenic root-infecting fungi (Zak 1964).

Despite the relatively high resistance of feeder roots of conifers to infection by *H. annosum*, various unfavourable changes in site conditions, which negatively influence host vitality, can make thin roots vulnerable to infection by *H. annosum* (Schoeneweiss 1975). In nurseries and young plantations coniferous trees may be infected through thin roots (Korhonen 1978; Łakomy 1998; Sierota 1998). Results of recent studies on infection of young nonsuberized, suberized and woody roots of Scots pine (Werner 1990, 1991, 1993) and Norway spruce (Asiegbu et al., 1993; Heneen et al., 1994a, 1994b) have shown that constitutive and induced resistance factors can be overcome by various infection procedures.

The incidence of *Heterobasidion* root rot is correlated with the influence of the soil microbial community (Gibbs 1967; Mańka 1970), including mycorrhizal fungi (Moreau and Schaeffer 1959; Orłoś and Dominik 1960; Kowalski 1974).

Although a large number of microorganisms such as bacteria, actinomycetes and saprotrophic fungi antagonistic to *H. annosum*, have been studied thoroughly in laboratory conditions (Holdenrieder and Greig 1998), very little attention has been paid to mycorrhizal fungi as potential agents of biological control of *Heterobasidion* root rot.

With the persistent heavy damage caused by *H. annosum* to forestry and the necessity of reforestation of uncultivated areas or wastelands, the interest in integrated control of the pathogen has been increasing. Adoption of new trends in silviculture requires selection of appropriate mycorrhizal fungi, which would be capable of both protecting host plants against root diseases and persisting in sites exhibiting environmental stresses (Kropp and Langlois 1990). To address this question, the antagonism of higher fungi, including several mycorrhizal fungi indigenous to centres of disease caused by *H. annosum* to six strains of the pathogen was evaluated in *in vitro* co-culture petri plate studies.

Materials and methods

Higher fungi

The mycorrhizal fungi used for the screening test were represented by several strains originating from centres of the disease caused by H. annosum attack on first rotation pine stands in forest districts Mokrz (latitude 52°44'N longitude 16°16'E), Murzynówko (latitude 52°09'N longitude 17°24'E), Kalina (latitude 53°06'N longitude 16°47'E) Klotyldzin (latitude 53°58'N longitude 17°04'E) and Borówiec (latitude 52°16'N longitude 17°02'E) (fresh coniferous forest sites) and Lipusz (latitude 54°09'N longitude 17°46'E) (mixed fresh coniferous forest sites) formed by pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) with admixture of birch (Betula verrucosa Ehrh.), oak (Quercus robur L.) and maple (Acer platanoides L.). The age of the pine stands varied from 50 to 60 years. Simultaneously with the mycological observations (Werner and Napierała 1995, 1999; Napierała 1996), fruit bodies of higher fungi have been collected for the isolation of pure cultures. Strains of ectendomycorrhizal fungus (Mrg X) were obtained from Prof. R. (Ascomycotina) Pachlewski. The rest of the screened fungi (from our departmental collection) originated from several pine stands showing absence of visible symptoms of root rot caused by H. annosum

Isolation and maintenance of the mycorrhizal fungi in culture were performed according to the methods described by Pachlewski (1983) and Schenck (1984). Collected sporophores after cleaning and washing were surface sterilized in 95% ethanol. Then the caps of fruit bodies were split across in aseptic conditions. From the exposed interior surface small pieces of tissue were removed and placed onto nutrient agar in plates. Pp basic medium (Pachlewski 1983) was used. One liter of the medium contained 20 g glucose, 5 g maltose, 0.5 g $(NH_4)_2C_4H_4O_6$, 1 g KH_2PO_4 , 0.5 g MgSO₄×7H₂O, 0.5 ml 1% solution of $C_3H_4(OH)(COOH)_3Fe \times H_2O$, 0.5 ml 0.2% solution of ZnSO₄, 50 g thiamine-HCl and 15 g agar. Tissue explants were incubated in darkness at room temperature. After establishing, the mycelia emerging from the explants were aseptically transferred onto fresh nutrient agar to set up a stock culture. To avoid contamination with bacteria, Streptomycin at concentration of 100 ppm was used.

Pathogen

Heterobasidion annosum (Fr.) Bref. was represented by six strains obtained by isolation from roots of trees growing in centres of activity of the pathogen in pine and spruce stands. The strains represented two intersterility groups: S (S/2, 2c-2481, 6. 51.2) and P (W/2, K/2, P/1). The field isolates were assigned to intersterility groups basing on their ability to heterokaryotize homokaryotic tester strains of the P or S groups (Korhonen 1978).

Agar plate antagonism studies

Forty two strains of higher fungi (most of them representing ectomycorrhizal species) (Table 1) were used in comparative studies on antagonistic effects against six strains of H. annosum. Antagonism was studied in petri plates, according to the method described by Marx (1969). Petri plates (Ø 10 cm) containing 10 ml of Pp nutrient agar (Pachlewski 1983) were inoculated with discs (5 mm in diameter) of one-month-old mycelial mat of the mycorrhizal fungi. After establishing the mycelium on the medium, at the opposite site of the plate, in a distance of 3 cm, an inoculum of the pathogen was placed. The standard sources of the pathogen were two-week-old vegetative mycelia growing at 24°C on malt-agar medium. Inoculum discs were removed from the periphery of the cultures with a cork borer. All treatments consisted of 3 replicates. Several very slow growing mycorrhizal fungi had an advantage of one week. The cultures were incubated at 24°C in the dark. The final calculations were made after 2 weeks, when an equilibrium was reached. The fungal growth was determined in cm² of mycelium surface and the zone of inhibition was measured.

m 11	1 .	- ·		1 • 1	· ·	1	•	•	
Inhla		nocioc	<u>ot</u>	higher	tunal	11000	11	ccrooning	tocto
I adde	1. 1	SDECIES	υı	INGUEL	TUILET	useu	111	SCIECIIIIS	ισεισ
				0 -	. 0				

Species of higher fungi	Collection No.	Derivation of fungi
Amanita citrina (Schaeff.) S.F. Gray.	17–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
A. muscaria (L.) Hooker	16–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
A. muscaria	16–2	Collection DF. ID PAN
A. muscaria	16–3	Kalina
A. muscaria	16-8	Murzynówko
A. muscaria	16–11	Kalina
Cenococcum sp.	27–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
Hebeloma crustuliniforme (Bull.) Quèl.	22–1	Pachlewski´s collection
Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca (Fr.) Maire	55–1	Mokrz
H. aurantiaca	55–4	Mokrz
H. aurantiaca	55–5	Kalina
Laccaria laccata (Scop. Fr.) Berk. Et Br.	9–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
Mrg X	18–1	Pachlewski´s collection
Mrg X	18–1z	Pachlewski´s collection
Mrg X	19–1	Pachlewski´s collection
Mrg X	19–1z	Pachlewski´s collection
Paxillus involutus (Batsch) Fr.	5–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
P. involutus	5–3	Collection DF. ID PAN
P. involutus	5–8	Lipusz
P. involutus	5-13	Borówiec
P. involutus	5–14	Lipusz
P. involutus	5–15	Kalina
P. involutus	5–16	Kalina
P. involutus	5–17	Klotyldzin
Phallus impudicus Pers.	26–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
Suillus bovinus (Fr.) Knutze	15–3	Mokrz
S. bovinus	15–4	Mokrz
S. bovinus	15–5	Lipusz
S. granulatus (Fr.) Knutze	44–1	Borówiec
S. granulatus	44–2	Borówiec
S. luteus (Fr.) S.F. Gray	14–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
S. luteus	14–3	Collection DF. ID PAN
S. luteus	14-4	Collection DF. ID PAN
S. luteus	14–7	Collection DF. ID PAN
S. luteus	14–8	Collection DF. ID PAN
S. luteus	14–9	Collection DF. ID PAN
S. variegatus (Fr.) Knutze	68–1	Lipusz
Xerocomus badius (Fr.) Kühn.	2–3	Collection DF. ID PAN
X. badius	2–6	Kalina
X. badius	2–15	Kalina
X. subtomentosus (Fr.) Quèl.	1–1	Collection DF. ID PAN
X. subtomentosus	1–2	Collection DF. ID PAN

Analysis of data

The inhibiting effect of individual strain of the higher fungus on mycelial extension of the pathogen was calculated for mean area of colony from all treatments for six strains of *H. annosum*. In the same way the cumulative inhibiting effect, both of six test strains of the pathogen on individual strain of the higher fungus and of 42 higher fungi on each test strain of H. annosum was calculated. The individual effect of higher fungi on the growth of each test strain of H. annosum was estimated as a percentage of colony area reduced in paired culture in comparison with the control on a scale: 0 = to 4%, 1 = from 5% to 24%, 2 = from 25% to 49%, 3 = from 50% to 74%, 4 = from 75% to 100%. In order to evaluate variation in the mutual antagonistic influence of screened fungi, the data from each treatment were analysed using Statistica software procedures (Statistica PL 1997). Two-way analysis of variance (Anova/Manova), based on individual data, and multiple comparisons of means using Tukey's HSD test at significance level =0.05 were performed.

Results

Results of comparative studies on the interaction of fungi in paired cultures are summarized in Table 2 and 3. The screening test showed that in comparison with the ability of the studied higher fungi to arrest the development of H. annosum (Table 2), in the majority of cases their growth was also restricted cosiderably by the pathogen (Table 3). From among of all the screened fungi the growth of H. annosum was most restricted by two strains of ectendomycorrhizal fungus Mrg X (19-1z and 18-1z) and one strain of a nonmycorrhizal fungus Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca (55–1), which reduced the growth of the pathogen respectively by 72%, 76% and 45% in comparison with the control. Apart from a strain of *Phal*lus impudicus (26–1), which does not form symbiotic association with Scots pine in pure culture, and which restricted the growth of the pathogen by 49%, the rest of the ectomycorrhizal fungi displayed weak antagonism (Table 2). In this group of fungi, the most effective in arresting the development of *H*. annosum were strains of Xerocomus subtomentosus (1-1 and 1-2), Amanita muscaria (16-3 and 16-2), A. citrina (17-1), Laccaria laccata (9-1), Suillus bovinus (15-4 and 15-3) and Xerocomus badius (2-3). In general, there was no strict correlation between the degree of inhibition of H. annosum by strains of mycorrhizal fungi and their ability to resist the negative influence of the opposing mycelia of the pathogen (Table 4). As examples A. muscaria (16-2) restricted the development of the pathogen by 25%, whereas its growth was inhibited by 39%. In contrary, A. muscaria (16–3), which restricted of the opposing pathogen mycelia by 24%, was inhibited by 72% in comparison with the control.

A comparison between the species indicates that strains of *A. muscaria, S. bovinus, S. luteus* and *X. subtomentosus* inhibited the growth of the pathogen more than strains of *P. involutus.* Variation between species of mycorrhizal fungi was similar to that among strains of that single species. The diversity in the ability to restrict the growth of the pathogen between several selected species of mycorrhizal fungi and among strains of the species are shown in diagrams (Figs. 1 and 2).

Analysis of variance (Table 5 and 6) revealed a highly significant variation (p < 0.001) between the screened higher fungi in regard to the negative influence on pathogen growth. Also variation between strains of *H. annosum* in the ability to arrest the growth of mycorrhizal fungi proved to be statistically highly significant (p < 0.001).

Generally, mycelial extension of the fungi in paired cultures was correlated with their growth rate in the control. Fungi growing fast arrested development of the opposing fungi more effectively. It was also valid for strains of *H. annosum*. Growth of the test strains of *H. annosum* was influenced variously by the mycorrhizal fungi (Table. 7), however their behaviour in paired cultures was apparently dependent on the growth rate in the control (Table 8).

Although in comparison with the pathogen strains the mycorrhizal fungi grew much slower in all treatments, attempts to describe the zone of inhibition have been made. A more or less broad inhibition zone was formed between 33 strains of mycorrhizal fungi and the pathogen strains (Table 9). In some treatments a wide "demarcation zone", up to 15 mm, was formed between the interacting mycelia. In such cases, the growth of both fungi was clearly stopped. In other treatments the attempts to describe the zone of inhibition failed since the growth of both organisms was stopped at the contact line without any visible inhibition zone, or mycelia of the pathogen were not arrested by mycorrhizal fungi but grew over them (Table 9). Whenever the pathogen invaded the colonies of mycorrhizal fungi, no further growth of the latter was observed. There was a variation in the ability to form inhibition zone between the test strains of H. annosum and an individual strain of mycorrhizal fungus. In most cases, mycorrhizal fungi displayed such antagonistic properties to a limited number of the pathogen strains. In all screening experiments only mycelia of five strains of higher fungi, such as A. citrina (17-1), H. aurantiaca (55-1), X. badius (2-6) and two strains of ectendomycorrhizal fungus Mrg X (18–1z and 19–1z), exert the effective inhibition zone in co-culture with all test strains of the pathogen and were not invaded by their mycelia (Table 9). In none of the treatments growth of the mycorrhizal fungus over the opposing colony of the pathogen was observed.

Hygophoropis aurantiaca55-560,04a*ImageImageSuillus futures1-1459.43aImageImagePaxillus involutis5-1459.44aImageImagePaxillus involutis5-1358.35aImageImagePrinolata5-1358.19aImageImageControl22-158.19aImageImageSolvinus15-557.94aImageImageSolvinus15-557.94aImageImageSolvinus5-1657.21aImageImageS. involutis5-1657.21aImageImageS. invigutus68-156.84aImageImageS. invigutus58-156.05aImageImageS. invigutus58-153.69aImageImageS. intras14-953.68aImageImageS. lutres14-353.22aImageImageS. lutres14-353.22aImageImageS. lutres14-152.51aImageImageS. lutres14-152.22aImageImageS. lutres14-152.22aImageImageS. lutres14-152.61aImageImageS. lutres14-152.61aImageImageS. intros16-1151.71a	Higher fungi	Collection No.	Mean area of colony of <i>H. annosum</i> (in cm ²)					
Sullas latas14-859.83a	Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca	55–5	60,04	a*				
Paxillus involutus5-1459.44aImage of the second	Suillus luteus	14–8	59.83	a				
P. involutus5-1358,35aImage: section of the	Paxillus involutus	5-14	59.44	a				
Hebelona crustuliniforme $22-1$ 58.19 aImage of the set of	P. involutus	5–13	58,35	a				
Control 58.16 a b c S. bovinus 15-5 57.94 a b c S. granulatus 44-2 57.57 a b c P. involutus 5-3 57.44 a b c P. involutus 5-16 57.21 a b c S. variagatus 68-1 56.84 a b c P. involutus 5-17 56.05 a b c P. involutus 5-13 53.28 a b c S. luteus 14-3 53.22 a b c P. involutus 5-1 53.22 a b c P. involutus 5-15 52.95 a b c P. involutus 5-15 52.95 a b c S. granulatus 44-1 52.61 a b c S. fureus<	Hebeloma crustuliniforme	22–1	58.19	a				
S. bovinus15-557.94abIS. granulatus44-257.57abIP. involutus5-357.44abIP. involutus5-1657.21abIS. variegatas68-156.84abCP. involutus5-1756.05abcP. involutus5-1756.05abcS. luteus14-353.28abcS. luteus14-353.22abcS. luteus14-353.22abcH. anamida nusscaria16-153.22abcP. involutus51-552.95abcH. anamida nusscaria16-153.22abcP. involutus51-552.95abcMrg X19-152.70abcMrg X19-152.70abcS. granulatus44-152.61abcA. muscaria16-852.32abcS. luteus14-151.71abcA. muscaria16-1151.71abcMrg X18-151.68abcA. muscaria16-1151.71abcS. luteus14-152.15abcMrg X18-151.68a <t< td=""><td>Control</td><td></td><td>58.16</td><td>a</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Control		58.16	a				
S. granulatus 44-2 57.57 a b Image: constraint of the state of the	S. bovinus	15–5	57.94	a	b			
P. involutus $5-3$ 57.44 a b $$ $P.$ involutus $5-16$ 57.21 a b $$ $P.$ involutus $58-1$ 56.84 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-8$ 53.69 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-8$ 53.69 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-8$ 53.68 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-1$ 53.22 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-15$ 52.95 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-15$ 52.95 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-15$ 52.92 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-15$ 52.92 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-15$ 52.92 a b c $S. gramulicus$ $14-1$ 52.16	S. granulatus	44–2	57.57	a	b			
P. involutus 5-16 57.21 a b Image: constraint of the state of the	P. involutus	5–3	57.44	a	b			
S. variegatus $68-1$ 56.84 a b c P. involutus $5-17$ 56.05 a b c P. involutus $5-8$ 53.69 a b c S. luteus $14-9$ 53.68 a b c Amanita muscaria $16-1$ 53.22 a b c Amanita muscaria $16-1$ 53.22 a b c P. involutus $5-1$ 53.22 a b c H. aurantiaca 55.4 53.04 a b c S. granulatus $5-15$ 52.95 a b c Mrg X $19-1$ 52.70 a b c S. granulatus $44+1$ 52.61 a b c A. muscaria $16-8$ 52.32 a b c S. luteus $14-1$ 52.15 a b c Cencoccum spitz $2-15$ 51.80 a b c Xardonius <td>P. involutus</td> <td>5–16</td> <td>57.21</td> <td>a</td> <td>b</td> <td></td> <td></td>	P. involutus	5–16	57.21	a	b			
P. involutus 5-17 56.05 a b c P. involutus 5-8 53.69 a b c S. luteus 14-9 53.68 a b c S. luteus 14-3 53.28 a b c S. luteus 14-3 53.22 a b c Mannita muscaria 16-1 53.22 a b c P. involutus 5-1 53.22 a b c H. aurantiaca 55-4 53.04 a b c P. involutus 5-15 52.95 a b c Mrg X 19-1 52.07 a b c A. muscaria 16-8 52.32 a b c S. luteus 14-1 52.15 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.17 a b c Zerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 <t< td=""><td>S. variegatus</td><td>68–1</td><td>56.84</td><td>a</td><td>b</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	S. variegatus	68–1	56.84	a	b			
P. involutus 5-8 53.69 a b c S. luteus 14-9 53.68 a b c S. luteus 14-3 53.28 a b c Amanita muscaria 16-1 53.22 a b c P. involutus 5-1 53.22 a b c P. involutus 5-15 52.95 a b c Mrg X 19-1 52.70 a b c S. granulatus 44-1 52.61 a b c A. muscaria 16-8 52.32 a b c S. luteus 14-1 52.61 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c S. luteus 14-1 52.16 a b c Karcomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Karcomus badius 2-15 51.18	P. involutus	5–17	56.05	a	b	с		
S. luteus 14-9 53.68 a b c S. luteus 14-3 53.28 a b c Amanita muscaria 16-1 53.22 a b c P. involutus 5-1 53.22 a b c H. aurantiaca 55-4 53.04 a b c P. involutus 5-15 52.95 a b c Mrg X 19-1 52.70 a b c S. granulatus 44-1 52.61 a b c A. muscaria 16-8 52.32 a b c S. luteus 14-1 52.16 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Kerocomus badius 2-15 51.18 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c c S. luteus 14-7 49.93	P. involutus	5–8	53.69	a	b	с		
S. luteus 14-3 53.28 a b c Amanita muscaria 16-1 53.22 a b c P. involutus 5-1 53.22 a b c H. aurantiaca 55-4 53.04 a b c P. involutus 5-15 52.95 a b c Mrg X 19-1 52.70 a b c S. granulatus 44-1 52.61 a b c A. muscaria 16-8 52.32 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.81 a b c S. luteus 14-1 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c	S. luteus	14–9	53.68	a	b	с		
Amanita muscaria16-1 53.22 abcP. involutus5-1 53.22 abcH. aurantiaca 55.4 53.04 abcP. involutus $5-15$ 52.95 abcMrg X19-1 52.70 abcS. granulatus $44-1$ 52.61 abcA. muscaria16-8 52.32 abcS. luteus14-1 52.61 abcA. muscaria16-11 51.71 abcA. muscaria16-11 51.71 abcMrg X18-1 51.69 abcMrg X18-1 51.68 abcCencoccum sp. $27-1$ 51.30 abcS. luteus14-4 50.78 abcS. luteus14-7 49.93 abcS. luteus14-7 49.93 abcS. luteus14-7 49.93 abcS. bovinus15-3 46.64 abcS. bovinus15-3 46.64 abcA. muscaria16-3 40.62 bc $$	S. luteus	14–3	53.28	a	b	с		
P. involutus $5-1$ 53.22 a b c $H.$ aurantiaca $55-4$ 53.04 a b c $P.$ involutus $5-15$ 52.95 a b c $Mrg X$ $19-1$ 52.70 a b c $S.$ granulatus $44-1$ 52.61 a b c $A.$ muscaria $16-8$ 52.32 a b c $S.$ luteus $14-1$ 52.15 a b c $A.$ muscaria $16-11$ 51.71 a b c $A.$ muscaria $2-6$ 51.69 a b c $Cencoccum sp.$ $27-1$ 51.30 a b c $S.$ luteus $2-15$ 51.18 a b c $S.$ luteus $14-7$ 49.93 a b c $S.$ luteus $15-3$ 46.64 a </td <td>Amanita muscaria</td> <td>16–1</td> <td>53.22</td> <td>a</td> <td>b</td> <td>с</td> <td></td>	Amanita muscaria	16–1	53.22	a	b	с		
H. aurantiaca $55-4$ 53.04 a b c P. involutus $5-15$ 52.95 a b c Mrg X $19-1$ 52.70 a b c S. granulatus $44-1$ 52.61 a b c A. muscaria $16-8$ 52.32 a b c S. luteus $14-1$ 52.15 a b c A. muscaria $16-11$ 51.71 a b c A. muscaria $16-11$ 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius $2-6$ 51.69 a b c Mrg X $18-1$ 51.68 a b c Cenococcum sp. $27-1$ 51.30 a b c S. luteus $14-4$ 50.78 a b c S. luteus $14-7$ 49.93 a b c S. luteus $14-2$ 48.53 a b c S. butius $1-2$ </td <td>P. involutus</td> <td>5-1</td> <td>53.22</td> <td>a</td> <td>b</td> <td>с</td> <td></td>	P. involutus	5-1	53.22	a	b	с		
P. involutus 5-15 52.95 a b c Mrg X 19-1 52.70 a b c S. granulatus 44-1 52.61 a b c A. muscaria 16-8 52.32 a b c S. luteus 14-1 52.15 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Mrg X 18-1 51.68 a b c Cencoccum sp. 27-1 51.30 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c S. luteus 16-2 48.38 a b c S. botinus 15-3 46.64 a	H. aurantiaca	55–4	53.04	a	b	с		
Mrg X19-1 52.70 abcS. granulatus44-1 52.61 abcA. muscaria16-8 52.32 abcS. luteus14-1 52.15 abcA. muscaria16-11 51.71 abcXerocomus badius2-6 51.69 abcMrg X18-1 51.68 abcCenococcum sp.27-1 51.30 abcX. badius2-15 51.18 abcS. luteus14-4 50.78 abcS. luteus14-7 49.93 abcS. luteus14-7 49.93 abcS. luteus1-2 48.53 abcS. luteus15-346.64abcS. bovinus15-346.64abcS. bovinus15-446.10abcLaccaria laccata9-1 42.70 abcA. muscaria16-3 40.62 bcLX. subtomentosus1-1 38.19 bcdH. aurantiaca26-1 37.74 bcd	P. involutus	5–15	52.95	a	b	с		
S. granulatus 44-1 52.61 a b c A. muscaria 16-8 52.32 a b c S. luteus 14-1 52.15 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Mrg X 18-1 51.68 a b c Cenococcum sp. 27-1 51.30 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.53 a b c S. luteus 15-3 46.64 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a	Mrg X	19–1	52.70	a	b	с		
A. muscaria 16-8 52.32 a b c S. luteus 14-1 52.15 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Mrg X 18-1 51.68 a b c Cenococcum sp. $27-1$ 51.30 a b c X. badius 2-15 51.18 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c S. luteus 1-2 48.53 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.38 a b c S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10	S. granulatus	44–1	52.61	a	b	с		
S. luteus 14-1 52.15 a b c A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Mrg X 18-1 51.68 a b c Cenococcum sp. 27-1 51.30 a b c X. badius 2-15 51.18 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.53 a b c A. muscaria 16-2 48.38 a b c S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c Laccaria laccata 9-1 42.70 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b	A. muscaria	16-8	52.32	a	b	с		
A. muscaria 16-11 51.71 a b c Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Mrg X 18-1 51.68 a b c Cenococcum sp. 27-1 51.30 a b c X. badius 2-15 51.18 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.53 a b c A. muscaria 16-2 48.38 a b c S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c X. badius 2-3 46.17 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c Laccaria laccata 9-1 42.70 a b c A. eitrina 17-1 42.58 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b	S. luteus	14–1	52.15	a	b	с		
Xerocomus badius 2-6 51.69 a b c Mrg X 18-1 51.68 a b c Cenococcum sp. 27-1 51.30 a b c X. badius 2-15 51.18 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.53 a b c A. muscaria 16-2 48.38 a b c S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c X. badius 2-3 46.17 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c Laccaria laccata 9-1 42.70 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a <td>A. muscaria</td> <td>16–11</td> <td>51.71</td> <td>a</td> <td>b</td> <td>с</td> <td></td>	A. muscaria	16–11	51.71	a	b	с		
Mrg X 18-1 51.68 a b c Cenococcum sp. 27-1 51.30 a b c X. badius 2-15 51.18 a b c	Xerocomus badius	2–6	51.69	a	b	с		
Cenococcum sp.27-151.30abcX. badius2-1551.18abcS. luteus14-450.78abcS. luteus14-749.93abcX. subtomentosus1-248.53abcA. muscaria16-248.38abcS. bovinus15-346.64abcS. bovinus15-346.17abcS. bovinus15-446.10abcLaccaria laccata9-142.70abcA. muscaria16-340.62bcMuscaria17-142.58abcA. citrina17-138.19bcdHallus impudicus26-137.74bcdH. aurantiaca55-132.31cdd	Mrg X	18–1	51.68	a	b	с		
X. badius 2-15 51.18 a b c S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.53 a b c A. muscaria 16-2 48.38 a b c S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c X. badius 2-3 46.17 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d A. auscaria 26-1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55-1 32.31 c d d	Cenococcum sp.	27–1	51.30	a	b	с		
S. luteus 14-4 50.78 a b c S. luteus 14-7 49.93 a b c X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.53 a b c A. muscaria 16-2 48.38 a b c S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c X. badius 2-3 46.17 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.70 a b c A. citrina 16-3 40.62 b c A. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c H. aurantiaca 26-1 37.74 b c d	X. badius	2–15	51.18	a	b	с		
S. luteus14-749.93abcX. subtomentosus1-248.53abcA. muscaria16-248.38abcS. bovinus15-346.64abcX. badius2-346.17abcS. bovinus15-446.10abcS. bovinus15-446.10abcA. citrina9-142.70abcA. citrina17-142.58abcA. subtomentosus1-138.19bcdPhallus impudicus26-137.74bcdH. aurantiaca55-132.31Lcd	S. luteus	14–4	50.78	a	b	с		
X. subtomentosus 1-2 48.53 a b c A. muscaria 16-2 48.38 a b c S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c X. badius 2-3 46.17 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.70 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. muscaria 16-3 40.62 b c d X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus 26-1 37.74 b c d	S. luteus	14–7	49.93	a	b	с		
A. muscaria $16-2$ 48.38 abcS. bovinus $15-3$ 46.64 abcX. badius $2-3$ 46.17 abcS. bovinus $15-4$ 46.10 abcLaccaria laccata $9-1$ 42.70 abcA. citrina $17-1$ 42.58 abcA. muscaria $16-3$ 40.62 bcX. subtomentosus $1-1$ 38.19 bcdH. aurantiaca $55-1$ 32.31 cdd	X. subtomentosus	1–2	48.53	a	b	с		
S. bovinus 15-3 46.64 a b c X. badius 2-3 46.17 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c Laccaria laccata 9-1 42.70 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. muscaria 16-3 40.62 b c X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus 26-1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55-1 32.31 c d	A. muscaria	16–2	48.38	a	b	с		
X. badius $2-3$ 46.17 a b c S. bovinus $15-4$ 46.10 a b c Laccaria laccata $9-1$ 42.70 a b c A. citrina $17-1$ 42.58 a b c A. muscaria $16-3$ 40.62 b c X. subtomentosus $1-1$ 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus $26-1$ 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca $55-1$ 32.31 c d d	S. bovinus	15–3	46.64	a	b	с		
S. bovinus 15-4 46.10 a b c Laccaria laccata 9-1 42.70 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. muscaria 16-3 40.62 b c X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus 26-1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55-1 32.31 c d	X. badius	2–3	46.17	a	b	с		
Laccaria laccata 9-1 42.70 a b c A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. muscaria 16-3 40.62 b c X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus 26-1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55-1 32.31 c d d	S. bovinus	15–4	46.10	a	b	с		
A. citrina 17-1 42.58 a b c A. muscaria 16-3 40.62 b c X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus 26-1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55-1 32.31 c d	Laccaria laccata	9–1	42.70	a	b	с		
A. muscaria 16-3 40.62 b c X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus 26-1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55-1 32.31 c d	A. citrina	17–1	42.58	a	b	с		
X. subtomentosus 1-1 38.19 b c d Phallus impudicus 26-1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55-1 32.31 c d	A. muscaria	16–3	40.62		b	с		
Phallus impudicus 26–1 37.74 b c d H. aurantiaca 55–1 32.31 c d	X. subtomentosus	1–1	38.19		b	с	d	
H. aurantiaca 55–1 32.31 c d	Phallus impudicus	26-1	37.74		b	с	d	
	H. aurantiaca	55–1	32.31			с	d	
Mrg X 18–1z 17.00 d	Mrg X	18–1z	17.00				d	
Mrg X 19–1z 14.63 d	Mrg X	19–1z	14.63				d	

Table. 2. Effect of higher fungi on growth of six strains of Heterobasidion annosum in paired cultures

* The same letters indicate homogenous groups according Tukeys HSD test at level =0.05

Higher fungi	Collection No.	Mean area of colony of higher fungi in control (in cm ²)	Mean area of colony of higher fungi in paired cultures (in cm ²)								
Amanita muscaria	16–3	36.9	10.24	a*							
Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca	55–1	16.7	7.41	а	b						
Xerocomus subtomentosus	1–1	27.2	6.34		b	с					
Control		6.28	6.28		b	с					
Laccaria laccata	9–1	14.4	5.75		b	с	d				
A. muscaria	16–2	8.7	5.36		b	с	d				
Mrg X	18–1z	15.5	4.20		b	с	d				
A. muscaria	16–11	0.9	4.02			с	d	e	f		
Mrg X	19–1z	5.5	3.39			с	d	e	f	g	
Suillus bovinus	15–3	20.0	3.09				d	e	f	g	h
Mrg X	19–1	15.0	2.78				d	e	f	g	h
Mrg X	18-1	10.2	2.71				d	e	f	g	h
X. badius	2–3	2.0	1.64					e	f	g	h
S. luteus	14-4	6.1	1.44					e	f	g	h
S. luteus	14–7	1.0	1.11					e	f	g	h
S. bovinus	15–4	6.6	1.07						f	g	h
H. aurantiaca	55–4	5.7	1.05						f	g	h
X. badius	2–6	1.8	0.98						f	g	h
S. luteus	14–9	6.3	0.96						f	g	h
Hebeloma crustuliniforme	22–1	2.7	0.86						f	g	h
S. luteus	14–1	7.3	0.83						f	g	h
Phallus impudicus	26-1	6.4	0.78						f	g	h
Cenococcum sp.	27–1	1.2	0.73							g	h
S. granulatus	44–1	1.8	0.57							g	h
A. citrina	17–1	0.5	0.51							g	h
X. subtomentosus	1–2	1.5	0.49							g	h
S. bovinus	15–5	2.8	0.46							g	h
S. luteus	14–8	6.5	0.43							g	h
S. variegatus	68–1	3.7	0.42							g	h
P. involutus	5–17	1.2	0.39							g	h
P. involutus	5–15	0.8	0.36							g	h
P. involutus	5-1	0.8	0.34							g	h
H. aurantiaca	55–5	7.2	0.33							g	h
P. involutus	5–8	1.0	0.31								h
S. luteus	14–3	1.0	0.28								h
S. granulatus	44–2	0.7	0.27								h
P. involutus	5-13	1.3	0.26								h
A. muscaria	16-11	0.9	0.23								h
A. muscaria	16-8	0.6	0.22								h
P. involutus	5–16	1.1	0.22								h ·
X. badius	2–15	1.2	0.21								h
P. involutus	5-14	1.1	0.18								h
P. involutus	5–3	1.3	0.14								h

Table. 3. Inhibiting effect of Heterobasidion annosum test strains on growth of higher fungi in paired cultures

* The same letters indicate homogenous groups according Tukeys HSD test at level =0.05

I link on funci	Collection No.	Inhibition of mycelial extension in comparison with control (in %)				
	Collection No.	Pathogen	Higher fungi			
Amanita citrina	17–1	1	0			
A. muscaria	16–1	18	57			
A. muscaria	16–2	25	39			
A. muscaria	16–3	24	72			
A. muscaria	16–8	7	63			
A. muscaria	16–11	11	75			
Cenococcum sp.	27–1	0	39			
Hebeloma crustuliniforme	22–1	10	68			
Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca	55–1	45	56			
H. aurantiaca	55–4	10	82			
H. aurantiaca	55–5	0	94			
Laccaria laccata	9–1	21	60			
Mrg X	18–1	13	73			
Mrg X	18–1z	72	24			
Mrg X	19–1	22	83			
Mrg X	19–1z	76	38			
P. involutus	5–1	14	39			
P. involutus	5–3	4	89			
P. involutus	5–8	4	69			
P. involutus	5–13	5	77			
P. involutus	5–14	6	84			
P. involutus	5–15	3	55			
P. involutus	5–16	10	80			
P. involutus	5–17	8	69			
Phallus impudicus	26–1	49	88			
Suillus bovinus	15–3	11	87			
S. bovinus	15–4	25	84			
S. bovinus	15–5	7	84			
S. granulatus	44–1	9	71			
S. granulatus	44–2	2	61			
S. luteus	14–1	14	87			
S. luteus	14–3	14	72			
S. luteus	14-4	2	76			
S. luteus	14–7	23	0			
S. luteus	14–8	7	93			
S. luteus	14–9	17	85			
S. variegatus	68–1	12	84			
Xerocomus badius	2–3	0	18			
X. badius	2–6	0	45			
X. badius	2–15	12	83			
X. subtomentosus	1–1	36	77			
X. subtomentosus	1–2	22	68			

Table 4. Mutual inhibition of	of mycelial extensior	expressed as	s percentage of o	colony area reduce	ed in comparison with control
rabie in mataaa ministrion (or my comar encomoron	i en prese eu ue	percentage or .		a meenpaneen with eenteer



Fig. 1. Mean areas of colonies of six strains of Heterobasidion annosum in paired cultures with several strains of higher fungi



Fig. 2. Mean areas of colonies of six strains of *Heterobasidion annosum* in paired cultures with several strains of *Suillus bovinus*, *S. luteus* and *S. variegatus*

Source of variation	df	MS	F	p
Higher fungi (F)	42	98.1409	43.6166	0.0000
Replications (R)	2	2.2858	1.0159	0.3627
FxR	84	1.4327	0.6367	0.9946
Error	623	2.2501		
Total	751			

Table 5. Analysis of variance for mycelial extension (in cm²) of 42 strains of higher fungi in paired cultures with six strains of *Heterobasidion annosum*

Table 6. Analysis of variance for mycelial extension (in cm²) of six strains of *Heterobasidion annosum* in paired cultures with 42 strains of higher fungi

Source of variation	df	MS	F	p
Heterobasidion annosum (P)	6	7484.69	22.1164	0.0000
Replications (R)	2	34.650	0.1024	0.9027
PxR	12	26.793	0.0792	0.9999
Error	731	338.422		
Total	751			

Plate tests showed that there are various types of interactions between mycorrhizal fungi and the pathogen strains. In general, they can be divided into three main types.

1. The two fungi equally restricted each other. The zone of inhibition was clearly recognizable (Fig. 3).

2. The growth of interacting fungi was restricted to a greater or lesser degree. The zone of inhibition was

not observed. Mycelial extension was ceased on contact line or the pathogen marginally invaded the colony of the mycorrhizal fungus (Fig. 4).

3. The growth of the mycorrhizal fungus was arrested and its mycelium was completely invaded by the pathogen (Figs. 5).



Fig. 3. Interaction between Suillus bovinus (15-3) and Heterobasidion annosum (K/2)

Llich on fun ci	Collection			Strains of	H. annosum		
Higner fungi	No.	W/2	2c 2481	S/2	P/1	6.51.2.	K/2
Amanita citrina	17–1	0	0	0	+1	0	+2
A. muscaria	16–1	+1	0	0	+2	+2	+1
A. muscaria	16–2	+1	+1	0	+2	+2	+2
A. muscaria	16–3	+2	+1	0	+2	+2	+1
A. muscaria	16–8	+1	0	0	0	+2	0
A. muscaria	16–11	+1	+1	+2	0	+1	0
Cenococcum sp.	27–1	+2	+1	0	0	0	0
Hebeloma crustuliniforme	22–1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1
Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca	55–1	_*	+3	+1	+2	+2	+2
H. aurantiaca	55–4	+1	0	0	0	+2	+1
H. aurantiaca	55–5	0	0	+1	0	0	0
Laccaria laccata	9–1	0	+2	0	+2	+2	+2
Mrg X	18-1	0	+1	0	+1	+2	0
Mrg X	18–1z	+4	+3	+3	+3	+4	+4
Mrg X	19–1	+1	0	0	+1	+2	+1
Mrg X	19–1z	+4	+4	+3	+3	+4	+3
Paxillus involutus	5-1	+2	0	0	+1	+1	0
P. involutus	5–3	0	0	0	0	+1	0
P. involutus	5–8	0	0	+1	0	+1	0
P. involutus	5–13	+1	0	+1	0	0	0
P. involutus	5-14	+2	0	+1	0	0	0
P. involutus	5–15	0	0	+1	0	+1	0
P. involutus	5–16	+1	0	+2	0	0	0
P. involutus	5–17	+1	0	+2	0	0	0
Phallus impudicus	26–1	-	+2	+2	+3	+1	+2
Suillus bovinus	15–3	0	+1	+1	+1	+2	+1
S. bovinus	15–4	+1	+1	+2	+2	+2	+1
S. bovinus	15–5	+1	0	+1	0	0	0
S. granulatus	44–1	0	0	+1	0	+1	+1
S. granulatus	44–2	0	0	+1	0	0	0
S. luteus	14–1	0	+1	0	+2	+2	0
S. luteus	14–3	0	0	0	+2	+1	0
S. luteus	14-4	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0
S. luteus	14–7	+1	-	+1	+2	+2	+1
S. luteus	14–8	0	0	0	+1	+1	+1
S. luteus	14–9	+1	+1	0	+2	+2	+1
S. variegatus	68–1	+1	0	+1	+1	+2	0
Xerocomus badius	2–3	0	0	0	0	0	0
X. badius	2–6	+1	+1	0	0	-	0
X. badius	2–15	+1	0	+1	0	+2	0
X. subtomentosus	1–1	-	+2	0	+3	+2	+2
X. subtomentosus	1–2	+2	0	0	+3	+2	+1

Table 7. Inhibition of mycelial extension of six strains of *Heterobasidion annosum* in paired cultures with 42 strains of higher fungi expressed in degrees

* – lack of observation

Scale: 0: 0–4% +1: 5–24%

+2:25-49%

+3: 50-74%

+4: 75–100%

75



Fig. 4. Interaction between Amanita muscaria (16-3) and Heterobasidion annosum (W/2)



Fig. 5. Interaction between Sullus luteus (14–4) and Heterobasidion annosum (W/2)

Strains of <i>H. annosum</i>	Intersterility group	Mean area of colony in control (in cm ²)	Mean area of colony in paired cultures (in cm ²)					
K/2	Р	67.0	59.458	a*				
Control		58.0	58.030	а				
2c 2481	S	65.0	57.611	a	b			
P/1	Р	62.3	51.252		b	с		
6.51.2	S	60.6	47.139			с		
S/2	S	50.7	45.112			с		
W/2	Р	42.2	36.281				d	

Table 8. Cumulative inhibiting effect of 42 strains of higher fungi on growth of test strains of *Heterobasidion annosum* in paired cultures

 * The same letters indicate homogenous groups according Tukey's HSD test at level =0.05

Discussion

It has been shown many times that mycorrhizal fungi inhibit growth of phytopathogenic fungi *in vitro*. Some of them can protect conifer seedlings from root decay caused by species of *Fusarium*, *Pythium*, *Rhizoctonia* and *Phytophthora* (Duchesne et al. 1989b).

Antagonism of ectomycorrhizal fungi to *H. annosum* was studied previously by Hyppel 1968a, 1968b; Cervinkova 1990; Hanso 1993. Hyppel (1968a) in co-culture petri plate studies tested 85 strains of mycorrhizal fungi and the pathogen, and found among them strong antagonists, strains with weak antagonistic properties and strains lacking this feature. Results of the present study confirm the great variation among the higher fungi in the antagonism to *H. annosum*. It is noteworthy that variation in this regard between strains of several species was even wider than interspecific variation.

The inhibition of fungal growth in vitro are linked with the production of antibiotics (Marx 1972; Sylvia and Sinclair 1983; Sampagni et al. 1985; Kope and Fortin 1988) and mycolytic activity (de Vries and Wessels 1972; Peberdy 1979). In the soil environment the effects of antibiotics are modified due to the varying concentration of nutrients, the presence of microbial population and the physicochemical properties of the soil (Strzelczyk 1988). Moreover, culture conditions and physiological age of mycelia affect the kind and amount of the produced antimicrobial compounds (Omura and Tanaka 1984; Vandamme 1984; Duchesne et al. 1989a) and lytic enzymes (Peberdy, 1976; Farquar and Peterson 1990). This permits only a very careful transfer of results obtained in vitro to a soil system.

Under the culture conditions of this study the majority of the mycorrhizal fungi displayed weak negative effect on the growth of the pathogen strains. At the same time, the fungi were characterized by a relatively slow growth in control, whereas the studied strains of *H. annosum* grew rapidly. The antagonism between fungi in paired cultures was apparently dependent on

their growth rates. Strains of mycorrhizal fungi characterized by a faster growth displayed a greater ability to inhibit the growth of *H. annosum*. A similar tendency was observed in the studied strains of the pathogen. Mycolytic activity is known to occur in various fungi from all taxonomic groups (Paberdy 1976, 1979; Hashiba and Yamada 1982). In studies by Farquar and Peterson (1990) Fusarium oxysporum, a soil-born pathogen caused protoplast release from Paxillus involutus. Previous investigations on the effects of H. annosum on mycorrhizal fungi have not reported this phenomenon. Also this study did not provide any information on the mechanisms involved in restriction of the growth of mycorrhizal fungi by the pathogen. Although H. annosum displays a very low competitive saprotrophic ability in a soil environment (Rishbeth 1950; Gibbs 1967), studies on cell wall modification of mycorrhizal fungi in co-culture with different strains of the pathogen are needed.

Life functions of mycorrhizal fungi depend mainly on the supply of carbohydrates and many other compounds from the host-plant. The activity of mycorrhizal fungi, including their antagonistic abilities, is also modified by the mycorrhizosphere microorganisms. Thus, it seems that the antagonism of mycorrhizal fungi in paired cultures in the absence of soil microorganisms and of the host plant which stimulates growth of mycorrhizal fungi may reflect the growth tendencies of the studied fungi on a medium, rather than their antagonistic properties *in vivo*.

A relatively high level of pathogen growth inhibition in plate tests was recorded for two strains of the ectendomycorrhizal fungus Mrg X, accompanied by a helper bacteria (unpublished information), marked with symbols 18–1z and 19–1z. Bacteria-free cultures (18–1 and 19–1) inhibited the growth the pathogen to a lesser extend. This suggests that the antagonism of those fungi was affected mostly by their interaction with the bacteria. In forest conditions the growth of pathogens and mycorrhizal fungi is conditioned by a complex of various factors, including the presence of

Higher fungi	Collection No.	Inhibition zone(in mm)	Invasion P* M	Invasion M P
Amanita citrina	17–1	0–9	-	-
A. muscaria	16–1	0	- +	-
A. muscaria	16–2	0	- +	-
A. muscaria	16–3	0–3	- +	-
A. muscaria	16–8	0–6	- +	-
A. muscaria	16–1	0–6	- +	-
Cenococcum sp.	27–1	0-8	- +	-
Hebeloma crustuliniforme	22–1	0	- +	-
Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca	55–1	1–3	-	-
H. aurantiaca	55–4	0–1	- +	-
H. aurantiaca	55–5	0–2	- +	-
Laccaria laccata	9–1	2–4	- +	-
Mrg X	18–1	0	+	-
Mrg X	18–1z	6–10	-	-
Mrg X	19–1	0	+	-
Mrg X	19–1z	7–13	-	-
Paxillus involutus	5–1	0–3	- +	-
P. involutus	5–3	0	+	-
P. involutus	5–8	0–2	- +	-
P. involutus	5–13	0-1	- +	-
P. involutus	5–14	0-1	- +	-
P. involutus	5–15	0–2	- +	-
P. involutus	5–16	0–1	- +	-
P. involutus	5–17	0–3	- +	-
Phallus impudicus	26–1	3–10	- +	-
Suillus bovinus	15–3	2–5	- +	-
S. bovinus	15–4	0–3	- +	-
S. bovinus	15–5	0–3	- +	-
S. granulatus	44–1	0	- +	-
S. granulatus	44–2	0-1	- +	-
S. luteus	14–1	0–4	- +	-
S. luteus	14–3	0	+	-
S. luteus	14-4	0–3	- +	-
S. luteus	14–7	0–15	- +	-
S. luteus	14–8	0	- +	-
S. luteus	14–9	0–2	- +	-
S. variegatus	68–1	0–3	- +	-
Xerocomus badius	2–3	0–14	- +	-
X. badius	2–6	0-11	-	-
X. badius	2–15	0–8	- +	-
X. subtomentosus	1–1	0–10	- +	-
X. subtomentosus	1–2	0–9	- +	-

Table 9. Types of final situation between higher fungi and *Heterobasidion annosum* in paired cultures

*P – pathogen; M – higher fungus

- - lack of invasion; -+ - sporadic invasion; + - constant invasion

saprotrophic microorganisms. Study on the effects of several soil fungi characteristic of forest soils and farmland soils on the growth of mycorrhizal fungi and the same strains of *H. annosum* showed that the growth of the pathogen was markedly limited by expansive fungi of the genus *Trichoderma* (Werner et al., 1995a and 1995b). Among the studied soil fungi only few inhibited the growth of mycorrhizal fungi to some extent, whereas the majority had no effect or even slightly stimulated their growth.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Roman Pachlewski for providing strains of ectendomycorrhizal fungus Mrg X and ectomycorrhizal fungus *Hebeloma crustuliniforme*. Thanks also to Mrs Anna Błaszkowiak and Mrs Danuta Bałęczna for their assistance with the laboratory experiments, Mrs Elżbieta Szubert for her work with the pictures and Mrs Sylwia Ufnalska for correcting the English text. Thy study was supported by KBN research funds No. 4 S401 007 04 and No. 6 P04C 017 11.

References

- Asiegbu F.O., Daniel G., Johansson M. 1993. Studies on the infection of Norway spruce roots by *Heterobasidion annosum*. Canadian Journal of Botany 71: 1552–1561.
- Cervinkova H. 1990. Mycorrhizae and control of root pathogen *Heterobasidion annosum* agriculture. Ecosystems and Environment 28(1–4): 55–58.
- Duchesne L.C., Peterson R.L., Ellis B.E. 1987. The accumulation of plant-produced antimicrobial compounds in response to ectomycorrhizal fungi: a review. Phytoprotection 68: 17–27.
- Duchesne L.C., Ellis B.E., Peterson R.L. 1989a. Disease supression by the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Paxillus involutus*: contribution of oxalic acid. Canadian Journal of Botany 67: 2726–2730.
- Duchesne L.C., Peterson R.L., Ellis B.E. 1989b. The future of ectomycorrhizal fungi as biological control agents. Phytoprotection 70: 51–57.
- Farquhar M.L., Peterson R.L. 1990. Induction of protoplast formation in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Paxillus involutus* by the root rot pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum*. New Phytol. 116: 107–113.
- Gibbs J.N. 1967. A study of the epiphytic growth habit of *Fomes annosus*. Annals of Botany 31: 754–774.
- Hanso S. 1993. Natural antagonists to *Heterobasidion annosum*. The Finnish Forest Research Institute. Research Papers 451: 162–166.
- Hashiba T., Yamada M. 1982. Formation and purification of protoplasts from *Rizoctomia solani*. Phytopathology 72: 849–853.

- Heneen W.K., Gustafsson M., Karlsson G., Brismar K. 1994a. Interactions between Norway spruce and *Heterobasidion annosum*. I. Infection of nonsuberized and young suberized roots. Canadian Journal of Botany 72: 872–883.
- Heneen W.K., Gustafsson M., Brismar K., Karlsson G. 1994b. Interactions between Norway spruce and *Heterobasidion annosum*. II. Infection of woody roots. Canadian Journal of Botany 72: 884–889.
- Holdenrieder O., Greig B.J.W. 1998. Biological Methods of Control. In: *Heterobasidion annosum*-Biology, Ecology, Impact and Control. Woodward S., Stenlid J., Karjalainen R., Hüttermann A. (eds.). CAB INTERNATIONAL, University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 235–258.
- Hyppel A. 1968a. Antagonistic efects of some soil fungi on *Fomes annosus* in laboratory experiments. Studia Forestalia Suecica 64: 1–17.
- Hyppel A. 1968b. Efects of *Fomes annosus* on seedlings of *Picea abies* in the presence of *Boletus bovinus*. Studia Forestalis Suecica 66: 1–17.
- Kope H.H., Fortin J.A. 1988. Evidence of antifungal compounds secreted, in vitro, by ectomycorrhizal fungi. In: Canadian Workshop on Mycorrhizae in Forestry. Lalonde M., Piché Y. (eds.). Université Laval, Quebec, pp. 125–129.
- Korhonen K. 1978. Intersterility Groups of *Heterobasidion annosum*. Communicationes Instituti Forestalis Feniae 94(6): 1–25.
- Kowalski S. 1974. The problem of root rot *Fomes annosus* (Fr.) Cke. against a background of the fungal communities in the forest soil. Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol. 160: 79–121.
- Kropp B.R., Langlois C.G. 1990. Ectomycorrhiza in reforestation. Can. J. For. Res. 20: 438–451.
- Krupa S., Fries N. 1971. Studies on ectomycorrhizae of pine. I. Production of volatile organic compounds. Can. J. Bot. 49: 1425–1431.
- Krupa S., Nylund J.E. 1972. Studies on ectomycorrhizae of pine. III. Growth inhibition of two root pathogenic fungi by volatile organic constituents of ectomycorrhizal root systems of *Pinus* sylvestris L. Eur. J. For. Pathol. 2: 88–94.
- Krupa S., Andersson J., Marx D.H. 1973. Studies on ectomycorrhizae of pine. IV. Volatile organic constituents in mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal root systems of *Pinus echinata* Mill. Eur. J. For. Pathol. 3: 194–200.
- Linderman R.G. 1987. Perspectives on ectomycorrhiza research in the Northwest. In: Mycorrhizae in the Next Decade: Practical Applications and Research Priorites. Seventh North American Conference on Mycorrhizae, May 3–8, 1987, Gainesville. Sylvia D.M., Hung L.L. and Graham J.H. (eds.), Institute for Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville.

- Łakomy P. 1998. Monitoring huby korzeni i opieńkowej zgnilizny korzeni w wybranych uprawach sosnowych Krainy Wielkopolsko-Pomorskiej. Rocznik Akademii Rolniczej w Poznaniu. Rozprawy Naukowe 283: 1–81.
- Mańka K. 1970. Some investigations on *Fomes annosus* Fr. carried out in Poland. Proc. 3 rd Int. Conf. on *Fomes annosus*. Aarhus, pp 83–90.
- Marx D.H. 1972. Ectomycorrhizae as biological deterrents to pathogenic root infections. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 10: 426–434.
- Marx D.H. 1969. The influence of ectotrophic mycorrhizal fungi on the resistance of pine roots to pathogenic infections. I. Atagonism of mycorrhizal fungi to root pathogenic fungi and soil bacteria. Phytopathology 59(2): 153–163.
- Marx D.H., Davey C.B. 1969.The influence of ectotrophic mycorrhizal fungi on the resistance of pine roots to pathogenic infection. II. Resistance of aseptically formed mycorrhizae to infection by *Phytophthora cinnamoni*. Phytopathology 59: 549–558.
- Moreau R., Schaeffer A. 1959. De la maladie du Rond et des moyens de lutte contre elle. Bulletin de la Société forestière de Franche-Comté 29: 436–442.
- Napierała A. 1996. Występowanie grzybów mikoryzowych w drzewostanach sosnowych zaatakowanych hubą korzeni (*Heterobasidion annosum* (Fr.) Bref.). Arboretum Kórnickie 41: 141–153.
- Omura S., Tanaka T. 1984. Production and antimicrobial activity of macrolides. Macrolide Antibiotics: Chemistry, Biology and Practice. Omura S. (eds.), Academic Press, Toronto, pp. 3–15.
- Orłoś H., Dominik T. 1960. Z biologii huby korzeniowej – *Fomes annosus* (Fr.) Cooke. Sylwan CIV (1): 1–13.
- Pachlewski R. 1983. Grzyby symbiotyczne i mikoryza sosny (*Pinus silvestris* L.). Prace IBL. PWRiL Warszawa 165: 1–133.
- Peberdy J.F. 1976. Isolation and properties of protoplasts from filamentous fungi. Microbial and Plant Protoplasts. Peberdy J.F., Rose A., Roger H.J., Cocking E.C. (eds.), Academic Press, London, pp. 39–50.
- Peberdy J.F. 1979. Fungal protoplasts: Isolation, reversion and fusion. Annual Review of Microbiology 33: 21–29.
- Perrin R. 1985. L'aptitude des mycorhizes a proteger les plantes contre les maladies: panacee on chimére? Ann. Sci. For. 42: 453–470.
- Rishbeth J. 1951. Observations on the biology of *Fomes annosus* with particular reference to East Anglian pine plantations. II Spore production, stump infection and saprophytic activity in stumps. Annals of Botany, New Series 15: 1–22.

- Sampagni R., Perrin R., Le Tacon F. 1985. Disease suppression and growth promotion of Norway spruce and Douglas-fir by the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria laccata* in forest nurseries. In: Mycorrhiza: Physiology and Genetics, 1 st ESM, Dijon, pp. 799–806.
- Schenck W.C. 1984. Methods and principles of mycorrhizal research. American Phythopathological Society. St. Paul., Minnesota pp. 242.
- Schoeneweiss D.F. 1975. Predisposition, stress, and plant disease. Ann. Rev. Phytopath. 13: 193–211.
- Sierota Z. 1998. Problematyka huby korzeni w drzewostanach na gruntach porolnych – profilaktyka i ograniczanie zagrożenia w drzewostanach 1. i 2. generacji lasu. IBL. Sprawozdanie końcowe w temacie BLP 727.
- Strzelczyk E. 1988. Biologiczne zwalczanie roślinnych patogenów glebowych. Postępy Mikrobiologii. 26(3): 255–271.
- Sylvia D.M., Sinclair W.A. 1983. Suppressive influence of *Laccaria laccata* on *Fusarium oxysporum* and on Douglas–fir seedlings. Phytopathology 73: 384–389.
- Vandamme E.J. 1984. Antibiotic search and production: An overview. Biotechnology of Industrial Antibiotics. Vandamme E.J. (eds.), Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, pp. 3–31.
- de Vries O.M.H., Wessels J.G.H. 1972. Release of protoplasts from *Schizophyllum commune* by a lytic enzyme preparation from *Trichoderma viride*. Journal of General Microbiology 73: 13–22.
- Werner A. 1990. Tissue response of thin roots of Scots pine to infection by *Heterobasidion annosum*.Bull. Finn. For. Res. Inst. 360: 161–169.
- Werner A. 1991. Odporność sosny zwyczajnej na hubę korzeni i przebieg choroby siewek sosny zakażonych grzybem *Heterobasidion annosum*. Rozprawa Habilitacyjna. Instytut Dendrologi PAN, Kórnik, PWRiL Poznań, pp. 1–168.
- Werner A. 1993. Patological anatomy of thin woody roots of Scots pine invaded by *Heterobasidion* annosum (Fr.) Bref. Arboretum Kórnickie 38: 113–129.
- Werner A., Napierała A. 1995. Protection against attack of *Heterobasidion annosum* on Scots pine seedlings by mycorrhizal fungi. Proceedings of the 3rd Conference EFPP: Environmental biotic factors in integrated plant disease control. 5–9 September 1994, Poznań, Poland, pp. 605–609.
- Werner A., Werner M., Napierała A. 1995a. In vitro interactions of soil saprophytes, mycorrhizal and root-rotting fungi. Caring for the Forest. Research in a Changing World. Poster Abstracts. IUFRO XX World Congress, 6–12 August 1995, Tampere, Finland, pp. 65–66.
- Werner M., Werner A., Andrzejak R. 1995b. Antagonistic effects of some fungi on *Fusarium oxysporum*

and *Heterobasidion annosum* in laboratory experiment. Proceedings of the 3 rd conference EFPP: Environmental biotic factors in integrated plant disease control. 5–9 September 1994, Poznań, Poland, pp. 611–616.

Werner A., Napierała A. 1999. Grzyby mikoryzowe w ogniskach choroby wywołanej przez grzyb *Hetero*-

Badania nad antagonizmem pomiędzy grzybami mikoryzowymi a grzybem *Heterobasidion annosum* (Fr.) Bref. w warunkach *in vitro*

Streszczenie

W warunkach in vitro przebadano wpływ czterdziestu dwóch szczepów grzybów wyższych na ograniczanie wzrostu sześciu szczepów Heterobasidion annosum należących do dwóch intersterylnych grup P i S. Badania prowadzono według metody opisanej przez Marxa (1969). Grzyby hodowano w szalkach Petriego, na pożywce Pp (Pachlewski 1983). Po dwóch tygodniach mierzono powierzchnię kolonii obu grzybów (w cm²), strefę inhibicji (w mm) oraz opisano sytuację zaistniałą na szalce. Badane grzyby wyższe wykazywały znaczne zróżnicowanie stopnia ograniczania wzrostu grzyba H. annosum. Najlepszymi antagonistami w stosunku do sześciu badanych szczepów patogena okazały się szczepy grzyba ektendomikoryzowego oznaczonego symbolem Mrg X (18-1z i 19-1z), których grzybnie wykazywały obecność bakterii, szczep grzyba ektomikoryzowego z gatunku Amanita muscaria (16-3), szczep grzyba niemikoryzowego Hygrophobasidion annosum (Fr.) Bref. Zeszyty Naukowe Akademii Rolniczej im. H. Kołłątaja w Krakowie 348: 193–208.

Zak B. 1964. Role of mycorrhizae in root disease. Ann. Rev. Phytopathology 2: 377–392.

ropsis aurantiaca (55–1) oraz grzyba Phallus impudicus (26–1) nie tworzącego z sosną symbiozy. Obok zmienności międzygatunkowej stwierdzono dużą zmienność wewnątrzgatunkową. Różnice pomiędzy szczepami z gatunków: *A. muscaria, Suillus luteus, Paxillus involutus czy H. aurantiaca* były niekiedy bardziej znaczące niż pomiędzy gatunkami.

Badane grzyby mikoryzowe cechowały się stosunkowo wolnym wzrostem na pożywce, natomiast większość badanych szczepów *H. annosum* wzrastała szybko. Stwierdzono zależność pomiędzy szybkością wzrostu a stopniem negatywnego oddziaływania na wzrost grzybów w bikulturach. Szczepy grzybów wyższych charakteryzujące się szybszym wzrostem przejawiały większą zdolność hamowania wzrostu szczepów grzyba *H. annosum* i jednocześnie wykazywały większą tolerancję na obecność patogena.