

ORIGINAL PAPER

# The influence of forest biomass growth on evaporation intensity and possible regional climate changes

Platon Tretyak✉, Yuriy Chernevyy

Precarpathian Professional College of Forestry and Tourism, Zamkova st., 14, Bolekhiv, Ivano-Frankivsk region, Ukraine

## ABSTRACT

We investigated the material and energy impacts of forest vegetation on local climate formation in the atmospheric boundary layer. Photosynthesis productivity directly and proportionally determines carbon dioxide absorption from the atmosphere and phytomass increase, including carbon deposition. At the same time, oxygen and transpiration water vapour are emitted directly and proportionally into the ambient air. Phytomass photosynthesis and accompanying transpiration consume a significant amount of energy, leading to cooling of the lower air layer. Quantitatively, these effects are confirmed by the appropriate proportions and calculations of matter and energy transfer between forest vegetation and the lower air layer (0-30 m agl). Calculations show that the forest vegetation of Europe, with annual wood increments 2-7 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>, annually transpires 1.5-8.6 kt·ha<sup>-1</sup> of water vapour. This process requires annual energy consumption of 1.0-5.9 GWh·ha<sup>-1</sup> from the environment. As a result, the 30-meter agl air layer can cool by 1-6.3°C. Therefore, deforestation is a significant cause of aridification and warming of the surface air layer. The maximum effect will occur in the case mixed stands with a range of ages, including, among other trees, about 100 elite old-aged trees per ha, for example, *Abies alba* or *Fagus sylvatica* trees 100 years old, as well as *Quercus robur* exceeding 200 years. The annual increment of such stands reaches 6-16 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>. Potentially, they can cool the 30-meter surface air layer by 5-14°C. By increasing the area of forests and their productivity, we can prevent unwanted changes in the regional climate.

## KEY WORDS

air cooling, energy consumption, forest climate, change, photosynthesis, phytomass increment, transpiration

## Introduction

Hypothetically, modern climate change in the biosphere is generally associated with the greenhouse effect in the atmosphere. It is traditionally explained that the greenhouse effect in the atmosphere occurs due to the reflected radiation absorbed and reflected by water vapour, carbon dioxide, methane, and ozone (Pachauri *et al.*, 2014; Khan, 2017). For 30 years, the world's leading environmental and political paradigm for the cause of climate change has been the Carbon Theory. It asserts that the main cause of global warming and climate change is the increase of anthropogenic carbon dioxide in the atmosphere (Solomon, 2007; Stocker *et al.*, 2013). However, other

✉Tel. +38 067 6626841, e-mail: platon.tretyak@gmail.com

Received: 3 August 2021; Revised: 3 March 2022; Accepted: 4 March 2022; Available online: 25 April 2022

 Open access

©2022 The Author(s). <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

researchers do not agree that atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is a weather or climate driver or that CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheric emission from human activities control them change (Segalstad, 1998; Hertzberg and Schreuder, 2016; IPCC, 2018). At the same time, there is another convincing theory concerning the leading role of water vapour in the air as for greenhouse effect formation (Stephens and Tjemkes, 1993; Maurellis and Tennyson, 2003; Schneider *et al.*, 2010). It is determined, if the water content in the air increases by 10%, it leads to an increase in its temperature by 1.34°C as a result of greenhouse effect. If the water content in the atmosphere decreases by 10%, the air temperature will be reduced by 1.6°C (Rákóczi and Iványi, 1999-2000). Water vapour is the most abundant and powerful greenhouse gas in Earth's atmosphere and is emitted not only as a result of natural processes, but also by human activities. In particular, it is substantiated that in addition to passive evaporation, the intensity of transpiration is especially important for the climate change formation (NACCA, 2011).

Globally, total evaporation accounts for 39% of total precipitation. Approximately 60% of total water evaporation results from transpiration; in tropical forests this share reaches 71% (Schlesinger and Jasechko, 2014), and in humid boreal forests it is 35-47% (Hadiwijaya *et al.*, 2020). Moisture transferred from the forest to the atmosphere forms large clouds that reflect solar energy and cause air cooling (Sanderson *et al.*, 2012). The high cooling capacity of atmospheric moisture and its relationship to forest transpiration are summarized in a review article (Ellison *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, deforestation leads to significant changes in evaporation and in climate water balance on regional and global scales (Gorte and Sheikh, 2010; Lauren, 2017).

The transpiration function of vegetation should be considered as a powerful air conditioner. Transpiration not only enriches the boundary layer of the atmosphere with moisture, but also absorbs a lot of energy for evaporation, which cools the air. Accordingly, the air temperature decrease causes relative humidity to increase (Antoine equation). Unfortunately, this scientific question about the effect of transpiration on air cooling has been little studied and is almost never found in the scientific literature, as evidenced by a literature review (Gupta *et al.*, 2018). This article draws attention to the importance of the statement that the air temperature around trees is changed by transpiration cooling due to the consumption of latent heat of vaporization. Chen *et al.* (2019) first published a method for calculating the cooling effect from tree canopy transpiration, which was determined by measuring sap flow in tree trunks of different diameters.

As yet, the global warming potential of water vapour emissions, and their radiative effects are not formally quantified in the literature (Sherwood *et al.*, 2018). Only the protective functions of water vapour in the atmosphere are well studied, in particular with regard to the absorption of reflected radiation (Vaquero-Martínez *et al.*, 2020). Perhaps, that is why modern climate models (Bonan, 2019; Konapala *et al.*, 2020) of terrestrial ecosystems are imperfect, because they do not take into account the energy aspects of evaporation as a factor in atmospheric cooling. Thus, the biophysical effects of ambient air cooling during the plant growth remain unclear. (Wang *et al.*, 2021). Perhaps this is due to the fact that the models used do not account for the energy absorption effect during evapotranspiration, which cools the air.

The magnitude of evapotranspiration, including transpiration, depends on climatic and soil conditions, as well as on the species of plants (Arkley, 1963; Lewak, 2009). These factors are represented by the transpiration ratio, that is, grams of water used for evapotranspiration to form one gram of dry phytomass. For various plants, the transpiration ratio ranges from 200 to >1000. Examples are: millet – 273, wheat – 507, rye – 724, alfalfa – 859, linden – 1038, beech – 1043, and larch – 1165 (Kowalik and Scalenghe, 2009). Unfortunately, these physiological properties of different tree species are not sufficiently studied, and therefore there are no other summary data.

Evapotranspiration in temperate deciduous forests may exceed the annual rainfall. For example, the temperate humid-continental climate of the mixed forests of Central and Eastern Europe is characterized by an average annual rainfall of 500 to 800 mm. The climatic water balance is negative (from  $-30$  to  $-120$  mm per year). That is, total annual evaporation is from 30 to 120 mm higher than total annual precipitation (Ziarnicka-Wojtaszek, 2015). Similarly, research in a forested catchment in Wielkopolska Region found a negative water balance; precipitation was 347-535 mm per year, and evaporation from the pine-oak forest was 616-723 mm per year (Liberacki *et al.*, 2008). Thus, annual totals of evaporation in Poland reach at least 550 mm, and in most cases, they are higher than 600 mm. A similar climatic situation is also typical for the forest plain area of Ukraine. In the Carpathians, the average annual rainfall is 800-1600 mm. However, the annual evaporation amount is less than this value, by approximately  $1 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$  (Kołodziej, 2008), or  $600\text{-}1200 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$ .

The proportion of transpiration in total evapotranspiration can vary. For example, it was previously thought that in Central Europe this may be  $300\text{-}400 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$  for beech forest, and half that amount for spruce forest (Köstner, 2001). That is, transpiration is approximately 25% to 65% of annual totals of precipitation and potential evapotranspiration. Estimating by proportions is a popular method, which, however, gives very variable results. Modern experimental studies have shown that the sap flow speed and volume depends on the tree species, the tree biometric values, in particular DBH, the crown openness, meteorological conditions. For example, trees standing alone had nearly three times the daily sap flux density of closed canopy trees (Harrison *et al.*, 2020; Ponte *et al.*, 2021).

Thus, it is not yet possible to consider separately the impact of stand transpiration on climate change. However, there are particularly good reasons to study the impact of terrestrial evaporation on global climate (Laguë *et al.*, 2021). This article shows that changes in the intensity of evaporation flows from the terrestrial surface are especially important for the greenhouse effect formation and air cooling or heating. Therefore, in our opinion, the vapour transpiration flows from the forest can significantly affect the overall evaporation power. However, the potential evaporation from forest ecosystems and its impact on air cooling still needs to be studied.

The aim of our study, nearly the first, was to study theoretically the problem of estimating the air cooling effect depending on the productivity of forest stands. The problem concerns the material-energy effects of photosynthesis and transpiration processes on cooling of the boundary atmosphere, which is associated with the forest phytomass increment. Our concept was first published in the article ‘Matter and energy influence of forest vegetation on the environment’ (Tretiak and Chernevyi, 2020). It assumes that, based on the laws of thermodynamics, for a certain amount of released water vapour, it is possible to calculate the amount of energy consumed and, accordingly, the temperature decrease gradient for a certain volume of air. The transpired moisture total mass can be obtained by multiplying the forest dry phytomass increment by the transpiration ratio. The annual increase in dry phytomass of the forest can be calculated on the basis of the annual growth of the stand.

## Methods and materials

We calculate the material and energy balance and the evapotranspiration cooling effect conditionally for a 30 m air layer, which corresponds to the stand height of mean productivity at the age of 100 years (I site class). As shown above, the evaporation annual amount (ET) from the forest plain area in Poland and Ukraine is in most cases greater than 600 mm. That is why this value is conventionally taken as the minimum for the example illustrating the basic method of the cooling air effect calculation (Table 1).

The substance and energy transfer proportions of the photosynthesis overall equations are presented in Table 2. Specifically, for each 1 ton of carbon deposition 3.668 tons of carbon dioxide and 1.5 tons of water were consumed, along with an energy input of 11.042 kWh ( $E_{ph}$ ). This process produces 2.5 tons of hexose ( $C_6H_{12}O_6$ ) and 2.67 tons of oxygen. The photosynthesis consumption and production indicators were calculated based on these proportions (Tables 3, 6).

The initial data, presented in Table 3, for forest productivity ( $Z_{st}$ ) in regions of Europe, the European Union, Poland, and Ukraine, were obtained from official sources (Forest Europe, 2015; Raport o stanie lasów..., 2018; Publichnyy..., 2020). Prospective productivity of the Ukrainian Carpathian forests is presented in Table 6. These are our own calculations made on the basis of our research on the structure of natural stands and the growth patterns of older trees

**Table 1.**

An example illustrating the method of basic calculation of material-energy balance and the obtained cooling effect for the 30 m high air layer

|   |  |
|---|--|
| ET – annual total evaporation   | 600 mm·yr <sup>-1</sup> or 600 kg·m <sup>-2</sup> ·yr <sup>-1</sup>                      |
| L – specific heat of water vaporization at 20°C                       | 2,453 kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup> or 0.681 kWh·kg <sup>-1</sup>                                  |
| $E_{yr}=ET \times L$ – evaporation energy consumption during the year | 408.9 kWh·m <sup>-2</sup>  |
| $E_h=E_{yr}/8766$ – evaporation energy consumption during the hour    | 46.6 Wh·m <sup>-2</sup>  |
| V – air volume  | 30 m <sup>3</sup>  |
| m – mass of air   | 38.79 kg   |
| c – the specific heat of air at temperature at 20°C                   | 1.006 kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup> or 0.279 Wh·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup> |
| $m \times c$ – the thermal energy required to heat the air by 1°C     | 10.78 Wh   |
| $\Delta T=E_h/(m \times c)$ – air cooling gradient                    | 4.32°C   |

**Table 2.**

Substance and energy proportions of the general equation photosynthesis reaction

|                  |   |                   |   |            |   |   |                        |   |                 |
|------------------|---|-------------------|---|------------|---|---|------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 6CO <sub>2</sub> | + | 6H <sub>2</sub> O | + | $E_{ph}$   | = | C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>6</sub> | in that C <sub>6</sub> | + | 6O <sub>2</sub> |
| 264 g            | + | 108 g             | + | 0.795 kWh  | = | 180 g   | 72 g                   | + | 192 g           |
| 1.467 t          | + | 0.6 t             | + | 4,417 kWh  | = | 1 t   | 0.4 t                  | + | 1.067 t         |
| 3.668 t          | + | 1.5 t             | + | 11,041 kWh | = | 2.5 t   | 1.0 t                  | + | 2.668 t         |

**Table 3.**

Photosynthesis productivity of European countries and regional forest cover

| Forest of region,<br>country | Annual<br>increment                          |                             | Photosynthesis<br>consumption         |  |                                  | products<br>O <sub>2</sub> [t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
|                              | $Z_{st}$ [m <sup>3</sup> ·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $Z_c$ [t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | CO <sub>2</sub> [t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | H <sub>2</sub> O [t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $E_{ph}$ [MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |  |
| North Europe                 | 3.5  | 2.10                        | 7.70                                  | 3.15                                   | 23.2                             | 5.60   |
| South-East Europe            | 2.4  | 1.44                        | 5.28                                  | 2.16                                   | 15.9                             | 3.84   |
| South-West Europe            | 2.2  | 1.32                        | 4.84                                  | 1.98                                   | 14.6                             | 3.52   |
| Central and Western Europe   | 7.2  | 4.32                        | 15.85                                 | 6.48                                   | 47.7                             | 11.53  |
| Central and Eastern Europe   | 4.1  | 2.46                        | 9.02                                  | 3.69                                   | 27.2                             | 6.56   |
| EU-28                        | 4.5  | 2.70                        | 9.90                                  | 4.05                                   | 29.8                             | 7.20   |
| Poland                       | 5.7  | 3.43                        | 12.58                                 | 5.15                                   | 37.9                             | 9.15   |
| Ukraine                      | 3.9  | 2.34                        | 8.58                                  | 3.51                                   | 25.8                             | 6.24   |
| Ukrainian Carpathians        | 5.0  | 3.00                        | 11.00                                 | 4.50                                   | 33.1                             | 8.00   |

$Z_{st}$  – standing forest volume increment,  $Z_c$  – deposited carbon volume,  $E_{ph}$  – photosynthesis energy consumption

**Table 4.**  
Annual volume of transpiration and total energy consumed by forest cover in European regions and countries

| Region,<br>Country            | $Z_{dr}$<br>[t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $E_{ph}$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $m_{tr}$<br>[kt·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |      | $E_{tr}$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |       | $E_v$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |      | $E_{ar}$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |      | $E_g$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |       |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|-------|
|                               |                                   |                                     | A                                  | M    | A                                   | M     | A                                | M    | A                                   | M    | A                                | M     |
| North Europe                  | 4.20                              | 23.2                                | 2.52                               | 4.20 | 1,716                               | 2,860 | 0.21                             | 0.34 | 0.21                                | 0.34 | 1,740                            | 2,884 |
| South-East Europe             | 2.88                              | 15.9                                | 1.73                               | 2.88 | 1,177                               | 1,961 | 0.14                             | 0.24 | 0.14                                | 0.24 | 1,193                            | 1,977 |
| South-West Europe             | 2.64                              | 14.6                                | 1.58                               | 2.64 | 1,079                               | 1,798 | 0.13                             | 0.22 | 0.13                                | 0.22 | 1,094                            | 1,813 |
| Central and Western<br>Europe | 8.64                              | 47.7                                | 5.18                               | 8.64 | 3,530                               | 5,884 | 0.42                             | 0.71 | 0.42                                | 0.71 | 3,579                            | 5,933 |
| Central and Eastern<br>Europe | 4.92                              | 27.2                                | 2.95                               | 4.92 | 2,010                               | 3,351 | 0.24                             | 0.40 | 0.24                                | 0.40 | 2,038                            | 3,379 |
| EU-28                         | 5.40                              | 29.8                                | 3.24                               | 5.40 | 2,206                               | 3,677 | 0.27                             | 0.44 | 0.27                                | 0.44 | 2,236                            | 3,708 |
| Poland                        | 6.85                              | 37.9                                | 4.11                               | 6.85 | 2,799                               | 4,665 | 0.34                             | 0.56 | 0.34                                | 0.56 | 2,838                            | 4,704 |
| Ukraine                       | 4.68                              | 25.8                                | 2.81                               | 4.68 | 1,912                               | 3,187 | 0.23                             | 0.38 | 0.23                                | 0.38 | 1,938                            | 3,214 |
| Ukrainian Carpathians         | 6.00                              | 33.1                                | 3.60                               | 6.00 | 2,452                               | 4,086 | 0.29                             | 0.49 | 0.29                                | 0.49 | 2,486                            | 4,120 |

$Z_{dr}$  – dry substance volume,  $m_{tr}$  – annual transpired water volume,  $E_{tr}$  – transpiration energy consumption,  $E_v$  – vascular upward fluid transport energy,  $E_{ar}$  – roots active absorption energy,  $E_g$  – consumed energy total amount or forest increment energy support, A – average value, M – maximum value

(Tretyak and Chernevyy, 2012, 2013; Tretyak and Chernevyy, 2018a,b). In particular, the above-mentioned works present the average potential growth rates of tree trunks in mixed stands containing various species and ages, growing in the Carpathian part of the Dniester River basin. They grew in various mountain altitudinal zones in typical conditions of moist medium rich brown soils (Dystric/Eutric Cambisols). Model calculations were carried out for conditional stands containing 100 large old trees. This is a realistic indicator, since the best forest stands

Table 5.

Expected forest increment energy consumed ( $E_g$ ) and cooling temperature gradient ( $\Delta T$ ) of the boundary 30 m air layer by forest cover in European regions and countries

| Region,<br>Country            | $E_g$                                     |       |   |       | $\Delta T$ |       |
|-------------------------------|---|-------|---|-------|------------|-------|
|                               | [MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ·yr <sup>-1</sup> ] |       | [KWh·m <sup>-2</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup> ] |       | [°C]       |       |
|                               | A   | M     | A                                       | M     | A          | M     |
| Northern Europe               | 1,740                                     | 2,884 | 19.85                                   | 32.90 | -1.84      | -2.97 |
| South-Eastern Europe          | 1,193                                     | 1,977 | 13.62                                   | 22.57 | -1.26      | -2.09 |
| South-Western Europe          | 1,094                                     | 1,813 | 12.49                                   | 20.70 | -1.16      | -1.92 |
| Central and<br>Western Europe | 3,579                                     | 5,933 | 40.85                                   | 67.73 | -3.79      | -6.28 |
| Central and<br>Eastern Europe | 2,038                                     | 3,379 | 23.26                                   | 38.57 | -2.16      | -3.58 |
| EU-28                         | 2,236                                     | 3,708 | 25.53                                   | 42.33 | -2.37      | -3.93 |
| Poland                        | 2,838                                     | 4,704 | 32.39                                   | 53.70 | -3.00      | -4.98 |
| Ukraine                       | 1,938                                     | 3,214 | 22.13                                   | 36.69 | -2.05      | -3.40 |
| Ukrainian Carpathians         | 2,486                                     | 4,120 | 28.38                                   | 47.03 | -2.63      | -4.36 |

A – average value, M – maximum value

Table 6.

Perspective productivity and photosynthesis intensity of forests in the Ukrainian Carpathians, which in stands 100 older trees per hectare contain. Annual increment data and localization (Tretyak and Chernevyy, 2018)

| Tree species,<br>trees age<br>[years] | Annual<br>increment                             |                                | Photosynthesis                           |   |                                     | product<br>O <sub>2</sub><br>[t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
|                                       | $Z_{st}$<br>[m <sup>3</sup> ·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $Z_c$<br>[t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | consumption                              |   | $E_{ph}$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |  |
|                                       |   |                                | CO <sub>2</sub><br>[t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | H <sub>2</sub> O<br>[t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |                                     |  |
| Carpathian Foothills                  |   |                                |  |   |                                     |  |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 100               | 12.0  | 7.20                           | 26.41                                    | 10.80                                     | 79.5                                | 19.21  |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 140               | 16.5  | 9.90                           | 36.31                                    | 14.85                                     | 109.3                               | 26.41  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 75           | 5.0   | 3.00                           | 11.00                                    | 4.50                                      | 33.1                                | 8.00   |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 100          | 9.0   | 5.40                           | 19.81                                    | 8.10                                      | 59.6                                | 14.41  |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 100            | 5.0   | 3.00                           | 11.00                                    | 4.50                                      | 33.1                                | 8.00   |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 150            | 5.3   | 3.18                           | 11.66                                    | 4.77                                      | 35.1                                | 8.48   |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 200            | 10.5  | 6.30                           | 23.11                                    | 9.45                                      | 69.6                                | 16.81  |
| Eastern Beskids mountain zone         |   |                                |  |   |                                     |  |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 100               | 4.0   | 2.40                           | 8.80                                     | 3.60                                      | 26.5                                | 6.40   |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 150               | 6.0   | 3.60                           | 13.20                                    | 5.40                                      | 39.8                                | 9.60   |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 200               | 9.0   | 5.40                           | 19.81                                    | 8.10                                      | 59.6                                | 14.41  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 100          | 2.0   | 1.20                           | 4.40                                     | 1.80                                      | 13.3                                | 3.20   |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 150          | 3.0   | 1.80                           | 6.60                                     | 2.70                                      | 19.9                                | 4.80   |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 200          | 6.0   | 3.60                           | 13.20                                    | 5.40                                      | 39.8                                | 9.60   |

$Z_{st}$  – standing forest volume,  $Z_c$  – deposited carbon volume,  $E_{ph}$  – photosynthesis energy

studied by us have average 699 trees per ha, including more than 20% large trees ( $H \approx 28-48$  m,  $DBH \approx 40-114$  cm). These trees form the upper canopy and about 60% of the growing stock. The average total growing stock of such stands is  $732 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  (Chernevyy, 2014).

To calculate the total stand phytomass volume ( $Z_{ph}$  – total, including besides trunk wood the volume of branches and stems, bark, and roots, also leaf mass) we used the conversion factor 2. This multiplier is justified in Vasylyshyn *et al.* (2012). To calculate dry mass increase ( $Z_{dr}$ ) the average density ( $\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ ) of dry wood was used (oak – 655, beech – 650, hornbeam – 760, birch – 620, spruce – 420, pine – 480). Therefore, for our calculations we used the average value of dry wood mass of  $600 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ . The content of deposited carbon in the dry phytomass ( $Z_c$ ) in the amount of 50% was applied (Pretzsch, 2009). That is, 2 tons of dry wood contain 1 ton of carbon.

These indicators (values of  $Z_{st}$  and  $Z_c$ ) are shown in Tables 3 and 6. Intermediate values of  $Z_{ph}$  and  $Z_{dr}$  are not shown in these tables, because they are calculated taking into account the above constant coefficients:  $Z_{ph} = 2 \cdot Z_{st}$ ;  $Z_{dr} = 0.6 \cdot Z_{ph}$ ;  $Z_c = 0.5 \cdot Z_{dr}$ .

However, the full energy support of the forest phytomass increase ( $E_g$ ) can be presented as the sum of at least four components:

$$E_g = E_{ph} + E_{tr} + E_v + E_{aa} \dots$$

where:

- $E_{ph}$  – photosynthesis energy,
- $E_{tr}$  – transpiration energy,
- $E_v$  – energy of vascular transport of water and minerals,
- $E_{aa}$  – active root absorption energy.

Energy costs for transpiration are equal to:

$$E_{tr} = m_{tr} \times L$$

where:

- $m_{tr}$  – water transpiration mass,
- $L$  – specific vaporization heat at  $20^\circ\text{C}$  ( $2,453 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  or  $0.681 \text{ kWh} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ).

$$m_{tr} = Z_{dr} \times k_{tr}$$

where:

- $Z_{dr}$  – the dry phytomass increment,  $k_{tr}$  – the transpiration coefficient.

Conventionally let's take for  $k_{tr}$  the average value  $600 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ , which is equal to the total annual evaporation (physical evaporation and plant transpiration) volume (ET), and the maximum value of  $1,000 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ , which is characteristic of broad-leaved trees (Arkley, 1963; Kowalik and Scalenghe, 2009; Lewak, 2009).

The energy for lifting fluids by transport tissue (root pressure) is equal to:

$$E_{gr} = m_{tr} \times g \times h$$

where:

- $g$  – the gravitational acceleration,  $h$  – the trees height.

If  $m_{tr} = 1,000 \text{ kg}$ ,  $h = 30 \text{ m}$  and  $g = 9.8 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}$  then  $E_{gr} = 0.082 \text{ kWh}$  energy.

The active root absorption energy ( $E_{aa}$ ) is the energy potential of the active process of water and mineral absorption by the roots. It ensures their movement through the root tissues, and also creates the root pressure necessary to raise these substances to the treetops. This

requires a significant expenditure of metabolic energy. However, data for this energy value are lacking (Chavarria and Pessoa, 2012). Therefore, we conditionally assume that  $E_{aa}=E_{gr}$ .

We assume that during the movement of aqueous solutions of minerals in xylem there is an energy loss of 30% in  $E_g$  volume. Therefore, we accept that  $E_{aa}=1.3 E_{gr}$ .

The corresponding calculations of the energy needs of the stand increments are presented in Tables 4 and 7.

Therefore, in general, energy consumption is proportional to the increase in phytomass (dry substance) and, respectively, to the deposited carbon amount. When calculating energy costs, we use the proportion:

$$1 \text{ MWh}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1} = 0.1 \text{ KWh}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1} = 0.0114 \text{ Wh}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$$

The cooling effect is calculated for the air layer between the ground surface and 30 m height. This corresponds to the average height of a 100-years-old stand. The calculations were performed in accordance with the proportions presented above. The results are shown in Tables 5 and 8.

## Results

The highest average annual increment values are observed in forests in Central and Western Europe, as well as in Poland. These growth increments determine the highest indicators of deposited carbon and produced oxygen (Table 3) and quantity of moisture transpired to the atmosphere, which is almost 7-8.5 kilotons of water per hectare per year (Table 4), equal to 700-850 mm of annual precipitation. Given the maximum energy consumption, the boundary 30-meter layer of air should be cooled by 4.5-5.5°C (Table 5). In other parts of Europe and in Ukraine these indicators are almost 50% lower.

Prospective calculations of model stands, containing 100 old trees, show the available reserves to increase forest productivity, and to generate moisturizing and cooling effects on the surface air layer. The presented materials (Tables 6, 7, 8) show that in the Ukrainian Carpathians the presence of 100 old *Abies alba* Mill. trees per ha can increase oxygen production and moisture transpiration 2-3 times, as well as cool the 30-meter boundary layer air by 5-14°C. Also, older trees of other species can significantly influence the local climate: *Fagus sylvatica* L. and *Quercus robur* L. at the age of 100 and 200 years, respectively.

Ratio of maximum to average indicators of air evaporation and cooling are important. Maximum values are approximately 65% higher than average evaporation rates in the local situation. Accordingly, in the maximum case, air cooling is greater, as well as oxygen supply.

## Discussion

The results obtained reflect the fundamental impact of the forest vegetation phytomass increment on surface air layer climate changes. This connection is based on the powerful material and energy exchange caused by photosynthesis and transpiration. In contrast to the greenhouse effect caused by air carbon dioxide, the matter and energy exchange between vegetation and the boundary atmosphere layer has a corresponding quantitative representation. After all, the carbon removal from the air still has no such argumentation. Existing fundamental publications affirm only a certain correlation between the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere and the average air temperature increase above the ground surface (OECD/IEA, 2015).

The results presented in this article can be interpreted from a geo-ecological point of view. Taking into account the above proportions of the values of stand increment and the correspon-



Table 7.

Perspective annual volume of water transpiration and energy consumption as a photosynthesis and transpiration result of the Ukrainian Carpathians forests, which 100 older trees per hectare in stands contain

| Tree species,<br>trees age<br>[years] | $Z_{dr}$<br>[t·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $E_{ph}$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $m_{tr}$<br>[kt·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] | $E_{tr}$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |        | $E_v$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |       | $E_{av}$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |       | $E_g$<br>[MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ] |        |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|--------|
|                                       |                                   |                                     |                                    | A                                   | M      | A                                | M     | A                                   | M     | A                                | M      |
| Carpathian Foothills                  |                                   |                                     |                                    |                                     |        |                                  |       |                                     |       |                                  |        |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 100               | 19,80                             | 109,3                               | 11,88                              | 19,80                               | 13,484 | 0,974                            | 1,624 | 0,974                               | 1,624 | 0,974                            | 13,597 |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 140               | 6,00                              | 33,1                                | 3,60                               | 6,00                                | 4,086  | 0,295                            | 0,492 | 0,295                               | 0,492 | 0,295                            | 4,120  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 75           | 10,80                             | 59,6                                | 6,48                               | 10,80                               | 4,413  | 0,531                            | 0,886 | 0,531                               | 0,886 | 0,531                            | 7,416  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 100          | 6,00                              | 33,1                                | 3,60                               | 6,00                                | 4,086  | 0,295                            | 0,492 | 0,295                               | 0,492 | 0,295                            | 4,120  |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 100            | 6,36                              | 35,1                                | 3,82                               | 6,36                                | 2,599  | 0,313                            | 0,522 | 0,313                               | 0,522 | 0,313                            | 4,367  |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 150            | 12,60                             | 69,6                                | 7,56                               | 12,60                               | 5,148  | 0,620                            | 1,033 | 0,620                               | 1,033 | 0,620                            | 8,653  |
| Eastern Beskids mountain zone         |                                   |                                     |                                    |                                     |        |                                  |       |                                     |       |                                  |        |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 100               | 4,80                              | 26,5                                | 2,88                               | 4,80                                | 1,961  | 0,236                            | 0,394 | 0,236                               | 0,394 | 0,236                            | 3,296  |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 150               | 7,20                              | 39,8                                | 4,32                               | 7,20                                | 2,942  | 0,354                            | 0,590 | 0,354                               | 0,590 | 0,354                            | 4,944  |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 200               | 10,80                             | 59,6                                | 6,48                               | 10,80                               | 4,413  | 0,531                            | 0,886 | 0,531                               | 0,886 | 0,531                            | 7,416  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 100          | 2,40                              | 13,3                                | 1,44                               | 2,40                                | 981    | 0,118                            | 0,197 | 0,118                               | 0,197 | 0,118                            | 1,648  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 150          | 3,60                              | 19,9                                | 2,16                               | 3,60                                | 1,471  | 0,177                            | 0,295 | 0,177                               | 0,295 | 0,177                            | 2,472  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 200          | 7,20                              | 39,8                                | 4,32                               | 7,20                                | 2,942  | 0,354                            | 0,590 | 0,354                               | 0,590 | 0,354                            | 4,944  |

$Z_{dr}$  – dry substance volume,  $m_{tr}$  – annual transpired water volume,  $E_{tr}$  – transpiration energy consumption,  $E_v$  – vascular upward fluid transport energy,  $E_{av}$  – roots active absorption energy,  $E_g$  – consumed energy total amount or forest increment energy support, A – average value, M – maximum value

Table 8.

Expected forest increment energy consumed ( $E_g$ ) and cooling temperature gradient ( $\Delta T$ ) of the boundary 30 m air layer in stands containing 100 older trees per hectare, in the Ukrainian Carpathians

| Tree species,<br>trees age<br>[years] | $E_g$                                     |        |   |        | $\Delta T$ |        |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------|---|--------|------------|--------|
|                                       | [MWh·ha <sup>-1</sup> ·yr <sup>-1</sup> ] |        | [KWh·m <sup>-2</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup> ] |        | [°C]       |        |
|                                       | A   | M      | A                                       | M      | A          | M      |
| Carpathian Foothills                  |   |        |   |        |            |        |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 100               | 5,965                                     | 9,888  | 68.05                                   | 112.82 | -6.31      | -10.47 |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 140               | 8,201                                     | 1,3597 | 93.56                                   | 155.14 | -8.68      | -14.39 |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 75           | 2,486                                     | 4,120  | 28.36                                   | 47.00  | -2.63      | -4.36  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 100          | 4,474                                     | 7,416  | 51.03                                   | 84.65  | -4.73      | -7.85  |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 100            | 2,486                                     | 4,120  | 28.36                                   | 47.00  | -2.63      | -4.36  |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 150            | 2,635                                     | 4,367  | 30.06                                   | 49.85  | -2.79      | -4.62  |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> , 200            | 5,219                                     | 8,653  | 59.54                                   | 98.68  | -5.52      | -9.15  |
| Eastern Beskids mountain zone         |   |        |   |        |            |        |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 100               | 1,988                                     | 3,296  | 22.68                                   | 37.65  | -2.10      | -3.49  |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 150               | 2,982                                     | 4,944  | 34.02                                   | 56.35  | -3.16      | -5.23  |
| <i>Abies alba</i> , 200               | 4,474                                     | 7,416  | 51.03                                   | 84.65  | -4.73      | -7.85  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 100          | 994                                       | 1,648  | 11.34                                   | 18.82  | -1.05      | -1.75  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 150          | 1,491                                     | 2,472  | 17.01                                   | 28.18  | -1.58      | -2.61  |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> , 200          | 2,982                                     | 4,944  | 34.02                                   | 56.35  | -3.16      | -5.23  |

A – average value, M – maximum value

ding values of material and energy consumption and production, the following can be stated. The forests of Central and Eastern Europe cover 44.5 million ha, or 27.1% of the total land area. Taking into account the indicators of Table 3 ( $Z_{st}=4.1 \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ,  $Z_c=2.46 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2=9.02 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{O}_2=3.52 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) we can calculate the following consequences. In general, these forests from the atmosphere annually consume approximately 401 million tons of carbon dioxide and deposit 109 megatons of carbon. At the same time, forests produce 292 megatons of oxygen to the atmosphere. Also, taking into account the indicators of Table 4 ( $m_{tr}=2.95$  and  $4.92 \text{ kt}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ,  $E_g=2.04$  and  $3.38 \text{ MWh}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) we obtain the following results. In total these forests produce throughout the year 131.28-218.94 Gt of water vapour. For these processes, forests generally consume 90.78-150.4 TWh of energy. As a result, a 30-meter air layer can be cooled by 2.2-3.6°C (Table 5).

It is clear that the atmosphere is an open physical system, and therefore this thermal energy consumption is largely compensated by heat exchange with neighbouring air masses.

Polish forests, which occupy 9.2 million hectares with an annual growing stock increment of  $5.7 \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ , generate a total of 37.8-63.0 Gt of water vapour and consume 26.1-43.2 TWh of energy. This is 32% of the volume of these indicators in Central and Eastern Europe as a whole. Ukraine's forests occupy 9.6 million hectares and an annual growing stock increment of  $3.9 \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ . They generate a total of 27.0-44.9 Gt of water vapour and consume 18.6-30.8 TWh of energy. This is 23% of the volume of these indicators in Central and Eastern Europe as a whole. Accordingly, the influence of forests on the cooling of the 30-meter layer of air in these countries differs, in Poland 3-5°C, and in Ukraine 2-3.4°C (Table 5).

It is clear that the influence of forests on the matter and energy balance of the lower air layer has geographical specificity. The lowest values of annual increment of stands are typical for South-West Europe ( $2.2 \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), South-East Europe ( $2.4 \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) and North Europe ( $3.5 \text{ m}^3\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ ). These values are much less than the annual growing stock increment in the EU-28 as a whole

(4.5 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, the annual growing stock increment in Central and Western Europe is the largest and reaches 7.2 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>, although the forest cover in this region is only 27.6% (Table 3, 4, 5). Thus, the highest value of forest productivity is probably caused not only by natural factors but is significantly achieved by local forestry actions. Under these circumstances, the ecological role of forests in the formation of gas composition and temperature regime of the boundary air layer on these parts of Europe is particularly significant.

Thus, within the European space forest vegetation productivity has a significant political and geo-ecological significance. Therefore, not only carbon balance, but also indicators of oxygen production and water transpiration and energy consumption should be reflected in the final report about the state of forests in Europe and separate countries.

In contrast to forests, the productivity of transpiration of agricultural vegetation is lower, is about 75-50%. Since the period of active growth of most crops is 60-100 days, their impact on the matter and energy balance in the air is about half that of the forest vegetation. Therefore, the role of the forests in local climate is significant and can be confirmed by mathematically models specific to the forests' areas and their productivity (Tretyak and Chernevyy, 2018; Tretyak and Chernevyy, 2020).

The net annual growing stock increment in the Ukrainian Carpathians is estimated as 5.0 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> (Publichnyy..., 2020). However, our research has shown that locally the net annual increment of stands can reach even 10-20 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tretyak and Chernevyy, 2012; Tretyak and Czernevyy, 2013; Tretyak and Czernevyy, 2018; Tretyak and Chernevyy, 2018).

Obviously, it is possible to achieve high values of stand increment by modelling their structure on the basis of the researched analogues. The examples are the old-aged stands growing in the Skole Beskids (Tretyak and Czernevyy, 2018). The research has shown in such mixed (*Abies alba* – *Picea abies* – *Fagus sylvatica*) stands, which grow on moist soils of medium fertility, the average growing stock reaches 732 ±205 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>, the basal area is 54.7 ±13 m<sup>2</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the number of tree trunks is 699 ±258. The relative number of *Abies alba* trees averages 25.9 ±22.4%, and their relative volume in the stand is only 15.3 ±8.8% (Chernevyy, 2014).

Thus, according to the results of Table 6, it is recommended to double the relative volume of *Abies alba* trees within the stands. This can be done by growing the available number of trees of this species to 150 rather than 100 years old.

Similarly, we recommend that *Quercus robur* be grown on the foothills in mixed stands up to 200 rather than 100 years. Under this condition, the annual increment of such stands will increase, in the case of *Abies alba* by 4 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>, and *Quercus robur* by 5 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>. These will double the ecological effect of such stands.

Such highly productive forests grew recently in the Carpathian part of the Dniester River basin. They can be found in our online database (Tretyak *et al.*, 2016). For example, stands of growing stocks in excess of 1,000 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> grew on 133 plots and together occupied 797 ha. So, if they were natural forests or created by foresters of the 19<sup>th</sup> and the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, they can be reproduced. But it will take at least 100 years.

## Conclusions

✦ The forest's phytomass increment is a significant factor influencing the gas composition and air temperature of the boundary air layer. The intensity of photosynthesis determines not only the proportional phytomass increase and the carbon dioxide absorption from the air, but also the concomitant transpiration. These processes consume a lot of thermal energy from the environment. This leads to significant cooling of the air, as well as to its powerful enrichment

with oxygen and moisture. This leads to significant air cooling, as well as powerful oxygen and moisture enrichment. These processes have been properly confirmed.

- ✦ The annual growing stock increment of  $4.1 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  in forests in Central and Eastern Europe provides not only the deposition of  $2.46 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  of carbon due to photosynthesis, but it is accompanied by moisture transpiration of  $35 \text{ kt} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ . This process requires the consumption from  $2.0\text{-}3.4 \text{ GWh} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  energy. In total, these forests produce throughout the year  $131.28\text{-}218.94 \text{ Gt}$  of water vapour. For these processes, forests generally consume  $90.78\text{-}150.4 \text{ TWh}$  of energy. As a result, a 30-meter air layer can be cooled by  $2.2\text{-}3.6^\circ\text{C}$ .
- ✦ Increasing transpiration impacts on local climate change is possible in the case of enhanced forest productivity. These can be different-aged mixed forests of varying ages, which, for example, contain 100 *Quercus robur* or *Abies alba* per ha, at 150-200 years old. This will increase annual growing stock increment by  $4\text{-}5 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  and could double the regional forest's average productivity. Accordingly, their impact on the gas composition of the air and its cooling will be twice as large.

### Authors' contributions

P.T. – concept development, manuscript planning, data collection, analysis and interpretation, final text editing; Y.Ch. – literary review, analytical procedures, tables construction, draft manuscript preparation.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Professor Hryhoriy Krynytskyi, the Head of the Forestry Department of the National Forestry University of Ukraine for advisory assistance, as well as philologist Mrs. Lydiia Romanyshyn, a teacher at the Prykarpathian Professional College of Forestry and Tourism for the corrections made to the text.

The results of this study were presented at the Shevchenko Scientific Society Ecological Commission panel of the on March 21, 2019.

### References

- Arkley, R., 1963. Relationships between plant growth and transpiration. *Hilgardia* 34 (13): 559-584. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3733/hilg.v34n13p559>.
- Bonan, G., 2019. Climate change and terrestrial ecosystem modeling. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 456. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/9781107339217>.
- Chavarria, G., Pessoa dos Santos, H., 2012. Plant water relations: absorption, transport and control mechanisms. In: *Advances in Selected Plant Physiology Aspects*. IntechOpen, 105-132. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/33478>.
- Chen, X., Zhao, P., Hu, Y., Ouyang, L., Zhu, L., Ni, G., 2019. Canopy transpiration and its cooling effect of three urban tree species in a subtropical city – Guangzhou, China. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 43: 126368. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2019.126368>.
- Chernevyy, J., 2014. Biometricheskie osobennosti starovozrastnykh drevostoev Skolevskikh Beskid (Ukraina) [Biometric features of old-growth forest stands of Skole Beskids (Ukraine)]. In: *Zarządzanie ochroną przyrody w lasach. (Management of Environmental Protection in Forests)*. Rocznik Wyższej Szkoły Zarządzania Środowiskiem w Tucholi. T. VIII, 24-32. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5604/20811438.1158026>. [in Russian].
- Ellison, D., Morris, C.E., Locatelli, B., Sheil, D., Cohen, J., Murdiyarto D., Gutierrez, V., van Noordwijk, M., Creed, I.F., Pokorny, J., Gaveau, D., Spracklen, D.V., Bargaúes Tobella, A., Ilstedt, U., Teuling, A.J., Gebrehiwot, S.G., Sands, D.C., Muys, B., Verbist, B., Springgay, E., Sugandi, Y., Sullivan, C.A., 2017. Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world. *Global Environmental Change*, 43: 51-61. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.01.002>.

- Forest Europe, 2015.** State of Europe's Forests 2015, 314. Available from <https://www.forest-europe.org/docs/fullsoef2015.pdf> [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Global warming of 1.5°C, 2018.** Summary for Policymakers. *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Printed October 2018 by the IPCC, Switzerland, 32. Available from [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/11/SR1.5\\_SPM\\_Low\\_Res.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/11/SR1.5_SPM_Low_Res.pdf) [accessed 22.02.2022].
- Gorte, R.W., Sheikh, P.A., 2010.** Deforestation and climate change. March 24, 2010. Congressional Research Service, 45. Available from <https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R41144.pdf> [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Gupta, S.K., Ram J., Singh H., 2018.** Comparative study of transpiration in cooling effect of tree species in the atmosphere. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, 6: 151-166. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4236/gep.2018.68011>.
- Hadiwijaya, B., Pepin, S., Isabelle, P.E., Nadeau, D., 2020.** The dynamics of transpiration to evapotranspiration ratio under wet and dry canopy conditions in a humid boreal forest. *Forests*, 11: 237. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/f11020237>.
- Harrison, J.L., Reinmann, A.B., Maloney, A.S., Phillips, N., Juice, S.M., Webster, A.J., Templer, P.H., 2020.** Transpiration of dominant tree species varies in response to projected changes in climate: implications for composition and water balance of temperate forest ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, 23: 1598-1613. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00490-y>.
- Hatzianastassiou, N., Matsoukas, C., Fotiadi, A., Pavlakis, K.G., Drakakis, E., Hatzidimitriou, D., Vardavas, I., 2005.** Global distribution of Earth's surface shortwave radiation budget. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5: 2847-2867. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-2847-2005>.
- Hertzberg, M., Schreuder, H., 2016.** Role of atmospheric carbon dioxide in climate change. *Energy and Environment*, 27 (6-7): 785-797. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/09583305X16674637>.
- Khan, Md.Z.A., 2017.** Causes and consequences of greenhouse effect and its catastrophic problems for Earth. *International Journal of Sustainability Management and Information Technologies*, 3 (4): 34-39. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.11648/j.ijsm.20170304.11>.
- Kołodziej, J., 2008.** Kształtowanie się klimatycznego bilansu wodnego na terenie Polski w latach 1981-2000 (Forming of climatic water balance in Poland in the years 1981-2000). *Infrastruktura i Ekologia Terenów Wiejskich*, 5: 85-97. Available from [http://www.infraeco.pl/pl/art/a\\_15243.htm?plik=416](http://www.infraeco.pl/pl/art/a_15243.htm?plik=416) [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Konapala, G., Mishra, A.K., Wada, Y., Mann, M.E., 2020.** Climate change will affect global water availability through compounding changes in seasonal precipitation and evaporation. *Nature Communications*, 11: 3044. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16757-w>.
- Köstner, B., 2001.** Evaporation and transpiration from forests in Central Europe – relevance of patch-level studies for spatial scaling. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 76: 69-82. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s007030170040>.
- Kowalik, P.J., Scalenghe, R., 2009.** Potrzeby wodne roślin energetycznych jako problem oddziaływania na rzecz trwałego i zrównoważonego rozwoju. Ogólnopolski Kongres Inżynierii Środowiska, 13-17 września 2009, 3: 61-69. Available from <https://mostwiedzy.pl/pl/publication/potrzeby-wodne-roslin-energetycznych-jako-problem-oddziaływania-na-srodowisko-w-polsce,11932-1> [accessed 17.07.2021]. [in Polish].
- Laguë, M.M., Pietschnig, M., Ragen, S., Smith, T.A., Battisti, D.S., 2021.** Terrestrial evaporation and global climate: Lessons from Northland, a Planet with a hemispheric continent. *Journal of Climate*, 34 (6): 2253-2276. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0452.1>.
- Lauren, B., 2017.** Deforestation and climate change. Washington: Climate Institute, 17. Available from [http://climate.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/deforestation-final\\_r1.pdf](http://climate.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/deforestation-final_r1.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Lewak, S., 2009.** Fizjologia roślin. Wprowadzenie. Warszawa: PWN, 49. Available from <https://pdf-x.pl/Fizjologia-roslin-Wprowadzenie> [accessed 17.07.2021]. [In Polish].
- Liberacki, D., Szafranski, C., Stasik, R., Korytowski, M., 2008.** Bilans wodny małej zlewni leśnej. *Zeszyty Problemowe Postępów Nauk Rolniczych*, 528: 251-257. Available from <http://www1.up.poznan.pl/imksig/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/Publikacje2008/7-2008.pdf> [accessed 17.07.2021]. [in Polish].
- Maurellis, A., Tennyson, J., 2003.** The climatic effects of water vapour. *Physics World*, 16 (5): 29. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-7058/16/5/33>.
- NACCA, 2011.** Primer on Climate Change Science. National Association of Clean Air Agencies. July 2011, 16. Available from <http://www.4cleanair.org/Documents/NACAAClimateSciencePrimerpost.pdf> [accessed 17.07.2021].
- OECD/IEA, 2015.** Energy and Climate Change. World Energy Outlook Special Report. Paris: International Energy Agency, 198 dp. Available from <https://www.actu-environnement.com/media/pdf/news-24754-rapport-aie.pdf> [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Pachauri, R.K., Allen, M.R., Barros, V.R. et al., 2014.** Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. R. Pachauri and L. Meyer, eds. Geneva: IPCC, 151. Available from [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/AR5\\_SYR\\_FINAL\\_SPM.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/AR5_SYR_FINAL_SPM.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021].

- Ponte, S., Sonti, N.F., Phillips, T.H., Pavao-Zuckerman, M.A., 2021. Transpiration rates of red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.) differ between management contexts in urban forests of Maryland, USA. *Scientific Reports*, 11: 22538. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01804-3>.
- Pretzsch, H., 2009. From primary production to growth and harvestable yield and vice versa: specific definitions and the link between two branches of forest science. In: *Forest dynamics, growth and yield: from measurement to model*. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 41-99. DOI: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88307-4\\_2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88307-4_2)
- Publichnyy zvit DALRU za 2020 rik. [DALRU Public Report for 2020], 37. Available from [https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gnksEnP3cbw5\\_G-vPklXzUV0lxG\\_EQoL/view](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gnksEnP3cbw5_G-vPklXzUV0lxG_EQoL/view) [accessed 17.07.2021] [in Ukrainian].
- Rákóczi, F., Iványi, Z., 1999-2000. Water vapour and greenhouse effect. *Geofizika*, 16-17: 65-72. Available from [http://geofizika-journal.gfz.hr/Vol\\_1617/geofizika\\_1617\\_19992000\\_65-72\\_rakoczi.pdf](http://geofizika-journal.gfz.hr/Vol_1617/geofizika_1617_19992000_65-72_rakoczi.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Raport o stanie lasów w Polsce, 2019. Warszawa: Państwowe Gospodarstwo Leśne Lasy Państwowe, czerwiec 2020, 181. Available from [https://www.bdl.lasy.gov.pl/portal/Media/Default/Publikacje/raport\\_o\\_stanie\\_lasow\\_2019.pdf](https://www.bdl.lasy.gov.pl/portal/Media/Default/Publikacje/raport_o_stanie_lasow_2019.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021] [in Polish].
- Sanderson, M., Santini, M., Valentini, R., Popeh, E., 2012. Relationships between forests and weather. EC Directorate General of the Environment. 13<sup>th</sup> January 2012, 192. Available from [https://ec.europa.eu/environment/forests/pdf/EU\\_Forests\\_annex1.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/environment/forests/pdf/EU_Forests_annex1.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Sherwood, S.C., Dixit1V., Salomez, C., 2018. The global warming potential of near-surface emitted water vapour. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13 (10): 104006. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aae018>.
- Schlesinger, W.H., Jasechko, S., 2014. Transpiration in the global water cycle. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 189-190: 115-117. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.01.011>.
- Schneider, T., O’Gorman, P., Levine, X., 2010. Water vapor and the dynamics of climate change. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 48: RG3001. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009RG000302>.
- Segalstad, T., 1998. Carbon cycle modelling and the residence time of natural and anthropogenic atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: on the construction of the ‘Greenhouse Effect Global Warming’ dogma. *Global Warming: The Continuing Debate*, 22. Available from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237706208\\_Carbon\\_cycle\\_modelling\\_and\\_the\\_residence\\_time\\_of\\_natural\\_and\\_anthropogenic\\_atmospheric\\_CO\\_2\\_on\\_the\\_construction\\_of\\_the\\_Greenhouse\\_Effect\\_Global\\_Warming\\_dogma](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237706208_Carbon_cycle_modelling_and_the_residence_time_of_natural_and_anthropogenic_atmospheric_CO_2_on_the_construction_of_the_Greenhouse_Effect_Global_Warming_dogma) [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Avery, K., Tignor, M., Miller, H., 2007. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Working Group I Contribution to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, 93-128. Available from [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/05/ar4\\_wg1\\_full\\_report-1.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/05/ar4_wg1_full_report-1.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Stephens, G.L., Tjemkes, S.A., 1993. Water vapour and its role in the earth’s greenhouse. *Australian Journal of Physics*, 46: 149-66. Available from <https://www.publish.csiro.au/PH/pdf/PH930149> [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M., eds., 2013. *Climate Change. The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 1535. Available from [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5\\_all\\_final.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5_all_final.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021].
- Tretyak, P.R., Chernevyy, Y.I., 2020. Materialno-enerhetychnyi vplyv lisovoi roslynnosti na dovkillia (Matter and energy influence of forest vegetation on the environment) *Naukovi pratsi Lisivnychoi akademii nauk Ukrainy*, 21: 11-21. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.15421/412021> [in Ukrainian].
- Tretyak, P., Chernevyy, Y., 2012. Increase of forest stands and the ecological potential of forest ecosystem. Natural human environment. Dangers, protection, education. Monograph. Warszawa: Oficyna Wydawnicza Wyższej Szkoły Ekologii i Zarządzania, 389-396.
- Tretyak, P., Czernevyy, J., 2013. Przyrost drzew starszego wieku w lasach karpackiej części zlewni Dniestru [Increase of old age trees in forest of the Carpathian part of the Dniester River basin]. *Roczniki Bieszczadzkie*, 21: 184-200. Available from <https://www.bdpn.pl/dokumenty/nauka/2013/rb21/art14.pdf> [accessed 17.07.2021] [in Polish]
- Tretyak, P., Chernevyy, Y., 2018. *The grow of trees of the Carpathian forests (in the basin of the Dniester River)*. Lviv: Publishing House of Lviv Polytechnic National University, 202. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.23939/book.growth.2018>.
- Tretyak, P., Czernevyy, J., 2018. Aktualny stan i naturalne procesy rozwoju lasów mieszanych w Gorganach (Karpaty Wschodnie, Ukraina) [Current state and natural development processes of the mixed forest in the Gorgany range (Ukrainian Carpathians, Ukraine)]. *Roczniki Bieszczadzkie*, 26: 87-103. Available from <https://www.bdpn.pl/dokumenty/roczniki/rb26/art04.pdf> [accessed 17.07.2021]. [in Polish].
- Tretyak, P., Kostenko, A., Patsura, I., Savchyn, A., Savytska, A., Poznych, I., 2016. Former Forests of the Carpathian part of the Dniester River basin as of 01-01-2001. Department of Forestry of NFTU of Ukraine. Database and web search engine. Available from <http://econtsh.astra.in.ua/lis.php?lang=en> [accessed 07.02.2022].
- Vaquero-Martínez, J., Antón, M., Sanchez-Lorenzo, A., Cachorro, V.E., 2020. Evaluation of Water Vapor Radiative Effects Using GPS Data Series over Southwestern Europe. *Remote Sensing*, 12: 1307. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/rs12081307>.

- Vasylyshyn, R.D., Bokoch, V.V., Vasylyshyn, O.M. et al., 2012. Struktura fitomasy liśowych błocenozlv Karpats'kogo naclonal'nogo prirodnoho parku (The structure of the forest biocenoses biomass in Carpathian National Nature Park). *Naukovij vsnik NLTU Ukrainy*, 22.4: 77-85. Available from [http://www.irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis\\_nbuv/cgiirbis\\_64.exe?I21DBN=LINK&P21DBN=UJRN&Z21ID=&S21REF=10&S21CNR=20&S21S21TN=1&S21FMT=ASP\\_meta&C21COM=S&2\\_S21P03=FILEA=&2\\_S21STR=nvntlu\\_2012\\_22.4\\_15](http://www.irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis_nbuv/cgiirbis_64.exe?I21DBN=LINK&P21DBN=UJRN&Z21ID=&S21REF=10&S21CNR=20&S21S21TN=1&S21FMT=ASP_meta&C21COM=S&2_S21P03=FILEA=&2_S21STR=nvntlu_2012_22.4_15) [accessed 17.07.2021] [in Ukrainian].
- Wang, J., Li, W., Ciais, P., Li, L.Z.X., Chang J., Goll D., Gasser T., Huang X., Devaraju N., Boucher O., 2021. Global cooling induced by biophysical effects of bioenergy crop cultivation. *Nature Communications*, 12: 7255. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27520-0>.
- Ziarnicka-Wojtaszek A. 2015. Klimatyczny bilans wodny na obszarze Polski w świetle współczesnych zmian klimatu. *Woda-Środowisko-Obszary Wiejskie*, 15, 4 (52): 93-100. Available from [https://www.itp.edu.pl/old/wydawnictwo/woda/zeszyt\\_52\\_2015/Ziarnicka.pdf](https://www.itp.edu.pl/old/wydawnictwo/woda/zeszyt_52_2015/Ziarnicka.pdf) [accessed 17.07.2021]. [in Polish].

## STRESZCZENIE

### Wpływ wzrostu biomasy leśnej na intensywność parowania i możliwe zmiany klimatyczne

Przyrost fitomasy lasu jest istotnym czynnikiem wpływającym na skład gazowy nadziemnej warstwy powietrza. Intensywność fotosyntezy determinuje nie tylko proporcjonalny wzrost fitomasy i absorpcję dwutlenku węgla z powietrza, ale wzbogaca nadziemną warstwę powietrza w tlen i wilgoć, głównie dzięki towarzyszącej transpiracji. Intensywne parowanie wymaga pobierania ze środowiska dużej ilości energii cieplnej, co powoduje ochłodzenie otaczającego powietrza. Na tych podstawach pojęciowych i odpowiadającym im prawach fizycznych opracowano metodę obliczeń transferu substancji oraz energii pomiędzy roślinnością leśną a 30-metrową niższą warstwą powietrza (tab. 1, 2).

Do badań wykorzystano oficjalne dane statystyczne o przyroście lasów w regionie europejskim oraz w Polsce i Ukrainie. Modelowanie perspektywiczne przeprowadzono na podstawie wyników własnych badań biometrycznych.

Obliczenia (tab. 3, 4, 5) dowiodły, że roczny przyrost zasobów roślinnych  $4,1 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  w lasach Europy Środkowo-Wschodniej zapewnia nie tylko depozycję  $2,46 \text{ t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  węgla w wyniku fotosyntezy, ale towarzyszy mu także transpiracja wilgoci w ilości od 3 do 5 tys.  $\text{t} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ . Wymaga to zużycia od 2,0 do  $3,4 \text{ GWh} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  energii. W sumie lasy te produkują w ciągu roku od 131,28 do 218,94 Gt pary wodnej. Na te procesy lasy zużywają na ogół od 90,78 do 150,4 TWh energii. Dzięki temu 30-metrową warstwę powietrza można schłodzić o  $2,2\text{-}3,6^\circ\text{C}$ . W Polsce ten wskaźnik wpływu roślinności leśnej na chłodzenie powietrza powinien wynosić od 3 do  $5^\circ\text{C}$ , a w Ukrainie – od 2 do  $3,4^\circ\text{C}$ .

Obliczenia perspektywiczne drzewostanów modelowych, składających się ze 100 starych drzew, wykazały dostępne rezerwy dla zwiększenia produktywności lasu oraz działania nawilżającego i chłodzącego na powierzchniową warstwę powietrza. Z przedstawionych materiałów (tab. 6, 7, 8) wynika, że w Karpatach Ukraińskich obecność 100 starych drzew *Abies alba* w drzewostanach na powierzchni 1 ha może zwiększyć produkcję tlenu i transpirację wilgoci 2-3 razy, a 30-metrową warstwę graniczną powietrza schłodzić o  $5\text{-}14^\circ\text{C}$ . Również starsze drzewa innych gatunków mogą znacząco wpływać na kształtowanie się tutejszego klimatu. Są to *Fagus sylvatica* i *Quercus robur* w wieku 100 i 200 lat.

Zwiększenie wpływu transpiracji na lokalne zmiany klimatu jest możliwe w przypadku wzrostu produktywności lasów. Mogą to być lasy mieszane w różnym wieku, w których na powierzchni 1 ha znajduje się 100 starych drzew *Quercus robur* lub *Abies alba* w wieku 150-200 lat.

Spowoduje to roczny przyrost miąższości drzewostanów o 4-5 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>. Mogłoby to podwoić średnią produktywność lasów w regionie, a ich wpływ na skład gazowy powietrza i jego chłodzenie byłby dwukrotnie większy.