Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW Agriculture No 67 (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 2016: 29–39 (Ann. Warsaw Univ. Life Sci. – SGGW, Agricult. 67, 2016)

## **Infl uence of soil humidity level onto readings of cone penetrometers in compaction measurements**

#### JACEK KLONOWSKI, JERZY BULIŃSKI

Department of Agricultural and Forest Machinery, Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW

Abstract: Influence of soil humidity onto read*ings of cone penetrometers in compaction measurements.* The study presents results of soil compaction measurements on sandy clay with the use of four penetrometers differing in terms of geometrical dimensions of the measurement end piece. The investigation was conducted on sandy clay with the bulk density of 1.5 g·cm<sup>-3</sup>, at the humidity levels of 7.64 and 10.4%. Compaction was determined for the superficial layer of  $1-7$  cm. The conducted measurements demonstrated that penetrometers with a cone shaped end piece with the apex angle of 30°, in the conditions of identical bulk density of the soil, but once its humidity increased from 7.64 to 10.4%, demonstrated – on average – lower soil compaction by 35.5%; however, three out of four penetrometers demonstrated a compaction reduction by over 39 to 40.8%. In the same soil conditions, penetrometers with the apex angle of 60° rendered much higher readouts than penetrometers with K30 cones, whereas growth in soil humidity from 7.64 to 10.4% resulted in an average compaction reduction by 32.9%. The influence of soil humidity level onto its compaction turned out to be statistically significant in measurements with penetrometers with the cone base area of 1 and  $3.\overline{3}3 \text{ cm}^2$  and the apex angle of 30°, as well as with penetrometers with the cone base area of 1, 2 and  $3.33 \text{ cm}^2$  and the apex angle of 60°. A linear regression model was developed to demonstrate the relationship between soil compaction and humidity, measurement depth and penetrometer cone parameters.

*Key words*: cone penetrometer, dimensions, soil humidity, soil compaction

#### INTRODUCTION

Soil density and humidity are among the most important factors allowing growth and cropping of plants [Buckman and Brady 1971, Jabłoński 1982, Krężel et al. 1999]. Moreover, humidity has significant influence onto the soil's susceptibility to densification [Soane et al. 1981, Etana and Håkansson 1994, Mosaddeghi et al. 2000]. According to Lejman and Owsiak [2001], the relationship between density and compaction may be described by a power function with the value of average absolute error up to 5% depending on the compaction measurement method. These soil properties are included in descriptions of investigation conditions, in overviews of changes caused by the influence of tools onto the soil [Lejman 2008, Buliński and Niemczyk 2009, 2015, Buliński et al. 2012, Buliński and Sergiel 2014, Powałka and Buliński 2014]. In investigation practice, penetrometers with different base areas and apex angles are used, hammered [Idkowiak and Kordas 2004] or pushed into the soil with various methods (manually, mechanically), at a different speed [Lejman and Owsiak 2001, Sudduth et al. 2004, Brennenstuhl 2013, Fountas et al. 2013]. This diversity of measurement methods in different conditions of soil densification and humidity may lead to differences with respect to obtained values, making soil compaction figures obtained in this way difficult to compare. From the investigation conducted by Klonowski and Buliński [2016] it results that in soil conditions identical in terms of densification, density measurements performed with penetrometers differing in terms of size of the measurement cone may render completely different values. Moreover, no information is available regarding how the compaction measurement results performed in such soil conditions will differ in case of humidity change.

This investigation, constituting extension of the problem undertaken previously [Klonowski and Buliński 2016], is expected to provide information regarding the degree of influence of soil humidity change onto readings of cone penetrometers in soil compaction measurements.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The investigation was carried out in a soil channel (10  $\times$  2  $\times$  1 m, length  $\times$  $\times$  width  $\times$  depth) filled with sandy clay, at two average humidity levels of the measurement layer 7.64 and 10.64%. A description of the investigation station is contained in previous publications of the authors [Waszkiewicz et al. 2009, Klonowski and Buliński 2015]. Before measurements, soil in the channel was scarified down to the depth of 30 cm and subsequently, after levelling of the sur-

face, the soil was densified by rolling it over using a smooth cylinder with own weight of  $360 \text{ kg}$  at the speed of  $0.2 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ , which allowed average densification of the 0–20 cm measurement level at  $Go =$  $= 1.5$  g·cm<sup>-3</sup>. As in the authors' previous investigations [Klonowski and Buliński 2016], because of the highest and statistically significant differences in terms of compaction obtained during passage of the cone through the superficial layer, the analysis considered readings for the  $0-7$  cm profile.

Bulk density of the soil was determined by picking samples with an Eijkelkamp probe with a  $100 \text{ cm}^3$  measuring cylinders. Soil compaction was measured using the Penetrologger cone penetrometer in compliance with the methodology described in Klonowski and Buliński [2016].

Measurements were performed using four end pieces with the cone apex angle of 30° (K30) and four end pieces with the cone apex angle of  $60^{\circ}$  (K60). Four repetitions were made for each measurement variant (base area  $\times$  cone apex angle). Characteristic cone dimensions are specified in Table 1.

Identifications used in the descriptions consider the penetrometer dimensions – for example: penetrometer S1 K30 means that the penetrometer had a cone with the base diameter of 11.28 mm and apex angle of 30°.

## **RESULTS**

Results of soil compaction measurements with the use of penetrometers with the apex angle of 30° (K30) are presented in Figure 1.

Penetrometer	Cone base area $\lceil$ cm <sup>2</sup> $\rceil$	Cone base diameter [mm]	Apex angle		
			$30^{\circ}$ (K30)	$60^{\circ}$ (K60)	
			lateral area $\lceil mm^2 \rceil$	lateral area $\lceil mm^2 \rceil$	
S1	1.0	11.28	386.1	199.9	
S2	2.0	15.96	773.0	400.1	
S3	3.33	20.60	1287.7	666.6	
S4	5.0	25.23	1931.6	9991	

TABLE 1. Characteristic dimensions of the cone shaped penetrometer end pieces



FIGURE 1. Soil compaction changes measured by penetrometers with a cone shaped end piece with the apex angle of 30° at soil humidity of 7.64% (a) and 10.4% (b)

A comparison of the presented graphs leads to the conclusion that there exists an opposite relationship between soil compaction values and cone sizes. At the humidity level of 7.64%, the highest readings were definitely obtained with penetrometer S1 – penetrometer with the smallest cone. Humidity increase did not render such unambiguous differences in the readings of particular cones, with penetrometers S1 and S2 cm rendering similar compaction values. Based on analysis of the measured values it can be concluded that after soil humidity increase from 7.64 to 10.4%, all penetrometers showed much lower compaction, with differences in readings covering the whole measurement depth. In the case of penetrometer S1, readings at the humidity level of 10.4% were lower, on average, by 0.212 MPa, i.e. 40.7% than at the humidity of 7.64%. Penetrometer S2 with a cone twice as large, showed lower soil compaction after humidity increase by, on average, 0.082 MPa, i.e. 21.2% – nearly two times less than penetrometer S1.

Based on comparison of readings of penetrometer S3 it can be seen that in case of soil with higher humidity the readings were lower, on average, by  $0.154$  MPa, i.e. by  $40.7\%$  – as in the case of measurements performed with penetrometer S1. Penetrometer with the largest cone shaped end piece, S4, showed a lower soil compaction value, on average, by 0.124 MPa, i.e. by over 39%, after humidity increase from 7.64 to 10.4%.

Considering all the penetrometers used in the investigation  $(S1 – S4)$  with the apex angle of 30°, one may conclude that in case of identical bulk density of the soil, a humidity increase by 40% resulted in a compaction decrease by, on average, 35.5%, with three, four penetrometers demonstrating compaction reduction by over 39 to 40.8%. The location, scattering and shape of distribution of the compaction values measured by cones with the apex angle of 30° at two soil humidity levels is presented in the graph in Figure 2.

Analysis of the values presented in the value graph shows noticeable influence of soil humidity onto penetrometer readings, expressed as the difference in the location of data blocks. One may also claim that, except for one measurement



FIGURE 2. Soil compaction changes and their distribution in measurements by penetrometers with the apex angle of 30° at two soil humidity levels

variant (S1 W1), all the others are characterised with a clear left-sided asymmetry of data distribution and high scattering of measured values.

At both soil humidity levels, penetrometer S1 with a K30 cone showed the highest concentration of readings, and the value of scattering, especially in the case of the lower humidity level, increased along with dimensions of the cone shaped end piece. This phenomenon is not favourable, as in case of a high standard deviation, operating with a data set average value may lead to increase in the error rate and incorrect interpretations of penetrometer readings.

Results of the statistical analysis performed with the method of significance test of difference in average values of soil compaction measurements at two humidity levels, obtained with penetrometers with a 30° apex angle cone, are presented in Table 2.

Analysis results show that, in case of identical soil densification, humidity increase led to a statistically significant differentiation of measured values obtained

from penetrometers S1 and S3 with a 30° cone shaped end piece. Differences in soil compaction values measured with penetrometers S2 and S4 at two humidity levels were smaller and statistically insignificant.

The influence of soil humidity onto readings of penetrometers with the apex angle of 60° (K60) in compaction measurements is presented in Figure 3.

A comparison of the above graphs leads to the conclusion that, in the same conditions, penetrometers with a cone shaped end piece with the apex angle of 60° (K60) demonstrated much higher compaction values than penetrometers with K30 cones. At the soil humidity of 7.64%, the highest measured values were those of penetrometer S1, whereas the lowest values were those of penetrometer S4. The other penetrometers recorded intermediate values. As it was the case with penetrometers with a smaller cone (K30), humidity growth resulted in a noticeable decrease in measured values, especially in the case of penetrometer S1. As with the K30 cone penetrometer,

Cone angle	Penetrometer	Soil humidity	Average compaction [ $MPa$ ]	$t_{cal}$	$P$ -value
$30^\circ$	S1	W1	0.541286	3.9268*	0.002010
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.329143		
	S <sub>2</sub>	W1	0.412429	1.40844	0.184378
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.330571		
	S <sub>3</sub>	W1	0.396429		0.021819
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.242143	2.63386*	
	S4	W1	0.318714	2.01805	0.066512
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.194286		

TABLE 2. Statistical analysis of soil compaction measurement results performed by penetrometers with a 30° apex angle cone

\*Statistically significant difference at the confidence level of 95%.



FIGURE 3. Soil compaction changes measured by penetrometers with a cone shaped end piece with the apex angle of 60° at soil humidity of 7.64% (a) and 10.4% (b)

differences in readings between penetrometers S2 and S3 were not clearly oriented at higher humidity.

A comparison of readings of particular penetrometers leads to the conclusion that, after soil humidity increase, compaction values measured with penetrometer S1 were lower, on average, by 0.307 MPa, i.e. 42.2%. As far as the other penetrometers are concerned, reading differences were smaller. On more humid soil (10.4%), compaction values measured with penetrometer S2 were lower, on average, by 0.18 MPa, i.e. 35.8%, whereas respective values for penetrometer S3 were 0.34 MPa, i.e. 28%, and for penetrometer S4: 0.102 MPa, which reflected reduction of the readings by 25.5%.

Considering readings of all penetrometers with the apex angle of 60°, one may claim that growth in soil humidity from 7.64 to 10.4% on soil with uniform bulk density resulted in average compaction reduction by 32.9%; the reduction is on a similar level as in the case of measurements performed with K30 cone penetrometers.

The location, dispersion and shape of distribution of the compaction values measured by cones with the apex angle of 60° at two soil humidity levels is presented in the graph in Figure 4.

is decisive for the degree of differences among the readings of particular penetrometers.

Results of the statistical analysis of soil compaction measurements at two humidity levels, obtained with penetrometers with a 60° apex angle cone (K60), are presented in Table 3.

Results presented in the table allow the conclusion that, after change in soil humidity, soil compaction values measured with penetrometers S1, S2 and S3 with a K60 cone shaped end piece changed in a statistically significant manner, whereas



FIGURE 4. Soil compaction changes and their distribution in measurements by penetrometers with the apex angle of 60° at two soil humidity levels

Analysis of values presented in the figure leads to the conclusion that  $-$  as in the case of measurements performed at lower soil humidity – penetrometer S1 showed the highest soil compaction value at both humidity levels, and the influence of soil humidity on its readings was the greatest. Another similarity is the left-sided asymmetry in distribution of the property, without extreme, right- -sided observations. Asymmetrical distribution of data confirms the thesis that resistance encountered by the cone shaped end piece of the penetrometer during passage through the top soil layer differences in soil compaction measured with penetrometer S4 were smaller and statistically insignificant. This observation confirms the need to develop appropriate recalculation coefficients in order to be able to obtain comparable results of the compaction measurements with the use of penetrometers equipped with different cone shaped end pieces.

In order to determine the likelihood of particular independent factors (soil humidity, cone base diameter, cone apex angle) becoming the reason of differences between the observed group average values of soil compaction, the variance

Cone angle	Penetrometer	Soil humidity	Average compaction [ $MPa$ ]	t-statistic	$P$ -value
$60^\circ$	S <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	0.737429	4.49779*	0.000729
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.430571		
	S <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	0.506857	3.94308*	0.001952
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.325714		
	S <sub>3</sub>	W1	0.490286	2.40787*	0.033041
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.355714		
	S4	W1	0.408492	1.71363	0.112286
		W <sub>2</sub>	0.306571		

TABLE 3. Statistical analysis of soil compaction measurement results performed by penetrometers with a 60° apex angle cone

\*Statistically significant difference at the confidence level of 95%.

analysis was performed for all the measurement results. Results of the analysis performed with the method of sum of square values of type III are presented in Table 4.

The obtained test values of  $P$  ( $\leq 0.05$ ) indicate that in the conditions of conducted investigation, each of the analysed independent factors had significant influence onto the measured soil compaction values at the level of confidence of 0.95%.

The analysis results gave ground to determine a regression equation describing the relations between soil compaction and independent factors. Results of the multi-variable regression analysis are presented in Table 5.

Based on the analysis results, soil compaction (*Pk*) may be related to independent factors (measurement depth – *a*, penetrometer cone angle  $-K$ , cone base area – *S*, soil humidity – *W*, by means of a linear regression model in the following form:

 $Pk = 0.5508 + 0.0406 \times a + 0.0039 \times$  $\times K - 0.0458 \times S - 0.03727 \times W$ 

for which:  $R^2 = 75.5\%$ , *SEE standard* =  $= 0.0816$ , *MAE* = 0.0615.

Source	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	$F_{\alpha=0.05}$	$\boldsymbol{P}$
a	1.04086	<sub>b</sub>	0.173477	51.55	0.0000
K	0.19671		0.19671	58.45	0.0000
	0.600558		0.200186	59.49	0.0000
W	0.197554		0.197554	58.71	0.0000
Rest	0.336519	100	0.00336519		
Total	2.91078	111			

TABLE 4. Variance analysis for soil compaction (Pk)

*a* – measurement depth [cm], *K* – cone apex angle  $[°]$ , *S* – cone base area [cm<sup>2</sup>], W – soil humidity.

Parameter	Estimate	Standard error	<i>t</i> -statistic	P-value
Constant	0.550801	0.0837373	6.57773	0.0000
a	0.040567	0.00385707	10.5176	0.0000
	0.00395119	0.000727296	5.43271	0.0000
	$-0.0457619$	0.00514371	$-8.89667$	0.0000
W	$-0.0372736$	0.00684627	$-5.44436$	0.0000

TABLE 5. Multi-variable regression analysis for soil compaction (dependent variable *Pk*)

Units of particular variables of the equation as indicated in Table 4.

The above equation, based on group average values for soil compaction, may be considered for the scope of conducted investigation, i.e. with the use of cone penetrometers with the *S* and *K* parameters as above, on sandy clay with the average humidity from 7.64 to 10.4%, bulk density of  $1.5$  g·cm<sup>-3</sup> and prepared for measurements performed in laboratory conditions. If the scope of applying the relationship was to be extended, this would require performance of respective field investigations, on different soils, taking into account their variability, both in terms of humidity, densification and spatial differentiation typical of natural formations.

## CONCLUSIONS

The investigations and analyses performed allow drawing of the following conclusions.

- 1. In the conditions of uniform soil densification, humidity growth resulted in reduced compaction readings, with change values depending on the geometrical dimensions of cones of the penetrometers used in the investigation.
- 2. In most of the measurement variants, both the values of soil compaction

and changes after humidity increase were negatively correlated with the penetrometer cone sizes.

- 3. In the case of penetrometers with a cone shaped end piece with the apex angle of 30°, after soil humidity increase from 7.64 to 10.4%, the compaction value shown was lower by 21.2 to 40.7%, and on average by 35.5%, whereas in the same soil conditions, compaction measured with penetrometers with a cone shaped end piece with the apex angle of 60° was lower by 25.5 to 42%, and on average by 32.9%.
- 4. Measurement values obtained with penetrometer S1 with a K30 cone at both soil humidity levels were characterised with the greatest concentration. Scattering of measurement values obtained by particular penetrometers, especially at lower soil humidity, increased along with dimensions of the cone shaped end piece.

### REFERENCES

- BARANOWSKI R. 1980: Wpływ gęstości gleby na jej agrofizyczne właściwości. Roczniki Gleboznawcze 31, 2, 15–31.
- BRENNENSTUHL M. 2013: Ocena właściwości trakcyjnych układu koło – podłoże w aspekcie zdolności uciągowych ciągnika jako uniwersalnego źródła energii

pociągowej. Wydział Przyrodniczo-Technologiczny Uniwersytet Przyrodniczy we Wrocławiu. PhD thesis, MS.

- BUCKMAN H.C., BRADY N.C. 1971: Gleba i jej właściwości. PWRiL, Warszawa.
- BULIŃSKI J., NIEMCZYK H. 2009: Changes in some physical properties of soil during vegetation period of winter rape. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW, Agriculture (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 53: 5–11.
- BULIŃSKI J., NIEMCZYK H. 2015: Assessment of border effect in wheat cultivation with tramlines. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW, Agriculture (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 65: 21–30.
- BULIŃSKI J. , NIEMCZYK H., KRYSIK P.  $2012$ : Effect of tractor outfit traffic mode on changes in soil density and arrangement of potato tubers in ridge. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW, Agriculture (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 60: 5–14.
- BULIŃSKI J., SERGIEL L. 2014: Effect of moisture content on soil density – compaction relaction during soil compacting in the soil bin. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW, Agriculture (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 64: 5–14.
- ETANA A., HÅKANSSON I. 1994: Swedish experiments on the persistence of subsoil compaction caused by vehicles with high load. Soil & Tillage Research 24, 1: 41–56.
- FOUNTAS S., PARAFOROS D., CAVA-LARIS C., KARAMOUTIS C., A. GEM-TOS T., ABU-KHALAF N., TAGARA-KIS A. 2013: A five-point penetrometer with GPS for measuring soil compaction variability. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 96: 109–116.
- IDKOWIAK M., KORDAS L. 2004: Wpływ sposobu uprawy roli i nawożenia azotem na zmiany właściwości fizycznych gleby w uprawie pszenżyta ozimego. Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Lublin – Polonia, 3, E: 1097–1104.
- JABŁOŃSKI B.,1982: Ogólna Uprawa Roli i Roślin. 2nd edh. PWRil, Warszawa.
- KLONOWSKI J., BULIŃSKI J. 2016: Influence of geometrical penetrometer dimensions onto readings in soil compaction measurements. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW. Agriculture (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 67: 5–17.
- KNITTEL H., STANZEL H. 1976: Untersuchungen des Bodengefuges mit Penetrometr und Rammsonde. Z. f. Acker- u. Pflanzenbau 142, 3: 181-193.
- KRĘŻEL B., PARYLAK D., ZIMNY L. 1999: Zagadnienia uprawy roli i roślin. Wydawnictwo Akademii Rolniczej, Wrocław.
- LEJMAN K. 2008: Wpływ zwięzłości gleby na wartości sił pionowych działających na narzędzia kultywacyjne. Inżynieria Rolnicza 5 (103): 51–57.
- LEJMAN K., OWSIAK Z. 2001: Penetrometryczna metoda rozkładu gęstości w profilu glebowym. Inżynieria Rolnicza 1, 189–195.
- MOSADDEGHI M.R., HAJABBASI M.A., HEMMAT A., AFYUNI M. 2000: Soil compactibility as affected by soil moisture content and farmyard manure in central Iran. Soil & Tillage Research 55: 87–97.
- POWAŁKA M., BULIŃSKI J. 2014: Effect of compacting soil on changes in its strength. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW, Agriculture (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 63: 5–14.
- SOANE B.D., BLACKWELL P.S., DICK-SON J.W., PAINTER D.J., 1981: Compaction by agricultural vehicles. Soil & Tillage 1: 207 – 237.
- SUDDUTH K.A., HUMMEL J.W., DRUM-MOND S.T. 2004: Comparison Of The Veris Profi ler 3000 To An ASAE−Standard Penetrometer. Applied Engineering in Agriculture 20 (5): 535−541.
- WASZKIEWICZ CZ., KLONOWSKI J., GŁUCH J. 2009: Effect of plough body on the quality of ploughing. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW, Agriculture (Agricultural and Forest Engineering) 54: 5–9.

**Streszczenie:** *Wpływ wilgotności gleby na wskazania sond stożkowych w pomiarach zwięzłości.*  Przedstawiono wyniki badań zwięzłości gleby wykonane na glinie piaszczystej z wykorzystaniem czterech sond różniących się geometrycznymi wymiarami końcówki pomiarowej. Badania przeprowadzono na glinie piaszczystej przy jej zagęszczeniu  $1.5 \text{ g/cm}^{-3}$  i dwóch wilgotnościach 7,64 oraz 10,4%. Wzrost wilgotności gleby skutkował zmniejszeniem się wartości wskazań sond i w większości wariantów pomiarowych wartości zmian zależały od wymiarów geometrycznych stożków sond użytych w badaniach. Równocześnie, wraz ze zmianą wymiarów końcówki stożkowej zwiększało się rozproszenie wartości pomiarowych. Sondy z końcówką stożkową o kącie wierzchołkowym 30°, po zwiększeniu wilgotności gleby z 7,64 do 10,4% wykazywały mniejszą zwięzłość średnio o 35,5%, natomiast w tych samych warunkach glebowych zwięzłość mierzona sondami ze stożkami o kącie rozwarcia 60° była mniejsza średnio o 32,9%.

#### *MS received January 2016*

#### **Authors' address:**

Jacek Klonowski, Jerzy Buliński Wydział Inżynierii Produkcji SGGW Katedra Maszyn Rolniczych i Leśnych 02-787 Warszawa, ul. Nowoursynowska 166 Poland e-mail: jacek\_klonowski @sggw.pl jbulinski@wp.pl