EFFICACY OF FOLIAR APPLICATION OF GA3 AND K ON GROWTH AND BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF TWO F1 HYBRID PARTHENOCARPIC CULTIVARS OF CUCUMBER

Priyanka PAL¹, Kuldeep YADAV², Krishan KUMAR³, Narender SINGH¹* ¹Department of Botany, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra-136119, Haryana, India ²Department of Botany, Gandhi Memorial National College, Ambala Cantt. (Haryana), 133001 India ³Centre of Excellence for Vegetables Indo-Israel, Gharaunda (Karnal), Haryana, India

Received: July 2017; Accepted: December 2018

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted in anti-insect net house for three consecutive years (2013–2016) with the goal of improving the growth and biochemical contents in two F1 cultivars of cucumber, 'Sevenstar' and 'KUK 9'. Treatment was given in the form of foliar spray containing different concentrations of gibberellic acid (GA₃) [0.005 g·dm⁻³ (G₁), 0.01 g·dm⁻³ (G₂), and 0.015 g·dm⁻³ (G₃)] and potassium [1.0 g·dm⁻³ (K₁), 2.5 g·dm⁻³ (K₂), and 5.0 g·dm⁻³ (K₃)] alone and as combinations. All the treatments significantly enhanced plant growth and yield over control. The combination K₂G₂ showed a marked increase in growth parameters (leaf area, flower number, total dry matter production, growth rate, net assimilation rate) and biochemical attributes (total sugar content, starch, protein). The cultivar 'KUK 9' had more increased parameter values than the 'Sevenstar'. This study provides a direct evidence of the beneficial role of the application of potassium and gibberellic acid on growth, biochemical attributes, and yield of cucumber.

Keywords: Cucumis sativus; cultivars; foliar spray; biochemical attributes yield

INTRODUCTION

World people population is increasing exponentially, and there are many challenges to be confronted to maintain the mandatory food production. Vegetable crops are important constituents of agriculture and nutritional security because of their short production cycle, nutritional richness, high yield, economic viability, and ability to generate onfarm and off-farm employment. In recent time, the introduction of parthenocarpic cultivars of cucumber revolutionized its cultivation under covers, facilitating production and increasing yield (Cheema et al. 2004; Singh et al. 2004).

Crop growth, productivity, and quality mainly depend on its genetic potential and its interaction with fertigation and exogenous supplementation of growth substances in addition to its response to the environmental conditions. Foliar spray is an economical way of supplementing plant growth substances and fertilizers when they are in short supply or in unavailable form in the soil and also reduce the amount of nutrient usage (Jamal et al. 2006).

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) is one of the most popular and profitable vegetable crops in the world (Best 2000). It is consumed because of its nutritional value and also serves as an ingredient of cosmetic industry. Its medicinal value was also reported (Talalay et al. 2007; Patil et al. 2012). 'Sevenstar' and 'KUK 9' are two important F1 hybrid parthenocarpic cultivars of a similar habit.

Despite various studies on the soil and root nutrition, unfortunately, only a few studies have been conducted on the impact of foliar application of minerals and growth regulators on the growth and yield of parthenocarpic vegetables. Keeping the above in mind, we investigated the influence of foliar application of K and GA₃ on growth and biochemical attributes of two F1 parthenocarpic cucumber cultivars.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation was carried out at the Centre of Excellence for Vegetables, Gharaunda (Karnal), Haryana, India, located at a latitude of 29°32' N and a longitude of 76°59' E, under anti-insect net house from September to December for three consecutive years (2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016) at temperatures of 32-34 °C (day) and 17-27 °C (night). The experiment was conducted in anti-insect net house of 1000 m² area with 20–30% shade factor and 50-mesh UV-stabilized net. The present study was carried on two promising F1 cultivars of cucumber (C. sativus L.): 'Sevenstar' and 'KUK 9'. Cucumber seeds of both the cultivars were sown during second week of September each year. The experimental layout was in a split plot design with three replicates per treatment. The plants were grown on raised beds of dimension 80 cm \times 30 cm (width \times height), separated at a distance of 45 cm from each other. The spacing between two plants on the same bed was 40 cm. Mulching sheet $(30 \ \mu m)$ was used to cover the bed. Nutrient solution containing nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (NPK) in a ratio of 13:0:45 was applied with the drip irrigation system for all the treatments twice a week. The plants were irrigated when required, depending on the soil moisture regime. Plant protections given to all the treated plants were same. All the other agriculture practices, i.e., hoeing, trellising, and weeding, were carried out throughout the growing season. Treatment given after germination of the plant in the form of foliar application consists of three concentrations of gibberellic acid (GA₃; $C_{19}H_{22}O_6$ [0.005 g·dm⁻³ (G₁), 0.01 g·dm⁻³ (G₂), and $0.015 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ (G₃)] and three concentrations of potassium in the form of muriate of potash $[1.0 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}]$ (K_1) , 2.5 g·dm⁻³ (K_2) , and 5.0 g·dm⁻³ (K_3)]. So, a total of ten different treatments including control, GA3 and K alone and in combinations $(G_1K_1, G_2K_2 \text{ and } G_3K_3)$ were used in the experiment. The plants were sampled at 40, 55, and 70 days after sowing and at harvest to assess various parameters.

At each sampling stage, selected plant from each treatment were uprooted and separated into their components and chopped into small pieces to enable drying. They were oven dried at 70 °C to a constant weight and then the dry weight of the plant was measured as total dry matter and expressed as gram per plant. Leaf area per plant (in cm²) was determined by using portable leaf area meter (Systronics 211, Ahmedabad, India). Crop growth rate (CGR) was calculated by adopting the formula given by Watson (1958). Net assimilation rate (NAR) was calculated by using the method of Gregory (1926). Total soluble carbohydrate in fruits was estimated by using the method of Yemm and Willis (1954). Starch content of fruits was estimated by using the method of Hassid and Neufeld (1964). The methodology of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (Lowry et al. 1951) was used for the estimation of fruit total soluble protein content.

Each experiment consisted of three replicates per treatment. Data were analyzed as means of three consecutive years (September–December 2013– 2014, 2014–2015, 2015–2016) using one-way analysis of variance, and the differences were computed using Duncan's multiple range test at p = 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (version 11.5).

RESULTS

Leaf area

In both cultivars, leaf area increased with the progression of growth stages and found to be highest at 70 DAS (days after sowing). Larger leaves were recorded for the 'KUK 9' (Table 1). Each single and combined application of GA₃ and K significantly increased the leaf area at all the stages. Increase in leaf area because of interaction of GA₃ and K was observed for all three combination with G_2K_2 (0.01 g·dm⁻³ GA₃ + 2.5 g·dm⁻³ K) being the highest (68, 60 and 74% in 'Sevenstar' and 65, 104 and 102% in 'KUK 9', respectively, to DAS). Maximum increase in leaf area was observed in 'KUK 9' at 40, 55, and 70 DAS of growth under G_2K_2 (0.01 g·dm⁻³ GA₃ + 2.5 g·dm⁻³ K) treatment and also established its superiority over other treatments.

Dry weight of aerial parts

The total dry weight increased with the advancement of growth stage. Cultivar 'KUK 9' showed higher total dry matter production when compared to 'Sevenstar' (Table 2). The application of GA_3 or K alone as showed parallel response. More effective were combined application. The highest percentage of increase was recorded in plants treated with G_2K_2 (56–70% in 'Sevenstar' and 56–86% in 'KUK 9').

0.15	T		Days after sowing	
Cultivar	Treatments -	40	55	70
	Control	$37.03\pm0.58i$	$49.10 \pm 0.06i$	$51.3 \pm 0.58 h$
	C	$46.20\pm0.61\mathrm{f}$	$60.20 \pm 0.05 g$	$62.4\pm0.12f$
	G_1	(25%)	(23%)	(22%)
	G	$48.13 \pm 0.59e$	$62.20 \pm 0.06e$	$64.4 \pm 0.06e$
	G_2	(30%)	(27%)	(25%)
	G ₃	$45.10\pm0.06g$	$56.40\pm0.06i$	60.2 ± 0.58 g
		(22%)	(15%)	(17%)
	\mathbf{K}_1	47.33 ± 0.33 ef	$61.16\pm0.09ef$	$64.3 \pm 0.33e$
		(28%)	(25%)	(25%)
'Sevenstar'	\mathbf{K}_2	$50.33\pm0.33d$	$64.60 \pm 0.06d$	$67.7\pm0.05d$
		(36%)	(32%)	(66%)
	17	$44.00\pm0.58h$	$59.83 \pm 0.09 gh$	63.7 ± 0.03 ef
	N 3	(19%)	(22%)	(23%)
	$G_1 K_1$	$57.00\pm0.58b$	$71.63 \pm 0.32b$	$75.8\pm0.04b$
		(54%)	(46%)	(47%)
	$G_2 K_2$	$62.33 \pm 2.19a$	$78.36 \pm 0.19a$	$89.3 \pm 0.33a$
		(68%)	(60%)	(74%)
	CV	$54.10\pm0.06c$	$66.00 \pm 0.58c$	$69.5\pm0.06c$
	U ₃ K ₃	(46%)	(34%)	(68%)
	F	13.186*	16.921*	1.130*
	Control	$45.10\pm0.06h$	$51.3\pm0.05i$	$53.2\pm0.19j$
	C	$58.20\pm0.06f$	$81.66\pm0.56g$	$83.2\pm o.05g$
	G_1	(29%)	(59%)	(56%)
	C	61.10 ± 0.06 de	$86.56 \pm 0.12e$	$88.1\pm0.05e$
	G_2	(35%)	(68%)	(65%)
	G ₃	55.43 ± 0.03 g	$76.36\pm0.03h$	$79.2 \pm 0.06i$
		(23%)	(48%)	(48%)
	\mathbf{K}_1	$60.13 \pm 0.03e$	$82.66 \pm 0.33 f$	$85.7\pm0.03f$
		(33%)	(55%)	(61%)
'KUK 9'	K_2	$62.50\pm0.06d$	$89.66 \pm 1.33d$	$93.1\pm0.58d$
		(39%)	(68%)	(75%)
	K ₃	$57.60\pm0.67f$	$78.33\pm0.15h$	$81.0\pm0.07h$
		(28%)	(47%)	(52%)
	$G_1 K_1$	$69.03\pm0.09b$	$98.16\pm0.12b$	$99.1\pm0.05b$
		(53%)	(84%)	(86%)
	C V	$74.33 \pm 0.09a$	$104.60 \pm 0.21a$	$107.2\pm0.06a$
	$\mathbf{U}_2 \mathbf{K}_2$	(65%)	(96%)	(101%)
	G ₃ K ₃	$64.30\pm0.06c$	$96.56\pm0.87c$	$97.6\pm0.08c$
		(43%)	(81%)	(83%)
	F	1.300*	7.298*	5.516*

Table 1. Effect of foliar spray with GA3 and K on the leaf area (cm²) of cucumber at different growth stages

In parentheses is the percentage increase in value relative to the control.

G1: 0.005 g·dm⁻³, G2: 0.01 g·dm⁻³, G3: 0.015 g·dm⁻³, K1: 1.0 g·dm⁻³, K2: 2.5 g·dm⁻³, K3: 5.0 g·dm⁻³, DAS: days after sowing Values for each cultivar separately within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test at the p = 0.05 level. *F*-value of *F* statistics from analysis of variance with significance ***p < 0.001

Culting	Treatments -	Days after sowing				
Cultivars		40	55	70		
	Control	25.53 ± 0.01 g	$39.93 \pm 0.01h$	$69.17 \pm 0.06j$		
	C	$28.82 \pm 0.06f$	$46.53 \pm 0.02 f$	$73.35 \pm 0.05h$		
	G_1	(13%)	(17%)	(6%)		
	C	29.53 ± 0.03 ef	$50.05 \pm 0.03e$	$76.10 \pm 0.03 f$		
	G_2	(16%)	(25%)	(10%)		
	G_3	$28.21 \pm 0.01 f$	43.63 ± 0.02 g	$70.78 \pm 0.02i$		
		(10%)	(9%)	(2%)		
	17	$30.45 \pm 0.03e$	49.19 ± 0.03 ef	$77.36 \pm 0.01e$		
	\mathbf{K}_1	(19%)	(23%)	(12%)		
'Sevenstar'	K_2	32.13 ± 0.07 d	$54.06 \pm 0.05d$	$79.93 \pm 0.1d$		
		(26%)	(35%)	(16%)		
		29.34 ± 0.02 ef	$46.48 \pm 0.05 f$	75.55 ± 0.05 g		
	\mathbf{K}_3	(15%)	(16%)	(9%)		
	a w	$36.12 \pm 0.01b$	$60.25 \pm 0.02b$	$92.30 \pm 0.01b$		
	$G_1 K_1$	(41%)	(51%)	(33%)		
	$G_2 \ K_2$	$39.91 \pm 0.02a$	$72.58 \pm 0.05a$	$117.59 \pm 0.06a$		
		(56%)	(82%)	(70%)		
		$34.01 \pm 0.09c$	$58.37 \pm 0.03c$	$82.39 \pm 0.01c$		
	$G_3 K_3$	(34%)	(46%)	(19%)		
	F	8.291*	5.776*	1.299*		
	Control	29.38 ± 0.1 j	42.70 ± 0.02 j	$70.67 \pm 0.02h$		
	G_1	$32.44 \pm 0.2g$	51.20 ± 0.05 g	$78.86 \pm 0.05 f$		
		(34%)	(20%)	(12%)		
	~	$35.67 \pm 0.05e$	$55.74 \pm 0.02e$	$80.77 \pm 0.05e$		
	G_2	(21%)	(31%)	(14%)		
	~	$30.14 \pm 0.01i$	$48.97 \pm 0.06i$	75.01 ± 0.04 g		
	G ₃	(3%)	(15%)	(6%)		
	\mathbf{K}_1	$34.59 \pm 0.05f$	53.28 ± 0.03 f	$80.31 \pm 0.05e$		
		(18%)	(25%)	(14%)		
'KUK 9'	K ₂	$37.40 \pm 0.2d$	$58.42 \pm 0.02d$	$82.79 \pm 0.06d$		
		(27%)	(37%)	(17%)		
	K ₃	$31.05 \pm 0.02h$	$50.66 \pm 0.06h$	$78.77 \pm 0.05f$		
		(6%)	(19%)	(11%)		
	$G_1 K_1$	$41.32 \pm 0.03b$	$67.35 \pm 0.03b$	96.57 ± 0.04 b		
		(41%)	(58%)	(37%)		
		$45.96 \pm 0.04a$	$80.90 \pm 0.04a$	$129.51 \pm 0.06a$		
	$G_2 K_2$	(56%)	(89%)	(83%)		
	G3 K3	$39.24 \pm 0.06c$	$63.80 \pm 0.05c$	$85.20 \pm 0.05c$		
		(34%)	(49%)	(21%)		
	F	5.059*	5.620*	9.735*		

Table 2. Effect of foliar spray with GA_3 and K on the total dry weight (g \cdot plant⁻¹) of cucumber at different growth stages

Note: see Table 1

Crop growth rate and net assimilation rate

The results of the present study revealed for both cultivars an increase in crop growth rate (CGR) from day 40 to 55 followed by a sharp decline (Table 3). The values of CGR increased with increasing concentration of GA₃ and K applied singly, but the values were higher at GA₃K combination, with the highest for G_2K_2 . The increase over the control

was especially high (212 and 239% for 'Sevenstar' and 'KUK 9', respectively) between 55 and 70 DAS. A similar tendency was recorded for NAR. Each application of single GA₃ and K increased NAR in comparison with control, but with G_2K_2 , the increases reached 131% ('Sevenstar') and 134% ('KUK 9') at the period 55–70 DAS (Table 3).

		Crop growth rate		Net assimilation rate			
	The second se	$(g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot day^{-1})$		$(g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot day^{-1})$			
Cultivar	Treatments	days after sowing					
		40-55	55-70	40-55	55-70		
	Control	1.50 ± 0.006 j	$0.08 \pm 0.003i$	$0.239 \pm 0.0012j$	$0.101 \pm 0.0003i$		
	C	$1.62 \pm 0.006 f$	$0.19\pm0.007 f$	$0.251 \pm 0.0003h$	0.214 ± 0.0005 g		
	\mathbf{G}_1	(8%)	(138%)	(5%)	(112%)		
	C	$1.65 \pm 0.012e$	$0.21 \pm 0.006d$	$0.261 \pm 0.0008e$	$0.220 \pm 0.0006e$		
	G_2	(10%)	(163%)	(9%)	(118%)		
	G	$1.59\pm0.009h$	$0.16\pm0.015h$	$0.248 \pm 0.0011i$	$0.211 \pm 0.0003h$		
	U_3	(6%)	(100%)	(4%)	(109%)		
	K.	1.64 ± 0.006 ef	$0.20 \pm 0.009e$	$0.255 \pm 0.0005 f$	$0.220 \pm 0.0011e$		
	\mathbf{K}_1	(9%)	(150%)	(7%)	(118%)		
'Sevenstar'	V.	$1.66 \pm 0.006d$	$0.22 \pm 0.006c$	$0.262 \pm 0.0007 d$	$0.221 \pm 0.0005 d$		
	K ₂	(11%)	(175%)	(10%)	(119%)		
	K.	1.61 ± 0.012 g	$0.18 \pm 0.015 g$	0.251 ± 0.0003 g	$0.215 \pm 0.0012 f$		
	K3	(7%)	(125%)	(5%)	(113%)		
	G. K.	$1.70 \pm 0.009b$	$0.23 \pm 0.012b$	$0.270 \pm 0.0006b$	$0.229 \pm 0.0057b$		
	$\mathbf{O}[\mathbf{K}]$	(13%)	(188%)	(13%)	(127%)		
	G. K.	$1.79 \pm 0.007a$	$0.25 \pm 0.003a$	$0.276 \pm 0.0008a$	$0.234 \pm 0.0054a$		
	$\mathbf{O}_2 \mathbf{K}_2$	(19%)	(213%)	(15%)	(132%)		
	GaKa	$1.68 \pm 0.003c$	$0.21 \pm 0.015 d$	$0.265 \pm 0.0005c$	$0.227 \pm 0.0003c$		
	O ₃ R ₃	(12%)	(163%)	(11%)	(125%)		
	F	29.387*	18.357*	51.138*	29.793*		
	Control	1.54 ± 0.006 g	$0.09 \pm 0.009i$	$0.247 \pm 0.0002h$	0.106 ± 0.0006 j		
	G	$1.64 \pm 0.012e$	$0.22 \pm 0.006 f$	$0.273 \pm 0.0013 f$	0.216 ± 0.0010 gh		
	OI	(6%)	(144%)	(11%)	(104%)		
	G	$1.68 \pm 0.015 d$	$0.25 \pm 0.012c$	$0.280 \pm 0.0008d$	$0.223 \pm 0.0005e$		
	\mathbf{U}_2	(9%)	(178%)	(13%)	(110%)		
	G	$1.61 \pm 0.012 f$	$0.19 \pm 0.009h$	0.268 ± 0.0006 g	$0.212 \pm 0.0013h$		
	0,	(5%)	(111%)	(9%)	(100%)		
	K.	1.66 ± 0.006 de	$0.23 \pm 0.003e$	0.275 ± 0.0005 de	$0.221 \pm 0.0004 f$		
	11	(8%)	(156%)	(11%)	(108%)		
'KUK 9'	K-	$1.70 \pm 0.012c$	$0.24 \pm 0.003 d$	$0.281 \pm 0.0011c$	$0.226 \pm 0.0023d$		
	K 2	(10%)	(167%)	(14%)	(113%)		
	K ₃	$1.63 \pm 0.009e$	0.21 ± 0.003 g	$0.272 \pm 0.0005 f$	0.218 ± 0.0017 g		
		(6%)	(133%)	(10%)	(106%)		
	$G_1 \ K_1$	$1.75 \pm 0.012b$	$0.26 \pm 0.006b$	$0.289 \pm 0.0020b$	$0.231 \pm 0.0011b$		
		(14%)	(189%)	(17%)	(118%)		
	$G_2 \: K_2$	$1.81 \pm 0.003a$	$0.30 \pm 0.006a$	$0.299 \pm 0.0012a$	$0.249 \pm 0.0004a$		
		(18%)	(233%)	(21%)	(135%)		
	C. K.	$1.72 \pm 0.006c$	$0.25\pm0.006c$	$0.277 \pm 0.0005e$	$0.229 \pm 0.0014c$		
	U ₃ K ₃	(12%)	(178%)	(12%)	(116%)		
	F	27.688*	37.605*	31.139*	29.642*		

Table 3. Effect of foliar spray with GA₃ and K on the crop growth rate $(g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot day^{-1})$ and Net assimilation rate $(g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot day^{-1})$ at different growth stages of cucumber

Note: see Table 1

Number of flowers and fruit yield

Number of flowers per plant also showed significant variation with different treatments (Table 4). Higher flowers numbers per plant were exhibited by 'KUK 9' over 'Sevenstar'. The number of flowers per plant was the least in control plants and increased after GA₃ or K treatment (Table 4). Maximum number of flowers in 'Sevenstar' was 46 and in 'KUK 9' was

60, which was 22 and 51% more than that recorded in control. Together with the number of flowers, fruit yield per plant was also increased (Pal et al. 2016).

Total soluble carbohydrates, total soluble proteins, and starch contents

The contents of all the three parameters in plants sprayed with GA₃ and K significantly exceeded values for control plants. The contents were highest in both the cultivars at single application of G_2 and K_2 and G_2K_2 in combination. The contents of total soluble carbohydrates in G_2K_2 combination were higher by 53% in 'Sevenstar' and 61% in 'KUK 9'

over the control. Records for total soluble proteins were higher by 48% in 'Sevenstar' and by 35% in 'KUK 9'. Starch increased the most – by 105% in 'Sevenstar' and 95% in 'KUK 9' (Table 4).

Table 4: Effect of foliar spray of gibberellic acid and potassium on the no. of flowers, fruit total soluble carbohydrates, starch and total soluble proteins ($mg \cdot g^{-1} DW$) content and fruit yield (t·ha⁻¹) of cucumber

	Treatments		Total soluble car-	Storah	Total soluble	Emit viold
Cultivar		No. of flower	bohydrate	$(mq, q^{-1} \mathbf{DW})$	protein	$(t \cdot ha^{-1})$
			$(mg \cdot g^{-1} DW)$	(ling g DW)	$(mg \cdot g^{-1} DW)$	(t lia)
	Control	$38.0 \pm 0.52i$	2.18 ± 0.0061	0.40 ± 0.0031	0.42 ± 0.0061	$23.07 \pm 0.43i$
	G	$40.9\pm0.06f$	$2.29 \pm 0.006h$	0.51 ± 0.006 g	$0.53\pm0.004 f$	$29.28\pm0.32 \mathrm{f}$
	U	(8%)	(5%)	(28%)	(26%)	(28%)
	G	$41.5 \pm 0.42e$	$3.19 \pm 0.006c$	$0.57\pm0.006 \mathrm{f}$	$0.54 \pm 0.005e$	$30.54 \pm 0.05e$
	\mathbf{G}_2	(9%)	(46%)	(43%)	(29%)	(29%)
	G ₃	39.8 ± 0.61 g	2.86 ± 0.003 g	$0.47\pm0.012h$	$0.51\pm0.006h$	$27.01\pm0.08h$
		(5%)1	(31%)1	(18%)	(21%)	(26%)
	V	$41.0 \pm 0.03j$	$3.00 \pm 0.003e$	$0.60 \pm 0.003e$	$0.54 \pm 0.001e$	28.11 ± 0.22 g
	K]	(8%)	(38%)	(50%)	(29%)	(27%)
'Sevenstar'	K.	$42.9\pm0.18d$	$3.22 \pm 0.05b$	$0.63 \pm 0.009 d$	$0.57\pm0.005d$	$31.74\pm0.03d$
	\mathbf{K}_2	(13%)	(48%)	(58%)	(36%)	(30%)
	V	$39.5 \pm 0.44 h$	$2.89\pm0.015f$	$0.57\pm0.006f$	$0.52 \pm 0.007 g$	$27.21\pm0.41h$
	K 3	(4%)	(33%)	(43%)	(24%)	(26%)
	CV	$45.9\pm0.21b$	$3.19 \pm 0.006c$	$0.78\pm0.006b$	$0.60\pm0.003b$	$39.88 \pm 0.05 \mathbf{b}$
	$\mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{K}_1$	(21%)	(46%)	(95%)	(43%)	(38%)
	CV	$46.4 \pm 0.33a$	$3.34 \pm 0.012a$	$0.82 \pm 0.006a$	$0.62 \pm 0.003a$	$45.35 \pm 0.82a$
	$\mathbf{G}_2 \mathbf{K}_2$	(22%)	(53%)	(105%)	(48%)	(44%)
	CV	$44.0 \pm 0.23c$	$3.15 \pm 0.003c$	$0.76\pm0.006c$	$0.59\pm0.006c$	$34.95\pm0.66c$
	$G_3 K_3$	(16%)	(44%)	(90%)	(40%)	(33%)
	F	6.679*	148.56*	1.990*	84.52*	23.575*
	Control	$39.7 \pm 0.08h$	$2.29\pm0.006k$	$0.43 \pm 0.003i$	$0.48\pm0.004i$	$24.81\pm0.62i$
	G	$55.4\pm0.22 f$	3.01 ± 0.006 ij	0.52 ± 0.003 g	0.54 ± 0.005 g	33.15 ± 0.23 g
	\mathbf{G}_1	(40%)	(31%)	(21%)	(13%)	(32%)
	G_2	$56.8 \pm 0.05e$	$3.32 \pm 0.012e$	$0.62 \pm 0.023e$	$0.56\pm0.006e$	$37.01 \pm 0.04e$
		(43%)	(45%)	(44%)	(17%)	(36%)
	G ₃	$53.8\pm0.06g$	$2.89\pm0.025kl$	$0.48 \pm 0.012e$	$0.52\pm0.003h$	$32.28\pm0.07h$
		(36%)	(26%)	(12%)	(8%)	(31%)
	K_1	56.1 ± 0.11 d	$3.12 \pm 0.009h$	$0.58\pm0.01f$	$0.55\pm0.006f$	$35.01\pm0.21\mathrm{f}$
		(41%)	(36%)	(35%)	(15%)	(34%)
'KUK 9'	K_2	$57.3 \pm 0.43 d$	$3.38 \pm 0.012d$	$0.67 \pm 0.006d$	$0.59\pm0.005d$	$38.55 \pm 0.16d$
		44%)	(48%)	(56%)	(23%)	(37%)
	K ₃	$55.5\pm0.07e$	$3.06 \pm 0.006i$	$0.52\pm0.005h$	0.53 ± 0.001 g	34.41 ± 0.43 g
		(40%)	(34%)	(21%)	(10%)	(33%)
	CV	$59.8\pm0.02b$	$3.52 \pm 0.006b$	$0.82\pm0.009b$	$0.63\pm0.002b$	$45.28\pm0.11b$
	$\mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{K}_1$	(50%)	(54%)	(91%)	(31%)	(44%)
	$G_2 \ K_2$	$60.0\pm0.05a$	$3.68 \pm 0.009a$	$0.84 \pm 0.012a$	$0.65 \pm 0.003a$	$50.82\pm0.25a$
		(51%)	(61%)	(95%)	(35%)	(49%)
	$G_3 K_3$	$58.2 \pm 0.16c$	$3.42\pm0.006c$	$0.80\pm0.006c$	$0.60\pm0.003c$	$42.28\pm0.09c$
		(47%)	(49%)	(86%)	(25%)	(41%)
	F	8.882*	151.79*	1.952*	66.076*	33.894*

In parentheses is the percentage increase in value relative to the control.

 $G1: 0.005 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}, G2: 0.01 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}, G3: 0.015 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}, K1: 1.0 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}, K2: 2.5 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}, K3: 5.0 \text{ g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$

Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test at the p = 0.05 level. *F*-value of *F* statistics from analysis of variance with significance ***p < 0.001

DISCUSSION

Plant growth, productivity, and quality mainly depend on its genetic potential and interaction with fertigation and exogenous supplementation of growth substances in addition to its response with the environmental conditions. Adequate and balanced use of nutrients ensures the overall improvement of any crop in terms of growth, yield, and quality.

Gibberellic acid regulates nutrient transport, induces stem elongation, and increases dry matter production, leaf area expansion, and flowering (Khan & Samiullah 2003; Shah et al. 2006). Adequate supply of potassium can enhance all the growth attributes of crop plant by increasing the rate of photosynthesis, accumulating sugar, and decreasing the rate of respiration (Tawfik 2008). Similarly, Majumdar (2013) and Kumar et al. (2014) also observed that foliar application of gibberellic acid and potassium in combination influenced plant growth and its attributes.

Foliar application of gibberellic acid on mustard plants stimulated more leaf area and ultimately led plants to have a better chance of trapping sunlight and increased dry matter production (Khan et al. 1998). Zhou et al. (1999) also reported a similar increase in the leaf area of sugarcane plants in response to GA_3 treatment. A progressive increment in leaf area under sustained supply of potassium could be due to high maintenance of nutrient concentration in leaf tissues (Ashraf et al. 2002).

Growth attributes such as CGR and NAR were maximum at fruiting stage in both the cultivars, whereas other parameters such as leaf area index (LAI) and total dry matter showed progressive increase with the time. Higher CGR and NAR may be ascribed to influence characteristics involved in growth and development of crop plants by interaction of phytohormones and nutrients (Mir et al. 2010). The increment in plant biomass in the present study could presumably be the result of enhancing the uptake of nutrients, improved photosynthesis, and translocation of essential photoassimilates to respective parts of plant. Similar to our results, other investigators also noticed a significant increase in total dry matter accumulation by gibberellic acid in mustard and soybean (Khan et al. 1998; Rahman et al. 2004).

Maintenance of dry matter over time is essential for prolonged supply of assimilates to developing sinks. K and GA₃ accelerate enzyme activity resulting in an increase in biomass accumulation in plants and contribute to the improvement in ability of treated plants to produce biomass (Marschner & Marschner 2012).

Early and uniform flowering in plant results in reducing overall production cost. Some research experiment proved that gibberellic acid activates α amylase enzyme that degrades starch into sugars and promotes flowering (Kucera et al. 2005). A significant increase in the number of flowers with applied gibberellic acid over control was observed in tuberose (Tyagi & Singh 2008). Kazemi (2014) also observed that number of flowers and inflorescences in tomato increased when plants were treated with potassium. Potassium is a vital nutritional element; it often interacts with the availability and uptake of other nutrients which in turn affects the total yield. Potassium enhanced the fruit weight and number of fruits per plant (Bhargava et al. 1993). Dhillon et al. (1999) reported that leaf nutrient status, crop yield, and quality pointed out that fruit number and yield increased in grapes with the increment in potassium doses. Furthermore, it is required for the activation of enzymes ramified in sugar biosynthesis and sugar translocation and so it manages the mobilization in plant tissues that reflected on yield and its components (Elmarzugi et al. 2014).

The research conducted on various crops showed similar findings about the improvement in soluble sugar, starch, and protein with gibberellic acid and potassium because these stimulates the growth and development of a plant via regulation of DNA and RNA levels, increased intensity of cell division, and biosynthesis of enzymes, proteins, carbohydrates, and photosynthetic pigments (Arteca 1996; Kazemi 2014).

CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of our experiment, it is right to conclude that foliar application of GA_3 (0.01 g·dm⁻³) and K (2.5 g·dm⁻³) at proper interval of time (60 DAS) assures the best balance between GA₃ and K, showing pronounced effects on plant growth and development, yield, and quality attributes, thus benefits marketability of cucumber. All these findings lead us to recommend this combination under field conditions, and farmers should apply this combination to enhance productivity in cucumber crop.

Acknowledgement

The authors are highly thankful to Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, India, for providing laboratory facilities and other institutional support to complete the research work. Thanks are also owed to Dr. Satender Yadav & Dr. Dharam Singh, Centre of Excellence for Vegetable Indo-Israel, Gharaunda (Haryana), India, for assistance during the study.

REFERENCES

- Arteca R.N. 1996. Plant Growth Substances: Principles and Application. Springer US, pp. 132. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-2451-6.
- Ashraf M., Yousaf M., Ahmad Z.I., Ullah A.A. 2002. Effect of K supply on growth and development of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Pakistan Journal of Soil Science 21: 43–48.
- Best K. 2000. Adaptation of cabbage varieties. ARP Training Reports. AVRDC-AFRICA. Regional Programme, Arusha, Tanzania, 10 p.
- Bhargava B.S., Singh H.P., Chadha L. 1993. Role of potassium in development of fruit quality. In: Chadha K.L., Pareek O.P. (Eds.), Advances in Horticulture 2, Fruit Crops: Part 2. Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi, pp. 947–960.
- Cheema D.S., Kaur P., Kaur S. 2004. Off-season cultivation of tomato under net house conditions. Acta Horticulturae 659: 177–181. DOI: 10.17660/actahortic.2004.659.21.
- Dhillon W.S., Bindra A.S., Brar B.S. 1999. Response of grapes to potassium fertilization in relation to fruit yield, quality and petiole nutrient status. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 47: 89–93.
- Elmarzugi N.A., Enshasy H.A., AbdulHamid M., Hasham R., Aziz A., Elsayed E.A. et al. 2014. Amylase economic and application value. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 3: 4890–4906.
- Gregory F.G. 1926. The effect of climatic conditions on the growth of barley. Annals of Botany 40: 1–26. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a090007.
- Hassid W.Z., Neufeld E.F. 1964. Quantitative determination of starch in plant tissues. In: Whistler R.L. (Ed.), Methods in Carbohydrate Chemistry IV. Academic Press, New York, pp. 33–36.

- Jamal Z., Hamayun M., Ahmad N., Chaudhary M.F. 2006. Effects of soil and foliar application of different concentrations of NPK and foliar application of (NH₄)₂SO₄ on different parameters in wheat. Journal of Agronomy 5: 251–256. DOI: 10.3923/ja.2006.251.256.
- Kazemi M. 2014 Effect of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate spray on vegetative growth and reproductive characteristics of tomato. Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences 8: 1–9.
- Khan N.A., Ansari H.R., Samiullah 1998. Effect of gibberellic acid spray during ontogeny of mustard on growth, nutrient uptake and yield characteristics. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 181: 61– 73. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-037x.1998.tb00399.x.
- Khan N.A., Samiullah 2003. Comparative effect of modes of gibberellic acid application on photosynthesis rate, biomass distribution and productivity of rape seed mustard. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants 9: 141–145.
- Kucera B., Cohn M.A., Leubner-Metzger G. 2005. Plant hormone interactions during seed dormancy release and germination. Seed Science Research 15: 281– 307. DOI: 10.1079/ssr2005218.
- Kumar P., Kumar P., Singh T., Singh A.K., Yadav R.I. 2014. Effect of different potassium levels on mungbean under custard apple based agri-horti system. African Journal of Agricultural Research 9: 728– 734. DOI: 10.5897/ajar2013.7883.
- Lowry O.H., Rosebrough N.J., Farr A.L., Randall R.J. 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. Journal of Biological Chemistry 193: 265–275.
- Marschner H., Marschner P. 2012. Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Elsevier, 672 p. DOI: 10.1016/c2009-0-63043-9.
- Majumdar F. 2013. Response of gibberellic acid and potash nutrient on growth and yield of late planting cabbage. MS Thesis, Department of Horticulture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 72 p.
- Mir M.R., Mobin M., Khan N.A., Bhat M.A., Lone N.A., Bhat K.A. et al. 2010. Crop responses to interaction between plant growth regulators and nutrients. Journal of Phytology 2: 9–19.
- Pal P., Yadav K., Kumar K., Singh N. 2016. Effect of gibberellic acid and potassium foliar sprays on productivity and physiological and biochemical parameters of parthenocarpic cucumber cv. 'Seven Star F₁'. Journal of Horticultural Research 24: 93– 100. DOI: 10.1515/johr-2016-0011.

- Patil M.V.K., Kandhare A.D., Bhise S.D. 2012. Effect of aqueous extract of *Cucumis sativus* Linn. fruit in ulcerative colitis in laboratory animals. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 2: S962– S969. DOI: 10.1016/s2221-1691(12)60344-x.
- Rahman M.S., Tahar N.I.M.A., Karim M.A. 2004. Influence of GA₃ and MH and their time of spray on dry matter accumulation and growth attributes of soybean. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 7: 1851–1857. DOI: 10.3923/pjbs.2004.1851.1857.
- Shah S.H., Ahmad I., Samiullah 2006. Effect of gibberellic acid spray on growth, nutrient uptake and yield attributes during various growth stages of black cumin (*Nigella sativa* L.). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences 5: 881–884. DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2006.881.884.
- Singh D., Kaur S., Dhillon T.S., Singh P., Hundal J.S., Singh G.J. 2004. Protected cultivation of sweet pepper hybrids under net-house in Indian conditions. Acta Horticulturae 659: 515–521. DOI: 10.17660/actahortic.2004.659.68.
- Talalay P., Fahey J.W., Healy Z.R., Wehage S.L., Benedict A.L., Min C., Dinkova-Kostova A.T. 2007. Sulforaphane mobilizes cellular defenses that pro-

tect skin against damage by UV radiation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104: 17500–17505. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0708710104.

- Tawfik K.M. 2008. Effect of water stress in addition to potassiomag application on mungbean. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 2: 42–52.
- Tyagi A.K., Singh C.N. 2008. Effect of GA₃ and IBA on growth and flowering of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Double. Progressive Agriculture 8(1): 25–26.
- Watson D.J. 1958. The dependence of net assimilation rate on leaf-area index. Annals of Botany 22: 37– 54. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a083596.
- Yemm E.W., Willis A.J. 1954. The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts by anthrone. Biochemical Journal 57: 508–514.
- Zhou X.M., MacKenzie A.F., Madramootoo C.A., Smith D.L. 1999. Effects of stem-injected plant growth regulators, with or without sucrose, on grain production, biomass and photosynthetic activity of field-grown corn plants. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 183: 103–108. DOI: 10.1046/j.1439-037x.1999.00331.x.