

MALTING BARLEY SEED HEALTH DEPENDING ON DIFFERENT FUNGICIDE TREATMENT

Barbara Majchrzak¹, Bogdan Dubis², Adam Okorski³

¹Department of Phytopathology and Entomology UWM
Prawocheńskiego 17, 10-722 Olsztyn, Poland

²Department of Agrotechnology and Crop Management
M. Oczapowskiego 8, 10-719 Olsztyn, Poland

³Department of Diagnostics and Plant Pathophysiology
Plac Łódzki 6, 10-727 Olsztyn, Poland
e-mail: adam.okorski@uwm.edu.pl

Received: 20.01.2008

S u m m a r y

Field studies were carried out in the 2004 – 2005 growing seasons. The mycological analysis of malting barley (varieties Prestige and Sezam) grains was performed twice: on seeds stored for 30 days and on seeds stored for five months. The influence of fungicide treatment on species diversity and the amount of fungal pathogens on kernels of both varieties of malting barley were determined in the studies. Main fungal pathogens of both varieties of malting barley were field fungal species, such as: *Alternaria alternata*, *Epicoccum purpurascens*, and fungi of the genus *Fusarium*. The extension of the grain storage period to five months resulted in an increased share of pathogenic species.

Key words: malting barley, grain, storing, fungi

INTRODUCTION

In recent years new technologies have been introduced in cereal growing, designed to create optimal conditions for plant growth and development. Adequate protection against diseases in the growing period enables the production of full-value grain suitable for further technological processing and for animal feed purposes. Cereal grains are colonised by many microorganisms, mainly bacteria and fungi. They are initially infected by the so-called “field fungi” which can be saprotrophs or pathogens. Popular field fungi include the following: *Alternaria alternata*, *Epicoccum purpurascens*, *Fusarium* spp. An important pathogenic species often found on barley grains is the fungus *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, the culprit of cereal seedling and root

canker (Knudsen et al. 1995). But on stored seeds, the so-called “storage fungi” develop, belonging to the genus *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* or from the family Mucoraceae (Christensen, 1972). Grain infection reduces the vigour, restricts germination and is the cause of yield reduction (Šarić et al. 1997). It affects the quality of malt, changes its colour and decreases the taste value. It results from negative changes in the composition of proteins found in the grain. Malting barley grain showing symptoms of strong infection by fungal pathogens cannot be used in the brewing industry; it is only used as animal feed (Mathre, 1997). Fungi colonising cereal grains can synthesise numerous secondary metabolites whose presence may be harmful to humans and animals (Munkvold, 2003). Toxic fungal metabolites exhibit cancerogenic, cytogenic, embryogenic, teratogenic and mutagenic effects (Pięta, 1997).

The aim of the study was to determine the effect of different intensities of disease protection during the growing period on the health of two varieties of malting barley grain determined after up to 30 days from the harvest and after a 5-month storage period in a floor-storage grain store.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study material was malting barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grains, varieties Prestige and Sezam, obtained from a strict field experiment carried out at the Agricultural Experimental Station in Balcyny near the city of Ostróda. 7 methods of barley protection (with different intensity) were used and compared to the untreated control:

Object	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Dubelt J								
Seed dressing BBCH 00	–	–	Alert	Acanto + Unix	Corbel	Cerelux P	Corbel	Corbel
1st treatment BBCH 31								
2nd treatment BBCH 42					Amistar	Amistar ½	Amistar	Alert + Cerone
3rd treatment BBCH 72	–	–	–	–	–	–	Artea	–

Doses of preparations were compliant with the recommendations of the Polish Institute of Plant Protection; they were applied at $200 \text{ dm}^3 \times \text{ha}^{-1}$ of water, following good experimental practice. The active substance included: tebuconazol + trioxozid (Dubelt J), flusilazole and carbendazim (Alert 375 SC), picoxystrobin (Acanto 250 SC), fenpropimorph (Corbel 750 EC), flusilazole and fenpropimorph (Cerelux Plus), azoxystrobin (Amistar 250 SC), propiconazole and cyproconazole (Artea 330 EC), ethephon (Cerone 480 SL). For weed control, the herbicide Mustang 306 SE was used (s.a. – florasulam + 2,4 D).

Malting barley was grown on medium grey-brown podzolic soil, belonging to the 3rd agricultural usefulness complex. Sowing was carried out as early as possible, at a spacing of about 370 caryopses per 1 m^2 . $45 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5$, $85 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O}$ and 40 kg N were applied before sowing.

Mycological examination was performed on two dates:

- 1) – up to 30 days after the harvest,
- 2) – five months after the harvest.

The analysis was made using the method designed by Narkiewicz-Jodko (1991). The grain from the 2004 and 2005 harvest used for analysis was stored in a grain store of the Production and Experimental Facility in Bałcyny, in jute bags.

The pattern of thermal and soil moisture conditions in 2004 was favourable for barley growing. A wet spring guaranteed good water supply for plants at the tillering and shooting stages. But the total rainfall during maturation in July was lower than the long-term mean (Tab. 1). The year 2005 was definitely too dry, and this was the case throughout the whole critical period. The water shortage was alleviated as late as July.

RESULTS

Following the harvest, 3485 cultures of fungi belonging to 40 species were isolated from the grain of malting barley var. Prestige, in both years of study. The number of isolates in the years of study was different. 21.8% more fungal cultures were obtained in 2005 than in 2004. But no significant differences were found in the species composition (29 and 28 species in the respective years). The species *Alternaria alternata* was isolated in the greatest number, accounting for 45.88% of the total colony (Tab. 2). Other fungi colonising the grain in a great number included *Epicoccum purpurascens* (10.78%), *Mucor hiemalis* (5.67%) as well as fungi of the genus *Fusarium* (30.38%), being typical pathogens. Among fungi of the genus *Fusarium*, *F. equiseti* (544 col.), *F. oxysporum* (183 col.) and *F. poae* (93 col.) were predominant. Among other pathogenic fungi, the species *Bipolaris sorokiniana* (130 col.– 3.73%) accounted for a large share of the total number of isolates. *Botrytis cinerea* was isolated sporadically.

Barley grains in the combination E, in which two foliar fungicide treatments were applied, were infected most slightly by fungi. Fungi potentially pathogenic to cereals: + *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, *Botrytis cinerea*, *Cylindrocarpon destructans* and representatives of the genus *Fusarium*, accounted for 28.8% (2004) and 31.3% (2005) in this combination.

After a 5-month storage period, an increase in the number of isolated fungi was observed (Tab. 3). 3679 colonies were obtained, belonging to 36 species. Among these fungi, the species *Alternaria alternata* was isolated most frequently, accounting for 43% of the total number of isolates. *Cylindrocarpon destructans* was also isolated in a great number (9.8%). The ge-

Table 1
Pattern of weather conditions in growing periods in years 2004-2005 (data according to the Meteorological Station in Tomaszkowo).

Study period	march	april	may	june	july	august
Daily mean temperature (°C)						
2004	3	8.9	11.8	15.3	17	19.2
2005	-3.1	8.2	11.6	14.2	19.7	16.9
Long-term mean (1991-2000)	1.2	6.6	12.4	15.7	16.9	16.5
Suma opadów (mm) – Precipitation total (mm)						
2004	28.2	51.5	87.1	90.6	78.8	41.9
2005	29.3	22	68.2	35.4	83.9	39.6
Long-term mean (1991-2000)	27.4	35.2	56.7	68.3	81.3	57.1

nus *Fusarium* was represented by 13 species, among which *F. graminearum*, *F. equiseti* and *F. oxysporum* dominated. After the 5-month storage period, a slight increase in the number of *Epicoccum purpurascens* and a marked decline in the number of fungi of the family *Mucoraceae*, included in typical storage fungi, were observed.

Grains from the control combination were most strongly infected by fungi after the two-month storage period, and grains from the combination D and G, in which foliar fungicide treatment was applied, were infected in the slightest degree. However, in both these trial objects pathogenic species: *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, *Botrytis cinerea*, *Cylindrocarpon destructans*, and fungi of the genus *Fusarium* accounted for over 50% of all isolates.

3260 cultures of fungi belonging to 44 species were isolated from the grain of malting barley var. Sezam (Tab. 4) after the harvest. The so-called “field fungi”, belonging to the following species: *Alternaria alternata* (45.0%), *Fusarium* spp. (29.7%), *Epicoccum purpurascens* (10.2%) and *Bipolaris sorokiniana* (6.5%), were isolated in the greatest number. Typical “storage fungi” accounted for 5.0%, and they were represented by the genera *Mucor* and *Rhizopus*. Among fungi of the genus *Fusarium*, the species *F. equiseti* and *F. poae* were predominant.

The smallest number of fungi was isolated from barley grains obtained from the control (untreated) and the combination G with full chemical protection in the form of seed dressing and three foliar treatments with the fungicides Corbel, Amistar and Artea. The smallest percentage share of pathogenic fungi was noted on barley grains obtained from the trial object B (only seed dressing) and in the first year of study from grains obtained from the combination G.

Potentially pathogenic fungi were represented most numerously in these combinations by *Fusarium*

equiseti and *Bipolaris sorokiniana*. The species *Alternaria alternata* and *Epicoccum purpurascens* dominated in all the combinations.

Out of the storage fungi, *Mucor hiemalis* as well as single cultures of *Mucor circinelloides* and *Mucor racemosus* were isolated quite frequently.

After the 5-month period of storage of barley grain, the number of fungi colonising it did not change significantly, but the percentage shares of particular species changed. A total of 3232 fungal cultures were isolated (33 species) (Tab. 5). A marked increase, by as much as 10%, was found in the number of fungi of the genus *Fusarium* (from 29.7% up to 39.1%). They were represented by 15 species, among which *Fusarium equiseti*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. oxysporum* were predominant. The number of *Epicoccum purpurascens* cultures increased. But a significant decline in the number of *Alternaria alternata* colonies (from 45.0% down to 36.8%) and of fungi of the family *Mucoraceae* was noted; *Bipolaris sorokiniana* was also isolated less frequently.

Barley grains from the trial object B, in which only seed dressing was applied, were colonised by fungi in the greatest number. 474 fungal cultures from 21 species were isolated. Among them, pathogenic fungi accounted for 42% in 2004 and 20% in 2005, mainly of the genus *Fusarium* and *Bipolaris sorokiniana*. The least number of fungal cultures was isolated from grains obtained from the plots on which full threefold protection was applied (trial object G). 344 cultures belonging to 15 species were obtained. Among them, fungi potentially pathogenic to cereals dominated, in particular from the genus *Fusarium*, and they accounted for 50% in 2004 and up to 85% in 2005. A similar increase in the number of pathogenic fungi was observed in the trial object E where the fungicides Corbel and Amistar were applied.

cd. table 2

<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schltdl.	35	31	60	3	22		30	2	183							
<i>Fusarium poae</i> (Peck) Wollenw.		2	2	2	2	1	76	2	3	3	93					
<i>Fusarium solani</i> (Mart.) Sacc.				2							2					
<i>Fusarium sporotrichioides</i> Sherb.			22			4	8	4	8	46						
<i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> (Corda) Sacc.			2	5		1	1	1		10						
<i>Fusarium</i> spp.		9		2	3	2	2	4	1	25						
<i>Gliomastix murrorum</i> (Corda) S. Hughes			2				2	2		6						
<i>Gonatotryps simplex</i> Corda						1		4		5						
<i>Graphium</i> spp.								2		2						
<i>Humicola fuscoatra</i> Traaen								2		2						
<i>Microdochium nivale</i> (Fr.) Samuels & I.C. Hallett	1	1	4	4					3	13						
<i>Monodyctis glauca</i> (Cooke et Harkn.) Hughes.						3				3						
<i>Monodyctis levis</i> (Wiltsh.) Hughes.									2	2						
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer	22		40	6	34	24	46	24	196							
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.					1					1						
<i>Periconia macrospinoso</i> Lefebvre & Aar.G. Johnson			3	1						4						
<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i> Ehrenb.		1	2				1	4	8							
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicualis</i> (Sacc.) Bainier	2	2		2						6						
<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> (Bonord.) Bainier	2	2		4						8						
non sporulating fungi	4			2			2			8						
number of isolates	175	212	185	286	223	256	211	228	139	204	225	222	193	270	220	236
number of isolates from trials	387	471	479	439	343	447	463	456	3485							

Table 3
Fungi isolated from grains of winter barley, variety Prestige, 5 months after harvest.

Fungal species	5 month after harvest																Suma Total
	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H		
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	
<i>Acremoniella atra</i> (Corda) Sacc.	1		3														4
<i>Acremonium roseolum</i> (G. Sm.) W. Gams	2																2
<i>Acremonium strictum</i> W. Gams	3		1						3								7
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.) Keissl.	117	125	93	133	77	130	70	109	94	112	24	124	69	114	91	104	1586
<i>Arthrinium sphaerospermum</i> Fuckel								1					2				3
<i>Aureobasidium bolleyi</i> (R. Sprague) Arx											5				1		6
<i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i> (Sacc.) Shoemaker	12	7	10	12	11	4	8	2	13	10	2	6	8	5	4	4	118
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers.	1	1	4														6
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> (Fresen.) G. A. de Vries	2		1		14		2		6		5		18		7		55
<i>Cylindrocarpon destructans</i> (Zinssm.) Scholten	65		71		65		13		59		20		18		51		362
<i>Epicoccum purpurascens</i> Ehrenb.	1	50	0	35	6	35	56	46	2	41	1	31	33	46	9	38	430
<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> (Fr.) Sacc.			1				2	1	3		3	5	5				20
<i>Fusarium cerealis</i> (Cooke) Sacc.					2						1						3
<i>Fusarium concolor</i> Reinking			3		2		1		1		3						10
<i>Fusarium culmorum</i> (W. G. Sm.) Sacc.	9		2		11	6	5		4		13		6	2	3		61
<i>Fusarium equiseti</i> (Corda) Sacc.		16		11		7		28		28		29		8		26	153
<i>Fusarium fusarioides</i> (Gonz. Frag. & Cif.) C. Booth	3		2	7		1	1				2	7					23
<i>Fusarium graminearum</i> Schwabe	102		62		82		3		63		49		3		61		425
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schltdl.	1		4		4		7		8		45		20	2	5	1	97

cd. table 3

<i>Fusarium poae</i> (Peck) Wollenw.						1				1		1		5	3		11
<i>Fusarium sporotrichioides</i> Sherb.						2				1							3
<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	2		3		1	4	1	4		26		3		9			53
<i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> (Corda) Sacc.	1	7	2	10	1	29	2	14		7	3	18	2	6	7	13	122
<i>Gelasinospora reticulispora</i> (Greis et Greis Dengler) C. et M. Moreau								1						1			2
<i>Gonatobotrys simplex</i> Corda			2														2
<i>Humicola fuscoatra</i> Traaen											1						1
<i>Humicola grisea</i> Traaen	1																1
<i>Microdochium nivale</i> (Fr.) Samuels & I. C. Hallett	4		5		7		11		8		1		7	1	4		48
<i>Minimedusa polyspora</i> (J. W. Hotson) Weresub & P. M. LeClair						1											1
<i>Monodyctis glauca</i> (Cooke et Harkn.) Hughes.													1				1
<i>Mortierella alpina</i> Peyronel	4		7														11
<i>Mortierella</i> spp.	4		5		10												19
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer													2				2
<i>Mucor</i> spp.									2								2
<i>Periconia macrospinosa</i> Lefebvre & Aar. G. Johnson											1						1
<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i> Ehrenb.			2				2		2					4	6	7	23
non sporulating fungi				1	1								1		2		5
number of isolates	335	206	283	209	295	213	189	203	272	199	206	221	198	194	263	193	
number of isolates from trials	541		492		508		392		471		427		392		456		3679

Table 4
Fungi isolated from grains of winter barley, variety Sezam, 30 days after harvest.

Fungal species	30 days after harvest																Suma Total
	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H		
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	
<i>Acremoniella atra</i> (Corda) Sacc.									1								1
<i>Acremonium strictum</i> W. Gams			5														5
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.) Keissl.	81	66	121	110	104	84	138	92	51	88	79	108	91	80	77	100	1470
<i>Arthrinium sphaerospermum</i> Fuckel						4			1								5
<i>Aureobasidium bolleyi</i> (R. Sprague) Arx		2	3	4		4		2		2						2	19
<i>Aureobasidium pul-lulans</i> (de Bary) G. Arnaud									2								2
<i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i> (Sacc.) Shoemaker	18	12	5	10	23	18	7	14	26	8	15	10	9	30	2	4	211
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers.			1									2					3
<i>Chaetomium globosum</i> Kunze			1						4								5
<i>Cladosporium clado-sporioides</i> (Fresen.) G. A. de Vries		2	3	2						2		2				2	13
<i>Cladosporium macrocarpum</i> Preuss			5														5
<i>Epicoccum purpurascens</i> Ehrenb.	34	10	26	12	48		22	14	42	8			68		41	8	333
<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> (Fr.) Sacc.	6		6		3	4			7	2	17	2			9		56
<i>Fusarium cerealis</i> (Cooke) Sacc.					3												3
<i>Fusarium chlamydosporum</i> Wollenw. & Reinking	3								1		3						7
<i>Fusarium culmorum</i> (W. G. Sm.) Sacc.	1		1		43								19				64
<i>Fusarium equiseti</i> (Corda) Sacc.	7	52	1	42		50		62	1	70	70	64	7	42	9	80	557
<i>Fusarium graminearum</i> Schwabe			3						5						2		10
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schltdl.	20		3		2				24				9		6		64
<i>Fusarium poae</i> (Peck) Wollenw.			20		3		41		13		9	2	2	2	44		136
<i>Fusarium semitectum</i> Berk. & Ravenel							1										1
<i>Fusarium solani</i> (Mart.) Sacc.					1												1
<i>Fusarium sporotrichioides</i> Sherb.				4		8									14		26

cd. table 4

<i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> (Corda) Sacc.	5	2	2			1	2	2		1	2	17				
<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	1	4	3	2		4				1		15				
<i>Gelasinospora reticulispota</i> (Greis et Greis Dengler) C. et M. Moreau						3						3				
<i>Gliocladium penicillioides</i> Corda			2									2				
<i>Gliomastix murrorum</i> (Corda) S. Hughes	1		2									3				
<i>Gonatobotrys simplex</i> Corda						1						1				
<i>Humicola fuscoatra</i> Traaen			2									2				
<i>Humicola grisea</i> Traaen						4						4				
<i>Microdochium nivale</i> (Fr.) Samuels & I. C. Hallett	5	1		1		2		1				10				
<i>Monodyctis glauca</i> (Cooke et Harkn.) Hughes.			3			4	1			1		9				
<i>Monodyctis levis</i> (Wiltsh.) Hughes.						3						3				
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i> (Cooke et Harkn.) Hughes.						5		1				6				
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer	16	22	16	28	3	14	26	2	30	1	8	166				
<i>Mucor racemosus</i> Fresen.				1								1				
<i>Periconia macrospino-</i> <i>sa</i> Lefebvre & Aar. G. Johnson								4				4				
<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i> Ehrenb.				2								2				
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicul-</i> <i>alis</i> (Sacc.) Bainier								2				2				
<i>Scopulariopsis brumptii</i> Salv.-Duval			2	2								4				
<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> (Bonord.) Bainier				2								2				
<i>Trichoderma polysporum</i> (Link) Rifai			1									1				
<i>Trichoderma viride</i> Schumach.			1									1				
<i>non sporulating fungi</i>				2				2		1		5				
number of isolates	182	160	216	214	235	196	211	214	208	196	198	222	209	184	195	220
number of isolates from trials	342	430	431	425	404	420	393	415	3260							

Table 5
Fungi isolated from grains of winter barley, variety Sezam, 5 months after harvest.

Fungal species	5 month after harvest																Suma Total
	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H		
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	
<i>Acremoniella atra</i> (Corda) Sacc.	1		1														2
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.) Keissl.	81	117	73	135	88	116	88	92	70		59	59	40		81	91	1190
<i>Arthrinium sphaerospermum</i> Fuckel												1					1
<i>Aureobasidium bolleyi</i> (R. Sprague) Arx					1				6				1		2		10
<i>Bipolaris sorokiniana</i> (Sacc.) Shoemaker	14	2	13	2	7	2	8	4	11	3	9	1	7	3	4	17	107
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers.		1						4		1		5		2			13
<i>Cylindrocarpon destructans</i> (Zinssm.) Scholten	6		2		22		10		12		12		14		10		88
<i>Epicoccum purpurascens</i> Ehrenb.	26	21	80	20	20	38	19	33	24	32	35	45	30	30	17	40	510
<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> (Fr.) Sacc.	14		16		31		67		28	3	55	16	22		57	1	310
<i>Fusarium cerealis</i> (Cooke) Sacc.			4								5						9
<i>Fusarium chlamyosporum</i> Wollenw. & Reinking			2														2
<i>Fusarium concolor</i> Reinking										4							4
<i>Fusarium culmorum</i> (W. G. Sm.) Sacc.		5	9	7	1	21		29		24		5		22	1	4	128
<i>Fusarium equiseti</i> (Corda) Sacc.	18	45	5	23	13	15	3	23	2	126	4	65	6	137	7	41	533
<i>Fusarium fusarioides</i> (Gonz. Frag. & Cif.) C. Booth		1						4		1		12		2			20
<i>Fusarium graminearum</i> Schwabe			1										2				3
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schldl.	43	3	56	6	4	8	1	10	5	8		9	11	4	6	6	180
<i>Fusarium poae</i> (Peck) Wollenw.				1								5		1		1	8
<i>Fusarium semitectum</i> Berk. & Ravenel				1													1
<i>Fusarium sporotrichioides</i> Sherb.									2								2
<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	2		1				3					1	3				10
<i>Fusarium tricinctum</i> (Corda) Sacc.	1		5		2		1		7		1				1	1	19
<i>Gliocladium virens</i> J. H. Mill., Giddens & A. A. Foster												1					1

BBCH 42. Magan et al. (2002) have found that low doses of azoxystrobin may stimulate the production of mycotoxins both in *in vitro* conditions and on wheat grains.

Other authors have reported that the application of triadimenol doses sublethal to *Fusarium graminearum* resulted in increased production of DON and zearalenon (Ramirez et al. 2004). In the opinion of Ramirez et al. (2004), the application of chemical treatment partially reduces the incidence of typically toxinogenic fungi.

Among potentially pathogenic species, *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, *Botrytis cinerea* and *Cylindrocarpon destructans* were isolated. They are known polyphags affecting many plants. According to Łacicowa et al. (1990, 1992), barley is susceptible to infection by *Bipolaris sorokiniana* at each growth stage. Grain yield losses as a result of damage caused by this pathogen may reach 30%. The occurrence of *Botrytis cinerea*, a fungus which is rarely mentioned as a cereal pathogen, on barley is also worth noting. However, in the opinion of the same authors, this species, as an occasional pathogen, is sometimes one of the causes of stem base diseases of barley (Łacicowa et al. 1990, 1992). According to Michalski and Horoszkiewicz (2003), many pathogens are transferred with plant seeds.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Grains of both studied varieties of malting barley were colonised by typical field fungi, such as: *Alternaria alternata*, *Epicoccum purpurascens*, and fungi of the genus *Fusarium*.
2. Among fungi potentially pathogenic to cereals, *Fusarium equiseti*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. oxysporum* and *Bipolaris sorokiniana* were isolated in the greatest number.
3. Grains of malting barley var. Prestige were colonised by fungi more numerously.
4. After the 5-month storage period, more pathogenic fungi, mainly of the genus *Fusarium*, were isolated from barley grains. Among these fungi, the species *F. equiseti* accounted for the largest percentage share on stored grains.
5. The largest number of fungi of the genus *Fusarium* was isolated from both malting barley varieties from the trial objects on which ½ of azoxystrobin dose was applied (trial object F).
6. Fungi of the genus *Fusarium* occurred least frequently on malting barley grains in the combination G (Dubelt + Corbel + Amistar + Artea).
7. The application of the fungicide Amistar (azoxystrobin) reduced most strongly the occurrence of the species *Alternaria alternata*; this species occurred on grains from the plants obtained from the combination B (Dubelt J).

REFERENCES

- Aigbe S. O., Fawole B. 1999. A cowpea seed rot disease caused by *Fusarium equiseti* identified in Nigeria. Plant Disease. 83: 964.
- Brazauskienė I., Petraitiienė E., Mankevičienė A. 2006. Effects of genotype and environmental factors on rape seed contamination with mycotoxins and mycotoxin-producing fungi. Ekologija, 3. P: 14-20.
- Brown D. W., Butchko R. A. E., Proctor R. H. 2006. *Fusarium* genomic resources: Tools to limit crop diseases and mycotoxin contamination. Mycopath. 162:191-199.
- Christensen C. M., 1972. Microflora and seed deterioration. In Roberts E.M. (ed.) Viability of Seeds. Chapman and Hall, LTD, London, 59-93.
- Demirci E., Dane E. 2003. Identification and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* spp. from stem bases of winter wheat in Erzurum, Turkey. Phytoparasitica. 31 (2): 170-173.
- Fedel-Moen R., Harris J. R. 1987. Stratified distribution of *Fusarium* and *Bipolaris* on wheat and barley with dryland root rot in South Australia. Plant Pathol. 36: 447-454.
- Ioos R., Belhadj A., Menez M. 2004. Occurrence and distribution of *Microdochium nivale* and *Fusarium* species isolated from barley, durum and soft wheat grains in France from 2000 to 2002. Mycopathol. 158: 351-362.
- Knudsen I. M. B., Hockenull J., Jensen D. F. 1995. Biocontrol of seedling diseases of barley and wheat caused by *Fusarium culmorum* and *Bipolaris sorokiniana*: effects of selected fungal antagonists on growth and yield components. Plant Pathol. 44 (3): 467-477.
- Łacicowa B., Kiecana I., Pięta D. 1990. Choroby podszuszkowe jęczmienia jarego (*Hordeum sativum* L.) uprawianego w Lubelskiem. / Stem base diseases of spring barley (*Hordeum sativum* L.) grown in the Lubelskie Voivodship (region). Roczn. Nauk Roln. Seria E, 20 (1/2): 7-15.
- Łacicowa B., Kiecana I., Pięta D. 1992. Choroby podszuszkowe jęczmienia jarego (*Hordeum sativum* L.) uprawianego na czarnoziemach. / Stem base diseases of spring barley (*Hordeum sativum* L.) grown on chernozem soils. Materiały XXXII Sesji Naukowej IOR, Poznań 1992: 66-70.
- Li F. Q., Toyazaki N., Yoshizawa T. 2001. Production of *Alternaria* mycotoxins by *Alternaria alternata* isolated from weather-damaged wheat. J. Food Protect. 64: 567-571.
- Logrieco A., Bottalico A., Mule G., Moretti A., Perrone G. 2003. Epidemiology of toxigenic fungi and their associated mycotoxins for some Mediterranean crops. Europ. J. Plant Pathol. 109 (7): 645-667.
- Magan N., Hope R., Colleate A, Baxter E. S. 2002. Relationship between growth and mycotoxin production by *Fusarium* species, biocides and environment. Europ. J. Plant Pathol. 108: 685-690.

- Majchrzak B., 1998. Wpływ stresu chłodno-wodnego na kiełkowanie nasion i zdrowotność siewek wybranych roślin strączkowych. / The effect of cold water stress on seed germination and seedling health of selected leguminous plants. Rozprawy i monografie 1:1-56 Acta Academiae Agriculturae ac Technicae Olstenensis, Olsztyn.
- Marasas W. F. O., Nelson P. E., Tousson T. A. 1984. Toxicogenic *Fusarium* species, Identity and Mycotoxicology. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press: 328.
- Mathre D. E. 1997. Compendium of Barley Disease, 2nd Ed. APS Press, St. Paul.
- Michalski T., Horoszkiewicz J. 2003. Grzyby zasiedlające ziarno owsa nagiego i oplewionego w zależności od sposobu ochrony roślin w okresie wegetacji. / Fungi colonising grains of naked and husked oat depending on the plant protection method in the growing period. Biul. IHAR. 209: 211-219.
- Morrison E., Thomas Rundberget T., Kosiak B., Aastveit A. H., Bernhoft A. 2002. Cytotoxicity of trichothecenes and fusarochromanone produced by *Fusarium equiseti* strains isolated from Norwegian cereals. Mycopathol. 153: 49-56.
- Morrison E., Thomas Rundberget T., Kosiak B., Aastveit A. H., Bernhoft A. 2002. Cytotoxicity of trichothecenes and fusarochromanone produced by *Fusarium equiseti* strains isolated from Norwegian cereals. Mycopathol. 153: 49-56.
- Morrison E., Thomas Rundberget T., Kosiak B., Aastveit A. H., Bernhoft A. 2002. Cytotoxicity of trichothecenes and fusarochromanone produced by *Fusarium equiseti* strains isolated from Norwegian cereals. Mycopathol. 153: 49-56.
- Munkvold, G., 2003. Mycotoxins in corn: occurrence, impact and management. In: White, P. and Johnson, L. (eds.), Corn: Chemistry and Technology (AACC Inc.): 5-71.
- Narkiewicz-Jodko M. 1986. Wartość siewna przechowywanego ziarna trzech zbóż w aspekcie fitopatologicznym. / Sowing value of stored grains of three cereals in the phytopathological aspect. Zesz. Nauk. ART, Wrocław, Rozprawy, 55.
- Narkiewicz-Jodko M. 1991. Wpływ warunków zbioru na mikroflorę przechowywanego ziarna pszenżyta ozimego. / The effect of harvest conditions on the microflora of stored grains of winter triticale. Biul. IHAR 180: 33-41.
- Parry D. W., Jenkinson P., Mcleod L. 1995. *Fusarium* ear blight (scab) in small-grain cereals – a review. Plant Pathol. 44 (2): 207-238.
- Perkowski J., Jeleń H., Kiecana I., Goliński P. 1997. Natural contamination of spring barley with group A trichothecene mycotoxins in southeastern Poland. Food Additives and Contaminants. 14: 321-325.
- Pięta D. 1997. Wybrane zagadnienia z fitopatologii. / Selected issues of phytopathology. Wyd. AR Lublin, 84.
- Ramirez M. L., Chulze So. A., Magan N. 2004. Impact of environmental factors and fungicides on growth and deoxinivalenol production by *Fusarium graminearum* isolates from Argentinian wheat. Crop Protection. 23: 117-125.
- Šarić M., Škrinjar M., Dimić G., Filipović N., Rašić J. 1997. Changes in hygienic and technological wheat quality caused by mould infection. Acta Alimentaria. 26: 255-269.
- Scott P. M. 2001. Analysis of agricultural commodities and foods for *Alternaria* mycotoxins. Journal of AOAC International. 84: 1809-1817.
- Silvana Da Mottia, Lucia M. Valente Skares. 2000. A method for the determination of two *Alternaria* toxins, Alternariol and Alternariol monomethyl ether, in tomato products. Braz. J. Microbiol. 31. P: 315-320.
- Smith I. M., Dunez J., Phillips D. H., Lelliott R. A., Archer S. A. 1988. European Handbook of Plant Diseases. Oxford, London: Blackwell Sci. Pub Aigbe i Fawole 1999.
- Watanabe I., Kakishima M., Adachi Y., Nakajima H. 2007. Potential mycotoxin productivity of *Alternaria alternata* isola.

Stan zdrowotny ziarna jęczmienia browarnego w zależności od sposobu ochrony przed chorobami

Streszczenie

Badania polowe prowadzono w latach 2004-2005. Analizę mikologiczną nasion jęczmienia browarnego Prestige i Sezam wykonywano 2 krotnie: na ziarnie przechowywanym przez 30 dni oraz na ziarnie przechowywanym przez okres pięciu miesięcy. W badaniach stwierdzono wpływ ochrony fungicydowej na skład gatunkowy i liczebność grzybów zasiedlających ziarno obu odmian jęczmienia browarnego. Ziarno obydwu odmian jęczmienia browarnego zasiedlone było przede wszystkim przez gatunki grzybów polowych: *Alternaria alternata*, *Epicoccum purpurascens* oraz grzyby z rodzaju *Fusarium*. Wydłużenie okresu przechowywania ziarna do 5 miesięcy spowodowało wzrost udziału gatunków patogennych.