# FUNGI ISOLATED FROM SOIL BEFORE THE SEEDING AND AFTER HARVEST OF PEA (*PISUM SATIVUM* L.) AFTER APPLICATION OF BIO-CONTROL PRODUCT EM 1 <sup>TM</sup>

### Adam Okorski<sup>1</sup>, Barbara Majchrzak<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Diagnostics and Plant Pathophysiology, ul. Plac Łódzki 6, 10 727 Olsztyn, Poland e mail adam.okorski@uwm.edu.pl

<sup>2</sup>Department of Phytopathology and Entomology UWM ul. Prawocheńskiego 17, 10 722 Olsztyn, Poland

Received: 20.02.2007

#### Summary

A field experiment was performed during the years 2003 2005. An influence of EM (Effective Microorganisms) on the composition and number of fungi isolated from soil was found in the experiment. A total of 18111 fungal cultures were isolated from the roots of pea at flowering stage. The highest amount and the lowest diversity of fungi were obtained from rhizosphere of pea treated with pesticides (3257 colonies). The greatest diversi ty of fungi was found in the case of rhizosphere and rhizoplane, where EM was used in soil with the supplement of pesticides. The greatest amount and diversity of fungi were found from the plant roots of the control object. During the flowering stage, the fungi most frequently isolated from soil belonged to the genus *Penicillium* (40.78% of all isolates) and *Fusarium* (33.37% of all isolates).

Key words: pea, rhizosphere, effective microorganisms

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The zone that surrounds the roots of plants and germinating seeds, inhabited by populations of soil microorganisms characterized by a great abundance of species, is referred to as the rhizosphere (Morgan et al. 2005). The populations of rhizosphere microorganisms contribute to the stability and productivity of both agricultural systems and those functioning under natural conditions. The efficiency of the above systems can be improved by increasing the microbial diversity of soil ecosystems, positively affecting the overall health and productivity of crops. The effectiveness of biological control in plant protection and microbial agents used as biofertilizers can be enhanced by combining various specific antagonists (Davelos et al. 2004). Effective Microorganisms (EM) is a microbial inoculant compri-

sed of 70 species belonging to five groups, including lactic acid bacteria, photosynthetic bacteria, actinomycetes, yeast-like fungi and mycelial fungi (Valarini et al. 2003). The application of EM has a beneficial effect on soil structure and quality (Hussain et al. 1999; Khalig et al. 2006). According to Daly and Stewart (1999), the use of effective microorganisms is not an alternative to traditional agricultural practices aimed at increasing crop productivity, but rather a complementary option. Olanya and Larkin (2006) demonstrated that EM was less effective than chemicals in disease control. In Poland the microbial preparation EM<sup>TM</sup> is registered as a soil improver to be used in ecological agriculture (Certificate of Conformity No. Z/13/PR-20001/03/BP). The preparation has been approved as safe for the environment and to human health (Certificate issued by the National Institute of Hygiene No. PZH/HT-1448/2002).

The aim of this study was to determine the impact of effective microorganisms (EM), applied to soil, as seed dressing or as foliar spray, on quantitative and qualitative changes in fungal populations colonizing the soil environment of pea grown in a conventional tillage system.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted during the years 2003 - 2005 at the Experimental Station in Tomaszkowo near Olsztyn, on plots of the Department of Phytopathology and Entomology, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn. An exact field experiment was established on brown soil developed from silt (2003) of quality class IVa and good rye-potato complex, medium silty loam of quality class IVa and rye-potato complex (2004), and light loam of quality class IIIb and complex 4 (2005).

Winter triticale was grown as forecrop in 2003 and 2005, and spring barley in 2004. The experiment was laid out in a randomized split-plot design in four replications. The plot surface area was  $16 \text{ m}^2$ . The experimental factor was the method of EM application:

1. control treatment (no effective microorganisms or crop protection chemicals),

2. chemical control (T seed dressing, fungicide Rovral Flo 250 SC, insecticide Owadofos 540 EC, herbicide Basagran 480 SL),

3. soil application of EM combined with chemical control,

4. seed dressing with EM combined with chemical control,

5. plant spraying with EM combined with chemical control,

6. soil application of EM combined with seed dressing and plant spraying with EM.

Prior to soil application, the biopreparation EM was proliferated as recommended by the manufacturer (Greenland). A 0.1% solution of effective microorganisms (1 1 water: 1 cm<sup>3</sup> EM: 1 g saccharose) was stored in a dark place at about 20°C for 14 days. Seeds were dressed with effective microorganisms wet, for 30 min., using a previously prepared 0.2% solution of EM (applied at a dose of 200 1·ha<sup>-1</sup>). Prior to pea sowing, the fungal populations inhabiting the soil were analyzed by a plate method modified by Mańka (1974). The fungal communities were isolated from the rhizosphere, rhizoplane and the roots of pea plants using the washings method developed by Mańka (1974). A microbiological analysis was performed at the full blooming stage.

#### **RESULTS**

Prior to pea sowing, 196 fungal cultures representing 40 species and non-spore-forming cultures were isolated from the soil (Tab. 1). Among the isolated fungi, the dominant group comprised potential antagonists of plant pathogens (48%), including members of the genera *Penicillium* (21% of all isolates), *Gliocladium* (13%) and *Mucorales* (9%). Potentially pathogenic fungi, i.e. such species as *Cylindrocarpon destructans*, *Botrytis cinerea*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium* sp., accounted for 14% of all isolates. Half of them (7% of all isolates) were members of the genus *Fusarium*. The species *F. dimerum*, *F. oxysporum* and *F. solani* were isolated most frequently.

11 328 cultures of mycelial fungi (Tab. 2) were isolated from the rhizosphere of pea at the flowering stage. The most numerous fungal communities, characterized by the lowest species diversity, were isolated from chemically protected plots (3 257 fungal cultures belonging to 36 species). The highest species diversity (53 species) was observed in the plots where soil application of EM was combined with chemical control. The control plots were colonized by fungal populations of the smallest size. The rhizosphere was dominated by antagonists (63% of all isolates), of which 41% were members of the genus *Penicillium*. Pathogenic fungi constituted 33% of all isolates in this zone. The dominant genus was *Fusarium* (32%), including *F. oxysporum* – 18.75% and *F. equiseti* – 10.07%. Pathogenic fungi were most common (50.16%) in the experimental variant in which seeds were dressed with effective microorganisms. Antagonists were isolated most seldom in this variant (44.96%). They dominated in the soil application of EM x chemical control treatment (72.86%).

5601 cultures of mycelial fungi (Tab. 2) were isolated from the rhizoplane of pea at the flowering stage. The largest communities were isolated from the experimental variant in which EM was applied without chemical control (1834 isolates). The highest species diversity (49 species) was observed in the case of soil application of effective microorganisms combined with chemical control. The fewest isolates (527) were obtained from the control treatment, and the lowest species diversity (38 species) was recorded in the chemically protected treatment. Antagonistic fungi dominated in the rhizoplane of pea (69.1%). Members of the genus *Penicillium* were isolated most frequently (45.3%). Pathogenic fungi accounted for 28.8% of all isolates in this zone, with a distinct domination of *Fusarium oxysporum* (20.42%). The largest communities of potentially pathogenic fungi (51.54%) were isolated from the plots where seeds were dressed with EM. Almost half of them (44.18%) were antagonistic fungi. Pea plants in the control treatment were also colonized by numerous fungal pathogens (42.69%), of which 54.27% were antagonists. Antagonistic fungi dominated in the experimental variants in which soil or foliar application of EM was combined with chemical control (76.77% and 76.15%, respectively).

Fewer mycelial fungi were isolated from the roots of pea plants -1 183 colonies (Tab. 2). The largest and characterized by the greatest species abundance communities of mycelial fungi were isolated from the control treatment (246 isolates representing 36 species). The fewest fungal colonies (155 cultures) were obtained from the variant where foliar application of EM was combined with chemical control. The lowest species diversity (28 species) was observed in the plots protected with effective microorganisms without chemical control. The root-colonizing populations were dominated by pathogenic fungi (62%) of all isolates), including the species Fusarium oxysporum (41.59%), Phoma madicaginis var. pinodella (6.85%) and Fusarium solani (5.83%). Antagonists constituted 32% of all fungi isolated from the roots of pea plants. The largest population of potentially pathogenic fungi was recorded in the case of soil application of effective microorganisms (75.32%). The percentage of antagonistic fungi was the lowest in this treatment (18.35%). The fewest

-	Funnel and the	Nimma af faalaat	
÷			
-	Acremonium atrum W. Gams	7	
2	Acremonium charticola (Lindau) W. Gams	1	
Э	Acremonium kiliense Grutz	4	
4	Acremonium potroni Vuill		
5	Acremonium strictum W. Gams		
9	Aspergillus niger van Tieghem		
7	Aureobasidium bolleyi (Sprague)	. 22	
8	Botrytis cinerea Persoon	in l	
6	<i>Cephalosporium atrum</i> de Bary	6 6	
10	Chaetomium indicum Corda	1	
11	Cladosporium cladosporoides (Fres.) de Vries	7	
12	Cladosporium herbarum Link ex Fr.	4	
13	Cylindrocarpon destructans (Zins.) Scholten	4	
14	Cylindrocarpon didymum (Hartig) Wollenw.	2	
15	Epicoccum purpuraescens Ehtrenb. ex Schlecht	2	
16	Fusarium dimerum Penzig	1	
17	Fusarium oxysporum Schlecht		
18	Fusarium solani (Mart) Saccardo		
19	Gliocladium catenulatum Corda	4	
20	Gliocladium fimbriatum Gilman et Abbott	4	
21	Gliocladium peniciliodes Corda	18	
22	Gliocladium salmonicolor Raillo	2	
23	Humicola fuscoatra Traaen		
24	Mortierella verrucosa Linnemann		
25	Mortierella isabellina Oudenemas	. 4	
26	Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem	. 2	
27	Mucor hiemalis Wehmer	- 7	
28	Mucor spp.	·	
29	Penicilium spp.	41	
30	Phoma herbarum Westend.	4	
31	Rhizoctania solani	5	
32	Scopulariopsis acremonium (Delact: ) Vuill.	1	
33	Spicaria simplicissima Oudemans	2	
34	Sporerichum chlorinum Link	1	
35	Stachyobotrys cylindrospora Jensen	2	
36	<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> (Bon.) Bain	3	
37	Trichoderma koningii Oudenemas	3	
38	<i>Trichoderma polysporum</i> (Link ex Pres.) Raifai	4	
39	Volutella cilata Fries	_	
40	Zygorhynchus heterogamus Vuillemin	ç	
41	kultury niezarodnikujące	3	
	Sum	196	

Table 1. Fungi isolated from soil before pea sowing.

115

ea.
of p
flowering
during
soil
from
isolated
Fungi
Table 2.

		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	Suma Sum	0	0	0	0	3	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	4	0	0	1	1	9	4	0	0	0	0	6	12	28	9	5	0	492	16	69	0	2	0
	HIH																-																∞				57	1	9			
ırface	GZO							2												2					5	2											119	-	2		-	
ots sı	0	Γ				7		Γ												Γ						-			Γ		-		-	4	-		67	-	12		Π	
Rc	Ζ																														4	10	9				85		13			
	G					-		-									-		-	-				-		-							12	-	6		77	-	12		Π	
	K*						9													-					-						3		-		-		87	Ξ	24		-	
	Suma Sum	5	0	0	0	0	0	6		2	0	0	-	ę	0	0	e	4	30		0	5			0	9	-	0	3	5	48	45	19	22	19	2	1145	0	202	62	~	0
	HI	┢		$\vdash$		$\vdash$	┢	5		$\vdash$		$\vdash$	$\vdash$	┢	┢	┢	┢	┢	┢	┢	┢	┢	┢	_	┢		$\vdash$		ŝ	_	15	6	∞	_	∞	┢	149	┢	0	34	0	
lane	GZO I						$\vdash$	2	-				-	_	┢	┢	_	ę	┢	$\mid$	┢	5	┢	┢	┢	$\left  \right $					9	19		3	4		382		83	9		
chizop	0	5					┢	ю						7	$\vdash$	┢	$\vdash$	╞	16	┢	$\vdash$	╞	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$					5	3	ŝ	∞	-		89		4		5	
R	z	$\square$					$\square$	$\square$						Γ	$\square$	$\square$	F	-	13		$\square$	Γ		F	$\square$						14	3		б	S		253		Ξ	S	Π	
	G	5															5									2				-	6		4	-	-		123		70	14		
	K*																									2				2	2	11	4	9		1	149		34	3	1	
	Suma Sum	0	-	12	30	0	0	ю	0	0	2	-	26	0	0	б	4	0	s	7	ю	21	115	0	0	∞	0	4	2	4	4	13	e	6	1142	0	2125	76	218	6	4	24
	HIE	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F		F	=	F	F	F	F	F	2	F	F	_	F	F	F	2	F		F	F		F	_	F	360	F	416	F	49	_	2	
here	GZO 1		-		30			2						╞	┢	$\left  \right $	┢	╞	┢	2	┢	-	┢	╞	┢					0	0	2	2		500		760		56	s		21
iizosp	0	$\vdash$					$\vdash$	$\vdash$						F	$\vdash$		4	F		F	З	4	4	T	$\vdash$	2				2			$\square$	2	34		265	25	23			
RI	Z	$\vdash$					$\square$	$\vdash$			1		15	F	$\vdash$	F	T	ſ	$\vdash$	2	$\vdash$	12		T	$\vdash$	5						2		s	35		307	18	67	З		
	G							$\square$						Γ	$\square$	F		Γ		б		-			$\square$			ę		2	3	7		5	202		285		17		Π	3
	K*			12							1					2						2	110						2			2			=		92	33	9			
	· ruigai species	Acremonium alternatum Link ex S. F. Gray	Acremonium atrum W. Gams	Acremonium breve (Sukap. et Thirum) W. Gams	Acremonium charticola (Lindau) W. Gams	Acremonium curvulum W. Gams	Acremonium furcarum (F. et V. Morreau) ex W. Gams	Acremonium kiliense Grutz	Acremonium larvarum (Petch) W. Gams	Acremonium minutisporum (Sukap. et Thirum) W. Gams	Acremonium murrorum W.Gams	Acremonium ochraceum (Onions et Barron) W. Gams	Acremonium potroni Vuill	Alternaria alternata (Fr.) Keissler	Arthrinium sphaerospermum Fuckel	Aspergillus niger van Tieghem	Aspergillus repens de Bary	Aspergillus ustus (Bainier) Thom and Church	Aureobasidium bolleyi Sprague	Aureobasidium pullulans de Bary	Botrydiplodia spp.	Botrytis cinerea Persoon	Cladosporium cladosporioides (Fres.) de Vries	Cladosporium herbarum (Persoon) Link	Cladosporium macrocarpum Preuss	Cylindrocarpon destructans (Zins.) Scholten	Dothichzia sp.	Eladia saccula (Dale) G. Smith	Endothia spp.	Epicoccum purpurascens Ehrenb. ex Schlecht	Fusarium avenaceum (Fr) Sacc.	Fusarium chlamydosporum (Wollenweber et Reinking)	Fusarium culmorum (W.G.Smith) Sacc.	Fusarium dimerum Penzig	Fusarium equise ti Corda Sacc.	Fusarium merismoides (Corda)	Fusarium oxsysporum Schlecht	Fusarium poae (Peck) Wollenweber	Fusarium solani (Mart.) Sacc.	Fusarium solani var. coeruleum (Libert) Bilai	Fusarium sporotrichoides (Sherbakoff)	Fusarium spp.
, _		-	2	3	4	2	9	5	×	6	10	Ξ	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41

	Suma Sum	×	6	27	31	4	0	-				0	0	0	0	0	ę	-	-	0	-	0	0	4	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	ю	0	0	3	0	4	0	0
	FIH	б		16	×																															3				
rface	GZO	3		4	6												1							2			2						-							
ots su	0		5	0	e	m																			7															
Rc	Ζ		1	7	4																-						1						2					3		
	G	1	-	7	4			-																														1		
	K*			-	9					-														-																
	Suma Sum	16	39	380	233	ю	ю	-	0	٢	0	ę	5	0	0	7	9	0	0	0	0	36	0	22	7	-	24	3	9	0	0	0	14	0	0	~	0	5	2	9
	FIH		з	173	14							-	5									17			-								ъ			0		0	0	0
ane	GZO		~	39	88					7						9								ę			17		1				4					1	0	
hizopl	0		S	71	19					-												-		-	-			2	1							0		0	-	0
R	z	4		18	16								-				-					ω					2											3	-	0
	G		12	69	33	5				4												-		Ξ			4		2							0		1	0	5
	K*	11	10	10	63							-					4					14		7			1	1	2				9			9		0	0	0
	uma Sum	0	201	279	575	13	154	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	159	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	10	0	2	0	0	4	3	3	4	4	2	28	7	1	0	2
	E E						_										~				$\vdash$																╞			_
9	0 FI		1	6	4 23		12										10								ŝ					-	0	5	0				5			
spher	GZ		Ξ	37	3 10	-											Ξ						-		-									-		2	2			5
Rhizc	0		∞	52	163												4													2	1			3		13				
	Z		6	7 49	50	=	31							-			5				_				5					-						6	2			
	9 *		4 32	11	1	-	5								-		1										2				1	-				4				
	K		5	41	6												52			-			-		-						1		ŝ				-	1		
	runga species	Fusarium trincinctum (Corda) Sacc.	Gliocladium catenulatum Corda	Gliocladium fimbriatum Gilman et Abbott	Gliocladium penicilliodes Corda	Gliocladium salmonicolor Raillo	Gliomastix murorum (Corda) Hughes	Gonatobotrys simplex Corda	Gonytrichum macrocladium (Saccardo) Hughes	Humicola brevis Gilman et Abbott	Humicola grisea Traaen	Humicola nigrescens Omvik	Hyalopus ater Corda	i Melanospora fallax Zukal.	Monocilium mucidum W. Gams	Mortierella alliacea Linn	Mortierella alpina Peyronel	Mortierella arcuata Wolf	Mortierella capitata Marchal	Mortierella crystallina Harz.	Mortierella elongata Linnemann	Mortierella ericetorum Linnemann	. Mortierella gemmifera Ellis	Mortierella gracilis Linn.	Mortierella humilis Linnemann	Mortierella hygrophilia Linnemann	Mortierella isabelina (Oudemans) Zycha	Mortierella jenkini (Smith) Naumov	Mortierella lignicola Gams et Moreau	Mortierella marburgensis Linnemann	Mortierella minutissima Van Tieghem	Mortierella mutabilis Linnemann	. Mortierella pusilla Oud.	Mortievella rostafinskii Bref.	Mortierella simplex Van Tieghem	Mortierella verrucosa Linnemann	Mortierella vinacea Dixon - Stewart	Mortierella zonata Linn.	Mortierella spp.	Mucor angulisporus Naumer
-	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	14	51	52	55	54	55	ξ,	S,	3	55	ğ	6	6	6	12	6	ĕ	6	õ	66	Я	12	72	15	74	15	76	22	22	8(	7,	8

cd. Table 2

$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		Suma Sum	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	16	3	0	0		0	0	0	0	175	0	0	0	0	0	81	0	6	2	10	6	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
14Fundamenta transforme462000 <td></td> <td>FIH</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>47</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>		FIH			1							1								47						16				1										
Image: product of the standImage: produc	face	οzi			1						13									26						1		1		5	1	1								
Interpretation         Function         Entropolate         Anticoplate	ots sur	0			3															18						4				1	2							1		
Image: problem         Image:	Roc	Z			1						-									46						3		1		1					-					
In the functionFundat spaceInterventionInterventinInterve		U										2								6						12					3	-								
Integration for the second s		K*			2						-									29						45		4	2	2		1								
Interpretation         Funda space		Suma Sum	14	0	5	0	0	8	5	60	7	35	6	9	0	14	1	2	2	2535	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	28	1	2	11	0	0	2	0	4	0	0
Interpretation         Fundation         Animal state         Animal state<		FIH	0		0				-	16	_	31	1			7				431										1		1	11			1				
In         Fugal species         Minosphere         Minosphere<	ane	GZO	0		0			s	7	4	ę			e		-		-		1025									3									1		
Interpretation         Fungal species         Interpretation         Interp	hizopla	0	5		5				-	4			3			5				293									5	2								2		
Interpretation         Fungal species         Ratiosphere           Rate created order van Treghem         Ratio Sphere         Ratio Sphere         Suma         K <sup>2</sup> G         GC         FH         Suma         K <sup>2</sup> G         GC         G	RI	z	0		0			7		4		3								166									2	9		1						1		
Interview         Fungal species $K^{*}$ $G$ $Z$ $S$ $S$ $R^{*}$ $R^{*}$ $G$ $Z$ $G$ $Z$		IJ	0		0					14		-	4			-				547									1	11	1									
Interpretation         Fungal species         Attriansphere           B         Macor cretenelaties van Teghen         K*         G         Z         O         CZO         FHI         Sum           S         Macor cretenelaties van Teghen         K*         G         Z         O         CZO         FHI         Sum           S         Macor facentis Wethner         Z         Z         D         CZO         FHI         Sum           S         Macor facentis Wethner         Z         Z         D         Z         Z         S         S         S         Macor facentis facentis         D         Z         S         S         S         Macor facentis facentis         D         Z         Z         S		Κ*	12		0				-	18	з		1	с						73		1							1	5						1				
Intervention         Fungal species $K^*$ G         Z         O         GZO         Filth           8         Macor circinelloides van Treghem         K*         G         Z         O         GZO         Filth           8         Macor microspons Namyslowski.         1         1         1         1         1         1         1           8         Macor microspons Namyslowski.         1		Suma Sum	325	5	160	4	2	-	3	39	5	37	11	0	ю	37	0	0	0	4676	1	2	2	2	13	0	3	0	44	224	11	1	0	3	2	0	2	2	2	
Interpretation         Fungal species         Rhizosphere           82         Macor circinelloides van Tieghem         68         16         31         123         73           83         Macor frictielloides van Tieghem         68         16         31         123         73           84         Macor frictielloides van Tieghem         25         25         19         21         17           85         Macor frictielloides van Tieghem         25         23         13         13         123         73           86         Macor frictielloides van Tieghem         25         23         13         1		HI	14	2	33	1				26			5			18				746					1															
Initial species         Fungal species $K^*$ $G$ $G$ 82         Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem $K^*$ $G$ $G$ $G$ 83         Mucor finematis Wehmer $K^*$ $G$ $G$ $G$ $G$ 84         Mucor finematis Wehmer $K^*$ $G$ $G$ $G$ $G$ $G$ 85         Mucor finematis Wehmer $K^*$ $G$ <	ere	ZOF	3		37						10					9				39 1'		1			2		1		2	2	4									
Lp.         Fungal species $K^*$ $G$ $Z$ 82 <i>Mucor circinelloides</i> van Tieghem         68         16         31         1           83 <i>Mucor faccas</i> Bainier         68         16         31         1           83 <i>Mucor faccas</i> Bainier         68         16         31         1           84 <i>Mucor faccas</i> Bainier         23         23         19         2           85 <i>Mucor pachas</i> Sanutevisch         1         1         1         1         1           87 <i>Mucor pachas</i> Bonorden         1         2         4         2         4         2         4         2         4         2         4	izosph	0	23		13		_		_	7		3	2			5				73 8			1				1		67	79	3				-				2	
LpFungal species $\kappa^*$ G82Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem6816783Mucor ricrosporus Namyslowski.6816784Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11185Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11186Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11187Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11188Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11190Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11191Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11192Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11193Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.11194Mucor ricrosporus Samutevisch2324295Mucor spinosus van Treghem541195Mucor spinosus van Treghem541196Oridiodendron cerealis (von Thrimen) Barron541197Paeciliomyces niveus Stok et Samson5411198Mucor spinosus van Treghem68117611199Mucor spinosus van Treghem68117611191Paeciliomyces niveus Stok et Samson5421193Paeciliomyces niveus Stok et Samson574111194 <td>Rh</td> <td>Z</td> <td>31 1</td> <td>3</td> <td>19 2</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>62 6</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Rh	Z	31 1	3	19 2	1	-			4		1	2			2				62 6		1								1								2		
Lp.Fungal species $K^*$ Lp.Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem $K^*$ 82Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem $K^*$ 83Mucor fincus Bainier $25$ 85Mucor microsporus Namyslowski. $1$ 86Mucor microsporus Namyslowski. $25$ 87Mucor microsporus Namyslowski. $1$ 88Mucor mucedo Linn. $25$ 89Mucor mucedo Linn. $27$ 80Mucor rauminaus Bollet $1$ 91Mucor rauminaus Sanutevitsch $1$ 92Mucor rauminaus Kollete $1$ 93Mucor rauminaus Kollete $1$ 94Mucor rauminaus Kollete $1$ 95Mucor rauminaus Kollete $1$ 96Paneerlomyces niverasiti Bainier $21$ 97Paecilomyces niverasiti Bainier $21$ 98Pancor corrati Resenius $21$ 99Penicilitum spp. $20$ 90Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 91Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 92Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 93Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 94Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 95Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 96Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 97Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 98Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 99Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ 90Phoma chrystantemicola Hollos $1$ <tr< td=""><td></td><td>IJ</td><td>16</td><td></td><td>25</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>12</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>5</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>176 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>12</td><td>32</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></tr<>		IJ	16		25	-			_	5	5	12	1		5	4				176 1							1		12	32	3	1								-
Lp.       Fungal species         Lp.       Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem         82       Mucor piezus Bainier         83       Mucor piezus Bainier         84       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         85       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         86       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         87       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         88       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         89       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         80       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         81       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         82       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         83       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         84       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         85       Mucor mierospons Namyslowski.         89       Mucor ranomianus Moeller         91       Mucor ranomianus Moeller         92       Mucor ranomianus Moeller         93       Mucor ranomianus Moeller         94       Mucor ranomianus Moeller         95       Mucor ranomianus Moeller         96       Oidineores variotii Bainier         97       Paecilomyces niveus Stolk et Samson         98       Panoa cinystatemicola Hollos         101       P		**	68		25	-					_	21	1			5				80 1	-			-					1	1	1			3			2			
Lp.       Fungal species         Lp.       Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem         82       Mucor nierosporus Namyslowski.         83       Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.         84       Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.         85       Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.         86       Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.         87       Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.         88       Mucor microsporus Namyslowski.         89       Mucor pusillus Lindi         90       Mucor raneous Fresenius         91       Mucor raneosisimus Samutevitsch         92       Mucor raneosisimus Samutevitsch         93       Mucor raneosisimus Samutevitsch         94       Mucor spinosus van Tieghem         95       Mucor spinosus van Tieghem         96       Oidiodendron cerealis (von Thumen) Barron         97       Paecilomyces niveus Stolk et Samson         98       Paecilomyces niveus Stolk et Samson         99       Penicillium spp.         91       Mucor spinosus van Tieghem         92       Mucor spinosus van Diegella (L.K.Jones)         93       Penicillium spp.         94       Penicillium spp.         95       Ponna deloraginis van. pinodella (L.K.Jones)     <									-																							_								
F         F		r ungat species	Mucor circinelloides van Tieghem	Mucor fuscus Bainier	Mucor hiemalis Wehmer	Mucor microsporus Namysłowski.	Mucor mucedo Linn.	Mucor plumbeus Bonorden	Mucor pusillus Lindt	Mucor racemosus Fresenius	Mucor ramannianus Moeller	Mucor ramosissimus Samutevitsch	Mucor rauxianus (Calmette) Wehmer	Mucor spinosus van Tieghem	<i>Mucor zonatus</i> Milko	Mucor spp.	Didiodendron cerealis (von Thümen) Barron	Daeciliomyces variotii Bainier	Daecilomyces niveus Stolk et Samson	<sup>D</sup> enicillium spp.	<sup>D</sup> homa chrysantemicola Hollos	<sup>D</sup> homa eupyrena Sacc.	<sup>D</sup> homa fimeti (Brun)	Phoma glomerata (Corda) Wollenweber et Hochapfel	<sup>b</sup> homa herbarum Westend.	<sup>D</sup> homa medicarginis var. pinodella (L.K.Jones)	<sup>D</sup> hythium debaryanum Hessel	<sup>D</sup> ythium spp.	<i><b>Rhizoctonia solani Kühn</b></i>	Rhizopus nigricans Ehrenberg	Scopulariopsis brevicaulis (Sacc.) Bain	Scopulariopsis brumpti Salvanet-Duval	Scytalidium lignicola Pesante.	Spicaria carnosa Miller, Giddens et Foster	Spicaria divaricata (Thom) Gilman et Abbott	Spicaria elegans (Corda)	Spicaria griseola Saccardo	Spicaria simplicissima Oudemans	Sporotrichum carnis (Books et Hansford)	Sporotrichum chlorinum Link
		d'	82	83	84	85	. 86	87	88	. 68	6	91	92	93	95	94	96	97	98	66	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119

cd. Table 2

<u>د</u>	Eurool enodos			R	hizospl	ıere					Rh	izoplaı	је					Root	s surfa	е		
i.	rungat species	К*	U	N	0	OZE	FIH	Suma Sum	**	U	z	0	izo F	E E	uma Sum	**		2	8	CO FIF	I Sur	na na
121	Staphylotrichum spp.							0		e,		-			4							
122	Stysanus medius Saccardo						57	57					$\vdash$		0					1	7	
123	Torula graminis Desm.			3				3							0			6	_		4	
124	Trichoderma aureoviride Rifai						_	0							0					1	5	
125	Trichoderma hamatum (Bon.) Bain	2	4	2	15	138	1	162	12	52		7	43	1	115		1	3	+	3 6	1	~
126	Trichoderma harzianum (Rifai)	5	21	12		3		41	22	13	2	29	45	31	142	1	2			2	2	
127	Trichoderma koningii Oudemans	4	12		7	30	1	54	1	19	23	1	3	1	48	1		3	+		6	
128	Trichoderma polysporum (Link ex Pres.) Raifai		2	~	4		1	15	9	3	2	3		5	20		2	-	~	5	-	_
129	Verticillium chlamydosporum Goddard		1				5	9							0	2			1		3	
130	Verticillium griseum (Petch) W. Gams							0	1	3		2			9						0	
131	Verticillium lecanii (Zimm.) Viegas	1						1					_		0							
132	Verticillum cellulosae Daszewska			1				1							0						0	
133	Wardomyces anomalus (Brooks et Hanst.)							0			1				1						0	
134	Zygorhynchus exponens Burg.							0							0	1		3			4	
135	Zygodesmus fuscus Corda							0		1					1						0	
136	Zygorhynchus heterogamus Vuillemin	3	1	1		1		6	2	1					3		1			1	3	
137	Zygorhynchus moelleri Vuillemin	2		1	2		1	6							0						0	
138	Zygorhynchus sp.							0						1	1							
139	Kultury niezarodnikujące	-	2		-	2	9	12	6		2				=	-	_	7	~	-	7	
	Sum	588	2055	903	1696	2829	3257	11328	527	1059	584 (	508 1	834 9	68	601	246 1	58 2	18	54 2	192	Ē	22
				1												-						1

cd. Table 2

- Control
- Soil treated with Effective Microorganisms (EM)
- Seeds treated with EM
- Foliage treated with effective microorganisms
  - Soil, foliage and seeds treatment with EM Pesticide control
- K\* G Z GZO FIH

119

pathogenic fungi (48.45%) were isolated from the roots of chemically-protected pea plants, with a high contribution of antagonists (49.48%).

#### DISCUSSION

Chemical control in crop protection contributes to the selection of soil fungi, often followed by the disappearance of entire groups of soil microbes that perform an important role in ecosystems (Różański 1992). Plant roots secrete a variety of organic substances, including amino acids, carbohydrates and organic acids, which affect the microorganisms colonizing the root system. These compounds increase the size of microbial populations and enhance their microbiological activity (Boer et al. 2005). In the present study, fungal species considered pathogenic - Cylindrocarpon destructans, Botrytis cinerea and Rhizoctonia solani, as well as Fusarium sp. and Pythium sp. (Seifert et al. 2003, Fournier et al. 2003, Oyarzun et al. 1998), were most frequently isolated from the roots of pea plants and their proportion decreased along with a growing distance from the root system. Fusarium oxysporum, responsible for Fusarium wilt of pea, was the most often isolated species. Fravel et al. (2003) reported that this fungus occurs in a non-pathogenic form, so it may be used for biological disease control. Members of the genus Penicillium can be found in all types of soil in considerable numbers. According to Seredyn (1988), these are antagonists of pathogenic fungi. Our study also showed that fungi of this genus are common in the soil environment. The percentage of antagonists of the genera Gliocladium and Trichoderma (Singh et al. 2006), commonly used for biological crop protection, was much lower among all the soil-isolated fungi. These fungi belong to essential soil microbes living in the rhizosphere and in the epidermis of many plant species (Jansen and Lumsden, 1999). Members of the order Mucorales are frequently isolated from the soil. Due to their fast growth rate, intensive sporulation and the ability to use simple carbon sources, these fungi are considered antagonistic (Boer et al. 2005). In the present experiment, members of the order Mucorales were isolated in relatively high numbers and showed great species diversity, which indicates their mass occurrence in the soil environment.

Large-scale experiments with the use of biological crop protection methods are often difficult to conduct since under such conditions the effects of biological control agents are unpredictable and hardly noticeable (Mathre et al. 1999). Ciccillo et al. (2002) found that the application of some inoculants containing antagonistic microorganisms caused disturbances in the microbiological equilibrium in the soil, followed by plant growth inhibition. Our field trials revealed that the applied EM inoculant modified fungal populations in the soil environment of pea. The effect of the tested preparation on the populations of soil fungi varied widely in particular soil zones. K o z d r ój et al. (2004) demonstrated that changes taking place in the composition of rhizosphere-dwelling microbes are caused by the application of biological control agents, but are also directly related to the development stage of plants.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

1. The application of the EM soil improver affected the population size and qualitative composition of fungi colonizing the soil environment of pea.

2. The highest population size of fungi was recorded in the experimental variant in which effective microorganisms were applied without chemical crop protection, while the lowest in the control treatment.

3. Saprotrophic fungi were most common in the rhizosphere, their percentage was lower in the rhizoplane and the lowest on the roots of pea plants.

4. The use of the EM soil improver had a slight impact on the occurrence of potentially pathogenic fungi on the roots of pea plants.

#### REFERENCES

- Boer W., Folman L. B., Summerbell R. C., Boddy L., 2005. Living in a fungal world: impact of fungi on soil bacterial niche development. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 29:795 811.
- Ciccillo F., Fiore A., Bevivino A., Dalmastri C., Tabacchioni S., Chiarini L., 2002. Effects of two different application methods of *Burkholderia ambifaria* MCI 7 on plant growth and rhizospheric bacterial diver sity. Environmental Microbiology, 4: 238–245.
- Daly M.J., Stewart D. P. C., 1999. Influence of "effective microorganisms" (EM) on vegetative production and car bon mineralization a preliminary investigation. J. Sust. Agric. 14: 15 25.
- Davelos A. L., Kinkel L. L., Samac D. A., 2004. Spatial variation in frequency and intensity of antibiotic interac tions among *Streptomycetes* from prairie soil. Appl. Envi ron. Microbiol. 70: 1051–1058.
- Fravel D. R., Olivain C., Alabouvette C., 2003. Fu sarium oxysporum and its biocontrol. New Phytologist. 157: 493 502.
- Hussain T., Javid T., Parr J.F., Jilani G., Haq M. A., 1999. Rice and wheat production in Pakistan with effec tive microorganisms. Am. J. Alt. Agric. 14: 30 36.
- Jensen D. F., Lumsden R. D., 1999. Biological control of soilborne pathogens. In: Albajes, R., Gullino, M. L., Van Lenteren, J. C., Elad, Y. (Eds.), Integrated Pest and Diseases Management in Greehouse Crops. Kluwer Aca demic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 319 337.
- Khaliq A., Kaleem Abbasi M., Hussain T., 2006. Ef fects of integrated use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources with effective microorganisms (EM) on seed cot

ton yield in Pakistan. Bioresource Technology, 97: 967 972.

- Kozdrój J., Trevors J. T., Elsas J. D., 2004. Influence of introduced potential biocontrol agents on maize seedling growth and bacterial community structure in the rhizo sphere. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 36: 1775 1784.
- Mańka K., 1974. Zbiorowiska grzybów jako kryterium oceny wpływu środowiska na choroby roślin. / Fungi commu nities as a criterion of assessment of the effect of the envi ronment on plant diseases. Zasz. Prob. Post. Nauk Roln. 160: 9 21.
- Mathre D. E., Cook R. J., Callan N. W., 1999. From discovery to use traversing the world of commercializ ing biocontrol agents for plant disease control. Plant Dis. 83: 972 983.
- Morgan J. A. W., Bending G. D., White P. J., 2005. Biological costs and benefits to plant microbe interac tions in the rhizosphere. Journal of Experimental Botany, 56, (417), pp. 1729–1739.
- Olanya O.M., Larkin R. P., 2006. Efficacy of essential oils and biopesticides on Phytophthora infestans suppression in laboratory and growth chamber studies. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 16(9): 901 917.
- Różański L., 1992. Przemiany pestycydów w organizmach żywych i środowisku. / Transformations of pesticides in living organisms and the environment. PWRiL Warsza wa. 268.
- Seredyn Z., 1988. Badania nad grzybami zasiedlającymi środowisko owsa, łubinu i grochu uprawianych na wielo letnim ugorze. / Studies on fungi inhabiting the environ ment of oat, lupin and pea grown on long term fallow land. Pam. Puławski. 93: 145 154.
- Singh A., Srivastava S., Singh H. B., 2007. Effect of substrates on growth and shelf life of Trichoderma har zianum and its use in biocontrol of diseases. Bioresource Technology, 98(2):470–473.
- Valarini P. J., Alvarez M. C. D., Gasco J. M., Guer

rero F., Tokeshi H., 2003. Assessment of soil pro poperties by organic matter and EM microorganisms incorporation. R. Bras. Ci. Solo. 27: 519 525.

# Grzyby zasiedlające środowisko glebowe przed siewem i po zbiorze grochu siewnego (*Pisum sativum* L.) po zastosowaniu szczepionki mikrobiologicznej EM 1<sup>TM</sup>

#### Streszczenie

Badania polowe prowadzono w latach 2003-2005. W badaniach stwierdzono wpływ szczepionki mikrobiologicznej EM 1 na zróżnicowanie składu gatunkowego i liczebność poznanych zbiorowisk grzybów. Ze środowiska glebowego w fazie kwitnienia grochu wyizolowano ogółem 18111 kolonii grzybów strzepkowych. Najliczniejsze zbiorowisko grzybów uzyskano z ryzosfery grochu kombinacji chronionej chemicznie (3257 kolonii), przy czym zbiorowiska te charakteryzowały się najmniejszą różnorodnością. Największą różnorodność gatunkowa badanych zbiorowisk stwierdzono w przypadku ryzosfery i ryzoplany kombinacji, gdzie EM stosowano doglebowo w połączeniu z ochroną chemiczną. Największą różnorodność, a także liczebność grzybów wyizolowanych z korzeni roślin zanotowano w kombinacji kontrolnej. Ze środowiska glebowego grochu w fazie kwitnienia izolowano najczęściej grzyby z rodzaju Penicillium, które stanowiły 40,78% izolatów grzybowych oraz z rodzaju Fusarium (32,37%).