

MIECZYSŁAW GRZELAK<sup>1</sup>, MAGDALENA JANYSZEK<sup>2</sup>,  
WALDEMAR SPYCHALSKI<sup>3</sup>

**EVALUATION OF THE FODDER VALUE OF THE OVER  
GROUND PARTS OF SEDGES FROM THE SECTION  
*MUEHLENBERGIANAE* (L.H. BAILEY) KÜK.**

From <sup>1</sup>Department of Grassland Sciences,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany

and <sup>3</sup>Department of Soil Sciences

The August Cieszkowski Agricultural University of Poznań

**ABSTRACT.** This paper contains the results of research of concentrations of macroelements (P, K, Ca, Mg, Na), microelements (Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe), SiO<sub>2</sub> and elementary composition in over-ground parts of the selected species of the genus *Carex* (*Carex spicata*, *C. muricata* and *C. divulsa*).

**Key words:** *Carex*, elementary composition, macroelements, microelements, crude ash

## **Introduction**

Genus *Carex* L. belongs to the less accurately described taxa of the Polish vascular plants. This group is difficult due to several questions, concerning taxonomical problems and their economic utility. It is commonly believed, that sedges are unnecessary components of meadows and pastures, which decreases fodder quality, digestibility and its palatability, because some species of *Carex* contain high amount of sclerenchyma (Grynia et al. 1987).

Despite this opinion, there are several species of *Carex*, which have soft leafs, practically without silica bodies, and are characterized by low content of sclerenchyma. To such group belongs representatives of section *Muehlenbergianae* (L.H. Bailey) Kük. – *Carex spicata* Huds., *C. muricata* L. and *C. divulsa* Stokes (Szczepanik-Janyszek and Woźnica 2001).

The representatives of investigated section grow in several meadows, pastures communities and other grasslands and are very morphologically similar to the grasses, particularly at the vegetative stages.

Plants of this section have big and weighty seeds and are often being unintentionally spread by farmers, becoming one of the components of meadows and pastures. **Janyszek** (unpublished data) observed pastures, where *C. spicata* and *C. muricata* were dominants, and their tufts were often and willingly grazed. *Carex divulsa* is much more rare species, because of its specific ecological preferences. This species is related to the termophilous skirt communities and usually avoids places intensively mowed or grazed. (**Szczepanik-Janyszek** 2001). Due to its rarity, the taxon is protected by Polish law.

The aim of the performed experiments was to determine the fodder value of the over-ground parts of sedges from the *Muehlenbergiana* section (L.H. Bailey) Kük, using selected chemical analysis.

## Research methods

The material for the analyses was collected in July at flowering and earlier fruiting phases. Those phases were chosen, because described species are very similar to each other and are practically undistinguishable at the vegetative stages.

On the other hand, mowing of meadows is usually realized during the phases in which sedges are blooming or have mature sacks. This moment is optimal to gain the crop with maximum content of proteins and best quality fodder.

Every samples were collected from the same site – the patch of fertile meadow belonging to the association *Arrhenatherretum elatioris* Br.-Bl. 1919 ex Scherrer 1925.

The performed investigations included entire over-ground plant parts, i.e. leaves, as well as stems together with inflorescences or fruits, which were ground after drying.

The analyses of concentrations of organic carbon (C) and sulfur (S) were made by using method of the elementary analysis.

The content of crude nitrogen (N) was determined using the Kjeldahl method. Crude ash was calculated after burning samples in a muffle furnace at the temperature of 550°C (**Lityński et al.** 1976). Concentrations of macroelements: P, K, Ca, Mg, Na as well as silica SiO<sub>2</sub> in % DM and microelements Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni and Cr in mg/kg DM were determined according to the methodology of **Ostrowska et al.** (1991).

## Results

### Elementary composition

There were no significant differences of percentage concentration of nitrogen (N) in the studied species (Table 1). Higher content of nitrogen was found in *C. muricata* (mean 2.26% in DM), lowest in *C. divulsa* (mean 1.85% in DM). Such a high concentration of this element shows the high amount proteins in fodder. Relatively large is also the C:N proportion. Concentration of sulfur (S) in the analyzed samples was lower than average contents of sulfur in the grasses, (**Falkowski et al.** 2000) and amounted from 0.2 to 0.8% in DM. *Carex spicata* had the lowest content of crude ash (7.86% in DM) from analyzed group, while the other species had: *C. divulsa* 9.04%, and *C. muricata* 9.13% in DM.

**Table 1**  
**Elementary composition in the studied samples (% DM)**  
**Skład elementarny części nadziemnych badanych gatunków turzyc (% s.m.)**

| Species<br>Gatunek | Sample number<br>Numer próbki | Crude ash<br>Popiół<br>surowy | Chemical composition<br>Skład chemiczny |       |      |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------|------|
|                    |                               |                               | N                                       | C     | S    |
| <i>C. divulsa</i>  | 1                             | 9.02                          | 1.85                                    | 41.64 | 0.09 |
|                    | 2                             | 8.99                          | 1.74                                    | 39.98 | 0.07 |
|                    | 3                             | 9.12                          | 1.97                                    | 40.99 | 0.03 |
| Mean<br>Średnia    |                               | 9.04                          | 1.85                                    | 40.87 | 0.06 |
| <i>C. spicata</i>  | 1                             | 7.79                          | 1.99                                    | 42.97 | 0.06 |
|                    | 2                             | 7.78                          | 1.99                                    | 46.11 | 0.09 |
|                    | 3                             | 8.01                          | 2.01                                    | 37.75 | 0.04 |
| Mean<br>Średnia    |                               | 7.86                          | 2.00                                    | 42.28 | 0.06 |
| <i>C. muricata</i> | 1                             | 9.09                          | 2.29                                    | 42.93 | 0.05 |
|                    | 2                             | 9.17                          | 2.19                                    | 40.76 | 0.12 |
|                    | 3                             | 9.12                          | 2.29                                    | 47.21 | 0.05 |
| Mean<br>Średnia    |                               | 9.13                          | 2.26                                    | 43.63 | 0.07 |

### Concentrations of macroelements and silica

In the case of concentrations of macroelements: potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and sodium (Na) slight differences between the species and analyzed samples were observed. However, those contents were a little lower than those recommended by standards optimal for fodder, and only content of potassium was relatively high (Table 2).

The mean silica concentration ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) in *C. spicata* amounted 1.21% in DM and was almost 1% lower than that of *Festuca arundinacea* (2.25% in DM), which is characterized by a high concentration of silica (Falkowski 1983). Other species of genus *Festuca* – *F. pratensis*, considered as a very valuable component of fodder, contains 2%  $\text{SiO}_2$  in DM (Falkowski 1983). The silica concentrations in *C. divulsa* amounted to 1.71% in DM and in *C. muricata* – 1.74% in DM.

Especially interesting is the comparison of average contents of the analyzed macroelements in representatives of section *Muehlenbergianae* and in selected species from the genus *Carex* growing on peat bogs in the valley of the Rurzyca River (Rogalski et al. 2004) (Table 3). The concentration of P, K, Ca and Na in the species studied in this paper was two to three times higher.

**Table 2**  
**Concentration of macroelements in the studied samples (% DM)**  
**Zawartość makroelementów w częściach nadziemnych badanych gatunków turzyc (% s.m.)**

| Species<br>Gatunek | Sample<br>number<br>Numer próbki | Macroelements – Makroelementy |      |      |      |      |                    |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|--------------------|
|                    |                                  | P                             | K    | Ca   | Mg   | Na   | SiO <sub>2</sub> * |
| <i>C. divulsa</i>  | 1                                | 0.46                          | 2.94 | 0.76 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 1.71               |
|                    | 2                                | 0.45                          | 2.56 | 0.77 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 1.69               |
|                    | 3                                | 0.67                          | 3.02 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 1.73               |
| Mean<br>Średnia    |                                  | 0.53                          | 2.84 | 0.73 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.71               |
| <i>C. spicata</i>  | 1                                | 0.32                          | 2.67 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 1.21               |
|                    | 2                                | 0.51                          | 2.84 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 1.14               |
|                    | 3                                | 0.32                          | 2.76 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 1.26               |
| Mean<br>Średnia    |                                  | 0.38                          | 2.76 | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 1.20               |
| <i>C. muricata</i> | 1                                | 0.22                          | 2.89 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 1.72               |
|                    | 2                                | 0.32                          | 2.18 | 0.71 | 0.15 | 0.54 | 1.68               |
|                    | 3                                | 0.34                          | 2.98 | 0.62 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 1.81               |
| Mean<br>Średnia    |                                  | 0.29                          | 2.68 | 0.61 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 1.74               |

\*Concentration of SiO<sub>2</sub> according to **Falkowski** (1983) – 2% in DM (0.9% Si in DM).

\*Zawartość SiO<sub>2</sub> wg **Falkowskiego** (1983) – 2% w s.m. (0,9% Si w s.m.)

**Table 3**  
**Average contents of macroelements in the analyzed species in comparison to sedges growing  
on peat bogs (% DM)**  
**Zawartość makroelementów w częściach nadziemnych badanych gatunków turzyc  
w porównaniu z turzycami torfowiskowymi (% s.m.)**

| Species – Gatunek   | Macroelements – Makroelementy |      |      |      |
|---|-------------------------------|------|------|------|
|   | P                             | K    | Ca   | Na   |
| <i>C. divulsa</i> , <i>C. spicata</i> , <i>C. muricata</i> – mean – średnia | 0.40                          | 2.76 | 0.60 | 0.26 |
| <i>C. gracilis</i>  | 0.13                          | 1.51 | 0.33 | 0.07 |
| <i>C. acutiformis</i>   | 0.18                          | 1.94 | 0.32 | 0.10 |
| <i>C. riparia</i>   | 0.15                          | 2.19 | 0.35 | 0.17 |

### Concentrations of microelements

Average concentration of cuprum (Cu) and zinc (Zn) constituted half of average amounts recommended by standards for natural fodders (Table 4). The manganese (Mn) content was higher than its average concentrations in grasses (38 mg kg/DM), legumes (39 mg kg/DM) and meadows herbs (7 mg kg/DM) (**Falkowski et al.** 2000). The studied sedges had also high content of iron (Fe) – a few times more than proposed by the feeding norms (30 mg kg/DM), and its average amount changes from 129.24 (*C. divulsa*) up to 228.43 mg kg/DM (*C. muricata*).

**Table 4**  
**Concentration of microelements of the studied samples (mg/kg DM)\***  
**Zawartość mikroelementów w badanych gatunkach (mg/kg s.m.)\***

| Species<br>Gatunek | Sample number<br>Numer próbki | Microelements – Mikroelementy |       |        |        |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
|                    |                               | Cu                            | Zn    | Mn     | Fe     |
| <i>C. divulsa</i>  | 1                             | 4.15                          | 17.55 | 133.09 | 118.90 |
|                    | 2                             | 3.67                          | 14.99 | 131.96 | 134.06 |
|                    | 3                             | 4.86                          | 17.45 | 140.89 | 134.75 |
|                    | Mean<br>Średnia               | 4.23                          | 16.66 | 135.31 | 129.24 |
| <i>C. spicata</i>  | 1                             | 5.75                          | 32.70 | 140.00 | 155.30 |
|                    | 2                             | 5.45                          | 35.55 | 139.10 | 163.60 |
|                    | 3                             | 6.09                          | 21.08 | 160.42 | 148.88 |
|                    | Mean<br>Średnia               | 5.76                          | 29.78 | 146.51 | 155.93 |
| <i>C. muricata</i> | 1                             | 5.85                          | 30.11 | 166.65 | 232.60 |
|                    | 2                             | 5.90                          | 28.55 | 159.40 | 230.35 |
|                    | 3                             | 5.01                          | 31.77 | 162.78 | 222.34 |
|                    | Mean<br>Średnia               | 5.59                          | 30.14 | 162.94 | 228.43 |

\*mg/kg DM = ppm.

\*mg/kg s.m. = ppm.

Comparison of concentration of microelements in the analyzed species and sedges growing on the meadows in the Noteć Valley (**Kocialkowski et al.** 1967) shows insignificant the differences between both groups (Table 5).

**Table 5**  
**Comparison of concentration of microelements in studied species and other sedges growing  
on the meadows of the Noteć Valley (mg/kg DM)**  
**Porównanie zawartości mikroelementów w badanych gatunkach i w innych turzycach  
rosnących na łąkach Dolnej Noteci (mg/kg s.m.)**

| Species – Gatunek   | Microelements – Mikroelementy |       |        |        |
|---|-------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
|   | Cu                            | Zn    | Mn     | Fe     |
| <i>C. divulsa</i> , <i>C. spicata</i> , <i>C. muricata</i> – mean – średnia | 5.19                          | 25.53 | 148.25 | 171.20 |
| Others meadows sedges – mean  | 8.50                          | 19.61 | 181.9  | 167.87 |
| Inne gatunki turzyc łąkowych – średnia                                      |                               |       |        |        |

### Summary and conclusions

1. Basing on chemical analyses, fodder value was evaluated of over-ground parts of sedges from section *Muehlenbergianae* (L.H. Bailey) Kük – *Carex spicata* Huds., *C. muricata* L., *C. divulsa* Stokes, collected at flowering and earlier fruiting phases in the same plant community – *Arrhenatheretum elatioris*.
2. Results show, that overground parts of representatives of section *Muehlenbergianae* have a very valuable chemical composition and are a valuable fodder, similar to numerous species of grasses.
3. The most remarkable features are: high concentration of proteins (10.88 to 14.37% of DM) and especially high proportion of C:N, and the phytochemical composition suitable for the values optimal for the fodder.
4. Average content of silica, an element significant for the digestibility of carbohydrates and nutrition value, was varying in the studied species from 1.21% to 1.74% kg DM and was lower than in the case of many species of grasses. This feature confirms the relatively high nutrition value of the over-ground parts of the studied sedges.

### References

- Falkowski M. (1983): Łąkarstwo i gospodarka łąkowa. PWRiL, Warszawa.
- Falkowski M., Kukulka J., Kozłowski S. (2000): Właściwości chemiczne roślin łąkowych. Wyd. AR, Poznań.
- Grynia M., Kryszak A., Brukwiński W. (1987): Zawartość krzemionki u krajowych ekotypów kostrzewy trzcinowej. Biul. Inst. Hod. Aklim. Rośl. 2: 53-57.
- Kociałkowski Z., Czekalski A., Baluk A. (1967): Zawartość mikroelementów w glebach i roślinach łąkowych doliny średniego biegu Noteci. Roczn. Nauk Roln. 93-A-1: 155-176.
- Lityński T., Jurkowska H., Gorlach E. (1976): Analiza chemiczno-rolnicza. PWN, Warszawa.
- Ostrowska A., Gawliński S., Szczubialka Z. (1991): Metody analizy i oceny właściwości gleb i roślin. Inst. Ochr. Środ., Warszawa.
- Rogalski M., Kwarta Cz., Trzaskoś M. (2004): Natural and economic valours of marsh plant communities on peat soils of periodically excessive moisture in the Rurzyca river valley. In:

- Conference "The future of Polish mires". Societas Scientiarum Stetinensis, Agricultural University of Szczecin, Szczecin: 232-241.
- Szczepanik-Janyszek M.** (2001): Studia systematyczno-geograficzne nad gatunkami z rodzaju *Carex* L. z sekcji *Muehlenbergianae* (L.H. Bailey) Kük. w Polsce. Roczn. AR Pozn. Rozpr. Nauk. 311.
- Szczepanik-Janyszek M., Woźnica M.** (2001): Taksonomia i rozmieszczenie turzyc *Carex* L. z sekcji *Vulpinae* (Carey) Christ. w Wielkopolsce. Roczn. AR Pozn. 334, Bot. 4: 175-195.

## OCENA WARTOŚCI PASZOWEJ RUNI GATUNKÓW Z RODZAJU CAREX L. Z SEKCJI MUEHLENBERGIANAE (L.H. BAILEY) KÜK.

### S t r e s z c z e n i e

W pracy zaprezentowano wyniki badań wartości odżywczej runi (liści, łodyg i owoców) turzyc z sekcji *Muehlenbergianae* (L.H. Bailey) Kük. – *Carex spicata* Huds., *Carex muricata* L. i *Carex divulsa* Stokes. Używając wybranych metod, analizowano skład elementarny i zawartość niektórych mikro- i makroelementów. Aby zminimalizować wpływ siedliska na zawartość badanych elementów, rośliny zbierano z tego samego stanowiska w obrębie płatu *Arrhenatherretum elatioris*.

Analizowano zawartość węgla organicznego, azotu i siarki, a także popiołu surowego oraz makroelementów (P, K, Ca, Mg, Na), krzemionki i mikroelementów (Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe).

Wyniki badań wskazują, że turzyce z sekcji *Muehlenbergianae* mają bardzo korzystny skład chemiczny i są bardziej wartościową paszą od runi wielu gatunków traw. Świadczy o tym duża zawartość białka ogólnego we wszystkich badanych gatunkach turzyc, utrzymująca się na poziomie od 10,88 do 14,37% w s.m., oraz stosunkowo szeroki stosunek C:N, a także skład fitochemiczny porównywalny z zawartościami optymalnymi dla paszy.

Zawartość krzemionki, element wpływającego w dużym stopniu na strawnosć i wartość pokarmową, wynosi w badanych gatunków od 1,21 do 1,74% w s.m. Ilość ta nie przekracza wartości granicznej, dopuszczalnej w skarmianej paszy, i jest o wiele mniejsza niż u wielu traw, co potwierdza dużą wartość paszową badanych gatunków.

#### Authors' addresses:

*Mieczysław Grzelak, Katedra Łąkarstwa, Akademia Rolnicza im. Augusta Cieszkowskiego w Poznaniu, ul. Wojska Polskiego 38/42, 60-627 Poznań, e-mail: grzelak@au.poznan.pl*

*Magdalena Janyszek, Katedra Botaniki, Akademia Rolnicza im. Augusta Cieszkowskiego w Poznaniu, ul. Wojska Polskiego 71 C, 60-625 Poznań, e-mail: carexmag@au.poznan.pl*

*Waldemar Spychal, Katedra Gleboznawstwa, Akademia Rolnicza im. Augusta Cieszkowskiego w Poznaniu, ul. Mazowiecka 42, 60-623 Poznań, e-mail: spychal@au.poznan.pl*