

World News of Natural Sciences

WNOFNS 12 (2017) 21-26

EISSN 2543-5426

Assessment of Heavy Metal Concentration of Groundwater in Veppanthattai Block, Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu

A. Mohamed Ibraheem¹ and S. M. Mazhar Nazeeb Khan²

¹Department of Chemistry, Sri Vinayaga College of Arts and Science, Ulundurpet – 606 107, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Chemistry, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli – 620 020, Tamil Nadu, India

^{1,2}E-mail address: troglobiont@gmail.com, drmazharjmc@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The current study was aimed to find out the heavy metal concentration of groundwater in the Veppanthattai block. Here, 45 water samples from different sites were collected during the year 2015 at post monsoon, and Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb and Zn heavy metal content was analyzed using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS), The obtained results were then compared with the WHO standard for the specific highest pollution level. Accordingly, some or all water samples are above the permissible limit, particularly for Cd metal (all samples). The elevated concentration of cadmium in water samples of the study area may be due to agricultural runoff, as pesticides and cadmium-containing fertilizer have been used. The study showed that without proper treatment, the groundwater of the study area is not suitable for domestic applications.

Keywords: Groundwater, Heavy metal, Veppanthattai, AAS, WHO

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is the universal substances that maintain plant and animal being. Groundwater from borewells and open wells polluted with heavy metal as a result of human activities, some of these activities include the production of fuel, pesticides getting in an unprocessed sewage, chemical fertilizers, throwing away of waste and industrial effluents lead into streams running and rivers close into the housing area As well as indiscriminate disposal of garbage, pharmaceutical products and wastes of automobiles¹.

Heavy metals are mostly present in groundwater and play a significant role in determining the quality of water for drinking uses. Metals are believed toxins and they start causing injury when they enter the water body more than the prescribed limit². Heavy metals such as As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, and Pb have been predictable as hazardous elements for the environment³. Though, metals like Cu, Fe, Mn and Ni are important as micronutrients for plants while metals like Cd, Cr and Pb are proved harmful beyond a certain limit⁴.World health Organization (WHO) is specified maximum pollutant level of heavy metals in groundwater. The present study was carried out to evaluate the heavy metal concentration of groundwater in Veppanthattai block, Perambalur district, Tamil Nadu by AAS and the result is compared with WHO values.

Study Area

Perambalur locates in the central part of Tamil Nadu, and about 270 Km from the state capital Chennai. The district boundary is between 78°40' and 79°30' of the east longitudes and 10°54' and 11°30' of the North Latitudes. It is surrounded by Ariyalur district in the east, Salem district in the west, Cuddalore district in the north and Trichy district in the south. The district area is about 1757 sq.km. It has four Taluks namely Alathur, Kunnam, Perambalur and Veppanthattai,. Perambalur was bisected from the previous merged Tiruchirappalli district and was created on 1st November 1995.

As per 2011 Census, the population of the district is 5,65,223. The district founds 74.32% of literacy rate. 908 mm is the average rainfall of the district. The study area gets about 52% annual rainfall during Northeast Monsoon, about 14% in the winter and summer season and 34% in the Southwest monsoon season. About 61.3% of land in the district is used for agricultural activities to produce Cashew nut, Cotton, Groundnut, Maize, Onion, Paddy and Sugarcane. at present, in Tamilnadu the Perambalur district is the top in Onion and Maize producer. Public Sector Unit of Perambalur Sugar Mills is placed at Eraiyur with a squishing capacity of 3000 tonnes per day.

Veppanthattai is the important block in Perambalur district of the state of Tamilnadu. This town is positioned about 13 km west of Perambalur. The town faces Valikandapuram on the east side Krishnapuram on the north side and Esanai on the south side.



Figure 1. Site chart of the Study Region

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

45 Groundwater samples were collected from the variable region of the study area during the year 2015. models were collected previously cleaned 2 L polyethene bottles. Each bottle was rinsed with distilled water to avoid any possible contamination. They were desiccated, cooled and named. All essential precautions were taken during sampling investigation and transit of water samples to the laboratory⁵.

The sample was analyzed for heavy metals Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb and Zn following standard procedure⁶ using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, model 2380). All reagents used were of analytical status and apparatus was pre-calibrated accurately with standard solutions previous to measurement. Repeated analyses were carried out for each determination to verify concordantly and quality assurance. And the results obtained were compared with the WHO standard for the specified maximum impurity level.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of heavy metals are formulated in Table 1. The results are discussed and compared with standard values.

Cadmium was found in 20 samples (Other samples are blowing the detectable limit) with a range of 0.03 ppm to 0.18ppm and exceeded permissible limit⁷. Cadmium arises naturally in ores collectively with copper, lead and zinc. Cadmium compounds are used as a colour pigment, stabilizers in PVC products and numerous alloys. at present most commonly, in rechargeable nickel– cadmium batteries. It is also present in phosphate fertilizers as a toxin. The high concentration of cadmium is may be due to these reasons.

	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Ni	Pb	Zn
Minimum	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.04
Maximum	0.18	0.07	0.18	0.46	0.15	0.16	0.24
Average	0.083	0.042	0.111	0.263	0.116	0.091	0.147

Table 1. Heavy metal concentration of groundwater in ppm in the study area.

Cadmium-consist of products are barely recycled but often discarded together with domestic waste, thus polluting the environment, particularly if the dissipate is ignited⁸. It is exceedingly toxic even in low concentration and bio-accumulate in creature and environment and it has a long biological half-life range from 10 to 33 years in the human body⁹. Excessive cadmium disclosure may diminish the body immune system and cause lung cancer¹⁰. And Long-period exposure to cadmium may produce renal damage¹¹.

Chromium was found in 9 samples (Other samples are below the detectable limit) with a range of 0.02 ppm to 0.07 ppm. Except for 2 samples, all are within the permissible limit. The extraordinary content of Chromium may be due to various anthropogenic activities, tanneries, old plumbing, domiciliary discharges and industrial effluents ¹². And also found in dyes of waste plants, plastic and rubber factories, electrical panels and batteries¹³. Chromium element is toxic which cause lung carcinoma when is exposed to substantial concentrations¹⁴.

Copper was found in 22 samples (Other samples are below the detectable limit) with a range of 0.05 ppm to 0.18 ppm. All the values are not beyond the permissible limit. The average value of copper in all water samples are extremely under the permissible limits. The low level may be due to the soil absorption process, which lowers the concentration of the heavy metals in water¹⁵. Individuals with toxicity show an abnormally high level of copper in the bones, brain, eye, kidney and liver ¹⁶. Intense toxicity of ingested copper is characterized by diarrhea, abdominal pain, vomiting and a metallic taste in the mouth¹⁷.

Iron was found in all samples with a range of 0.06 ppm to 0.46 ppm and the values are within the permissible limit. Iron is one of the heavy metal which is indispensable for wellbeing. It plays a significant role in human nourishment. It is used in cellular metabolism and is found in body enzymes and helps in the formation of protein hemoglobin, which carriage oxygen to all cells of the body¹⁸.

Nickel was found in 14 samples (Other samples are below the detectable limit) with a range of 0.02 ppm to 0.15 ppm. Except for 3 samples, all are exceeded the permissible limit. The high level of nickel may due to the mixing of a diversity of wastes including that of domestic sewage, agricultural runoff, automobile wastages, electroplating units and utensil manufacturing process¹⁹. Some amounts of nickel are useful to the human body, but redundant nickel may be toxic. About 2% of men and 10% of women are highly sensitive to nickel. The most severe effects occur when nickel is inhaled. This can lead to expanded risk of respiratory epidemic, asthma and sinus issues ²⁰.

The lead was found in 24 samples (Other samples are below the detectable limit) with a range of 0.03 ppm to 0.16 ppm. Except for 5 samples, all are within the permissible limit. Lead contamination of the ground water may be due to the usage of lead arsenate as the pesticide as well as its uses in pigments, paints and lead storage batteries. High levels of the disclosure may result in noxious biochemical effects in humans and it causes problems in the synthesis of hemoglobin, gastrointestinal tract, effects on the kidneys, joints and reproductive system, and sensitive or chronic damage to the nervous system²¹.

Zinc was found in 35 (Other samples are below the detectable limit) samples with a range of 0.04 ppm to 0.24 ppm. All the values are within the permissible limit. Zinc is necessary to plant and animal physiology, however excessive level in water can cause a problem of bitter, astringent taste and opalescent appearance²².

4. CONCLUSION

The study was carried out for heavy metal analysis of groundwater and compared with the standard permissible values of WHO. The values of Cd, Cr, Ni and Pb are exceeding the permissible limit in most of the groundwater samples. Particularly Cd is present in all the groundwater samples. The high concentration of cadmium in water samples of the study area is due to the agricultural runoff and pesticides, as well as cadmium-containing phosphatic fertilizers, have been used. High concentration of other heavy metals is due to indiscriminate disposal of domestic and agricultural wastes. So this study reveals that without proper handling water is not appropriate for domestic applications²³⁻²⁷.

Acknowledgement

Thank to Dr. J. Kamalakkannan, Head and Assistant professor, Department of Chemistry, Sri Vinayaga College of Arts and Science, Ulundurpet 606 107, Tamil Nadu, India for his encouragement and heartfelt support.

References

- [1] Ahmaruzzaman. M. (2011). Industrial wastes as low-cost potential adsorbents for the treatment of wastewaterladen with heavy metals. *Adv. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 166, 36-59
- [2] Zahir Hussain. A and Mohamed Sheriff. K. M. (2013). Status of heavy metal concentrations in groundwater samples situated in and around on the bank of Cooum river at Chennai City, Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 5(3), 73-77
- [3] Lars Järup, (2003). Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *British Medical Bulletin* 68, 167-182
- [4] Es'haghi. Z, Khalili. M, Khazaeifar. A and Rounaghi. G. H. (2011). *Electrochimica Acta* 56 (9), 3139-3146
- [5] Aggarwal T. R., Sinh K. N. and Gupta A. K. (2000). Poll. Res. 19 (13), 491-494
- [6] Nolan K. 2003. Copper Toxicity Syndrome. *Journal of Orthomol Psychiatry* 12(4), 270-282
- [7] Sharpley A. J. and Menzel R. G. (1987). Adv. Agron. 41, 297-324
- [8] Aremu D. A. Olawuyi J., Meshitsuka S. (2002). Heavy metal analysis of groundwater from Warri, Nigeria. *Int J Environ Health Res* 12, 261-267
- [9] Duruibe, J. O. Ogwuegbu, M. O. C. and Egwurugwu, J. N. (2007). Heavy Metal Pollution and Human Biotoxic Effects. *International Journal of Physical Sciences* 2 (5), 112-118
- [10] Beata Draszawka Bołzan, Emil Cyraniak. World Scientific News 3 (2014) 20-27
- [11] Emil Cyraniak, Beata Draszawka Bołzan. World Scientific News 6 (2014) 30-36
- [12] Amol M. Jadhav, Pravin U. Singare. World Scientific News 10 (2015) 1-16
- [13] K. G. Sekar, K. Suriyakala. World Scientific News 36 (2016) 47-60
- [14] Piotr Daniszewski. World Scientific News 69 (2017) 75-85
- [15] R. K. Rattan, S. P. Datta, P. K. Chhonkar, K. Suribabu, A. K. Singh. Long-term impact of irrigation with sewage effluents on heavy metal content in soils, crops and groundwater—a case study. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* Volume 109, Issues 3–4, 1 September 2005, Pages 310-322
- [16] C. N. Mulligan, R. N. Yong, B. F. Gibbs. Remediation technologies for metalcontaminated soils and groundwater: an evaluation. *Engineering Geology* Volume 60, Issues 1–4, June 2001, Pages 193-207

- [17] Aradhi K. Krishna, M. Satyanarayanan, Pradip K. Govil. Assessment of heavy metal pollution in water using multivariate statistical techniques in an industrial area: A case study from Patancheru, Medak District, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* Volume 167, Issues 1–3, 15 August 2009, Pages 366-373
- [18] J. Nouri, A. H. Mahvi, G. R. Jahed, A. A. Babaei. Regional distribution pattern of groundwater heavy metals resulting from agricultural activities. *Environmental Geology* September 2008, Volume 55, Issue 6, pp 1337–1343
- [19] Pogotto C, Remy N, Legret M, Le Cloirec P (2001) Heavy metal pollution of road dust and roadside soil near a major rural highway. *Environ Tech* 22: 307–319
- [20] Rangsivek R, Jekel MR (2005) Removal of dissolved metals by Zero-Valent Iron (ZVI). *Water Res* 39: 4153–4163
- [21] Rattan RK, Datta SP, Chhonkar PK, Suribabu K, Singh AK (2005) Long-term impact of irrigation with sewage effluent on heavy metal content in solid, crops and groundwater: a case study. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 109(3–4): 310–322
- [22] Romic M, Romic D (2003) Heavy metal distribution in agricultural topsoil in urban area. *Environ Geol* 43(7): 795–805
- [23] Santos A, Alonso E, Callejon M, Jimenez JC (2002) Distribution of Zn, Cd, Pb and Cu metals in groundwater of the Guadiamar River Basin. Water, Air, Soil Poll J 134(1–4): 273–283
- [24] Tanji K, Valoppi L (1989) Groundwater contamination by trace elements. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 26(3–4): 229–274

(Received 05 July 2017; accepted 17 July 2017)