

EX VITRO ROOTING, ACCLIMATIZATION AND GENETIC STABILITY OF *LONICERA CAERULEA* VAR. *KAMTSCHATICA*

Agnieszka WOJTANIA*, Monika MARKIEWICZ, Justyna GÓRAJ-KONIARSKA
 Research Institute of Horticulture, Konstytucji 3 Maja 1/3 St., 96-100 Skierniewice, Poland

Received: May 2020; Accepted: September 2020

ABSTRACT

Ex vitro rooting and acclimatization of two cultivars ‘Wojtek’ and ‘Zojka’ of blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* var. *kamtschatica* Sevest.) were studied. To the *ex vitro* conditions were transferred rooted and unrooted shoots. The post-effect of auxin type and concentration as well as microcutting and soil substrate types were tested. The genetic stability of the plantlets in relation to the mother plants by using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) and inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers has been also determined. It has been found that *in vitro* rooted cuttings of both cultivars showed a higher survival rate (max. 88%) and better growth and development when they were rooted on a medium containing a low auxin level (1.0 mg·dm⁻³). The results of the second experiment showed successful *ex vitro* rooting of blue honeysuckle shoots without auxin treatment. Higher *ex vitro* rooting and survival rate in the greenhouse have been observed for ‘Wojtek’ (max. 96%) than ‘Zojka’ (max. 88%). Better growth and development of shoots and roots were observed on peat alone or a mixture of peat and perlite as compared to a mixture of peat and sand. The micropropagated plantlets appeared similar to mother plants. Molecular analysis confirmed a high level of genetic stability of blue honeysuckle after 2 years of *in vitro* propagation. However, among the cultivars studied, ‘Wojtek’ showed slightly higher genetic stability than ‘Zojka’ (99.5% and 97.7%, respectively). For ‘Zojka’ plants, the degree of variation was comparable for AFLP and ISSR markers. For ‘Wojtek’, no polymorphism was detected using the ISSR analysis in contrast to the AFLP analysis.

Keywords: AFLP, blue honeysuckle, ISSR, micropropagation

INTRODUCTION

Blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* L. var. *kamtschatica* Sevest.) belongs to the family *Caprifoliaceae*, genus *Lonicera*, which includes about 200 species (Poyarkova 2000). It has been growing wild in Siberia for millennia and was treasured for its nutritional value. Nowadays, it is cultivated across Japan, China, Russia, Central and Eastern Europe, especially Poland, the Czech Republic, Slovenia, Slovakia, and North America, Canada and the USA. Blue honeysuckle, also called “sweet berry honeysuckle”, “haskap berry” or “edible honeysuckle”, is a shrub that grows up to 2 m. It is a long-lived plant and can bear fruit up to 30 years. In addition, it is resistant to diseases, pests and frost. The fruits are rich in bioactive compounds, polyphenols, especially anthocyanins, and exhibit anti-inflammatory and bacteriostatic properties (Plekhanova 2000; Svarcova et al. 2007; Jurikova et al. 2011).

The fruits can be sold as fresh or frozen products or used for juices, jams or even wine and gin products. An improved understanding of the role of dietary value of fruit in maintaining human health caused a noticeable increase of interest in the cultivation of this plant. In December 13, 2018 under regulation no. 2015/2283 of the European Parliament, fruits of *L. caerulea* L. were entered on the list of novel foods. This gave the opportunity to legally market blue honeysuckle berries in the European Union.

Honeysuckle species are propagated traditionally by semi-hardwood and softwood cuttings. This method, though generally successful, largely depends on the individual genotype, age of the stock plant and vegetation period (Hui et al. 2012). Tissue culture offers an alternative method of plant propagation, which is independent of the vegetative season. High multiplication rates and good health status of micropropagated plants are the additional features of this method.

*Corresponding author:
 e-mail: agnieszka.wojtania@inhort.pl

Most of the studies on *in vitro* culture of blue honeysuckle involved micropropagation by axillary shoots in the presence of 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) (Karhu 1997a; Sedlák & Paprštein 2007; Dziedzic 2008; Krupa-Małkiewicz & Ochmian 2014) or the mixture of 2-isopentyladenine (2iP) and 3-hydroxybenzyladenine (*meta*-topolin) (Gabryszewska et al. 2016). *In vitro* rooting of shoots has been successfully achieved by using auxin treatment (Karhu 1997b; Dziedzic 2008; Krupa-Małkiewicz et al. 2017). On an auxin-free medium, the rooting rate was low, for example, 4–8% for ‘Wojtek’ and 40% for ‘Zojka’, and a weak root system has been observed (Krupa-Małkiewicz et al. 2017, Wojtania et al. 2018). There is so far no information on *ex vitro* rooting and acclimatization of blue honeysuckle microshoots. The success of micropropagation is clearly dependent on acclimatization of plantlets to the *ex vitro* condition and maintaining the genetic stability of propagules.

Under long-term *in vitro* propagation, various factors such as genotype, explant type and origin, media composition, plant growth regulators and cultural environment may induce the variation in plant material (Podwyszyńska 2006; Olhoft & Phillips 1999; Bednarek & Orłowska 2020). Various types of phenotypic and genetic changes have been observed including polyploidy, aneuploidy and other types of mutations such as, e.g., point mutations or insertions of transposons but also changes resulting from, for example, DNA methylation or histone modifications. These modifications may influence gene transcription. Epigenetic changes are often temporary, and plants may revert to the normal phenotype relatively easily (Jain 2001; Smulders & de Klerk 2011). Molecular markers become the most desirable tool for establishing genetic uniformity of *in vitro* derived plantlets of different plant species, including berry plants such as lowbush blueberry, strawberry and blackberry (Debnath 2011, 2013; Borsai et al. 2020). To date, there is no genetic stability assessment system for micropropagated plants of blue honeysuckle.

The aim of this study was to develop an effective method of *ex vitro* rooting and acclimatization of microcutting of two *L. caerulea* var. *kamtschatica* cultivars ‘Wojtek’ and ‘Zojka’. The genetic stability of plantlets in relation to the mother plants by using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) and inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers has been also determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Axillary shoot cultures of two *L. caerulea* var. *kamtschatica* cultivars ‘Wojtek’ and ‘Zojka’ were initiated from 2-year-old nursery plants. Shoots were established and continuously multiplied for 2 years on the Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium (MS) modified with a supplementation 85.5 mg·dm⁻³ NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 100 mg·dm⁻³ myo-inositol, nicotinic acid, pyridoxine and thiamine (1.0 mg·dm⁻³ each), 15 mg·dm⁻³ 2iP, 1.0 mg·dm⁻³ *meta*-topolin, 30 g·dm⁻³ sucrose and solidified with a mixture of gelling agents, 3 g·dm⁻³ agar (Biocorp, Poland) and 1.2 g·dm⁻³ Gelrite (Duchefa, Netherlands) (Gabryszewska et al. 2016). The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.6 before autoclaving. Axillary shoot cultures were subcultured on the fresh medium every 6–8 weeks.

Acclimatization of *in vitro* rooted shoots (Experiment 1)

The shoots were rooted *in vitro* on the MS medium containing indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) or 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (IAA) at different concentrations (0.0; 1.0; 2.5; and 4.0 mg·dm⁻³) (Wojtania et al. 2018). The aim of this experiment was to determine the post-effect of auxin type and concentrations on *ex vitro* acclimatization. The *in vitro* rooted microcuttings were planted at the end of March in multi-cell plug trays of 30 mm diameter, with peat substrate, in plastic mini-greenhouses (Garland Products, UK) with two adjustable “dial” ventilators to control the humidity and optimize growing conditions. The plants were maintained for 4 weeks in a growth room under fluorescent lamps (50 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) at 23 ± 2 °C. Starting with day 7 after the transfer to *ex vitro* conditions, the humidity was stepwise reduced by opening the ventilators and the plantlets were fertilized with Peters Professional Plant Starter (0.25 g·dm⁻³). After 6 weeks, the following data were collected: survival rate, fresh mass and length of cuttings as well as fresh mass, the number and length of roots.

Ex vitro direct rooting and acclimatization (Experiment 2)

The aim of this experiment was to determine *ex vitro* rooting and acclimatization ability in the greenhouse of axillary shoots derived from a 6-week-old *in vitro* cultures incubated on a multiplication medium.

For *ex vitro* direct rooting, two types of explants were used: single microshoots about 1.0 cm long and clumps of 4–5 shoots. The experiment started in mid-June. Both explant types were planted in multi cell plug trays of 30 mm diameter with three different soil substrates – peat, peat + sand (2 : 1 v/v), peat + perlite (2 : 1) – in plastic mini-greenhouses maintained in the greenhouse. Cuttings were maintained under natural photoperiod and irradiance with day/night of 24 ± 5 °C/ 18 ± 5 °C. The acclimatization procedure was the same as in Experiment 1. After 6 weeks of growing *ex vitro* in the greenhouse, the following data were collected: survival and rooting rate, fresh mass and length of cutting as well as fresh mass, the number and length of roots.

Genetic stability assessment

The genetic stability of acclimatized plantlets of two *L. caerulea* var. *kamtschatica* cultivars ('Wojtek' and 'Zojka') was evaluated in relation to the mother plants (standards) by using ISSR and AFLP analyses.

DNA was extracted from fresh leaves collected from 15 randomly selected acclimatized microplants of each cultivar. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Gene MATRIX Plant & Fungi DNA Purification Kit (EURx) in two replicates for each sample tested. The concentration and purity of the DNA were determined using an Epoch spectrophotometer (BioTek). Four ISSR and four AFLP primer pairs were finally used in the study after an initial screening of 23 ISSR and 23 AFLP primer pairs for the production of a high number of distinct and countable bands (Tab. 1). For ISSR analysis, the PCR reaction was carried out in 20 µL reaction volume containing 20 ng DNA, 1 × DreamTaq™ Green Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.65 µL dNTPs (10 mM) (Promega), 0.45 µL ISSR primer (10 µM) and 0.5 U DreamTaq™ Green Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Amplification was carried out in the T100 Thermal Cycler (BioRad) programmed for 45 ISSR cycles (30 s at 94 °C, 40 s at 55 °C, 90 s at 72 °C). All reactions were repeated twice. The PCR products obtained were separated on 1.5% agarose gel through electrophoresis and photographed using Syngen Biotech camera. The size of the bands was assessed against size standards GeneRuler™ 100 bp DNA Ladder Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For AFLP analysis, genomic DNA (50 ng) was digested with *MseI* and *PstI* endonucleases and ligated with appropriate adapters (Vos et al. 1995).

Preamplification of obtained fragments was carried out using primers complementary to the adapter's sequence. The preselection PCR reaction mixture contains 20 µL DNA, 1 × Taq Polymerase Reaction Buffer (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.8 µL dNTPs (10 mM) (Promega), 1.2 µL of each primer (10 µM) and 0.75 U Taq DNA Polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich). Amplifications were carried out in the thermal cycler programmed for 30 cycles (30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 60 °C, 60 s at 72 °C). The selective PCR with primer pairs was obtained by extending the primers used in preamplification with two additional nucleotides at the 3' end (Tab. 1). PCR was carried out in the reaction mixture containing 3 µL DNA 1 : 30 (v : v), 1 × Taq Polymerase Reaction Buffer (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.4 µL dNTPs (10 mM) (Promega), 0.5 µL *PstI*-NN primer (10 µM), 0.65 µL *MseI*-NN primer (10 µM) and 0.75 U Taq DNA Polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich). Amplification was carried out in the thermal cycler programmed for 13 cycles (30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 65–56 °C with annealing temperature decreased by 0.7 °C in each cycle, 60 s at 72 °C), followed by 26 cycles (30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 56 °C, 60 s at 72 °C). Products of selective PCR were separated on 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel through electrophoresis on Dual Dedicated Height Nucleic Acid Sequencer (C.B.S. Scientific). The separated AFLP products were stained in a silver nitrate solution and the gel was dried, described and photographed. The size of the bands was assessed against size standards 10 bp DNA Ladder (Invitrogen) and 50 bp DNA Ladder (Invitrogen). Bands generated in ISSR and AFLP analysis were scored manually as present (1) or absent (0) from the photographs. Only bright and reproducible products were scored. During the analysis of electrophoregrams, the number of ISSR and AFLP products, their size and diversity between mother plants and plants obtained from micropropagation were evaluated.

Statistical analysis

In all experiments on the rooting and acclimatization, 25 microcuttings for each treatment were taken. The experiments were carried out twice. The results were statistically analyzed with the use of the STATISTICA program (13.1 PL 2012, Statsoft, Poland). An analysis of variance was performed with ANOVA, R.A. Fischer for a two-factor system. Means were compared using Duncan's test at $p = 0.05$.

Table 1. Primers, polymorphism between tested cultivars and amplification products of ISSR-PCR and AFLP-PCR used for evaluation of genetic identity of *Lonicera caerulea* 'Wojtek' and 'Zojka' plants

| No. | Primer | Sequence (5'-3') | Total number of bands | Polymorphic bands (%) | Primer | Sequence (5'-3') | Total number of bands | Polymorphic bands (%) |
|------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| ISSR | | | | | AFLP | | | |
| 1 | UBC 806 | (TA) ₈ G | - | - | Pst-TT/Mse-CC* | GACTGCGTACATGCAGTT GATGAGTCCTGAGTAACC | 30 | 13.3 |
| 2 | UBC 810* | (GA) ₈ T | 6 | 16.7 | Pst-GC/Mse-GC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGGC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGC | 21 | 0 |
| 3 | UBC 813 | (CT) ₈ T | - | - | Pst-GC/Mse-TA* | GACTGCGTACATGCAGGC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAATA | 18 | 11.1 |
| 4 | UBC 821 | (GT) ₈ T | - | - | Pst-TA/Mse-TA | GACTGCGTACATGCAGTA GATGAGTCCTGAGTAATA | 14 | 0 |
| 5 | UBC 822 | (TC) ₈ A | 9 | 0 | Pst-TA/Mse-GC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGTA GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGC | 42 | 0 |
| 6 | UBC 823 | (TC) ₈ C | 5 | 0 | Pst-AG/Mse-AG | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAG GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAG | 54 | 0 |
| 7 | UBC 825* | (AC) ₈ T | 7 | 28.6 | Pst-AG/Mse-CG | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAG GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAG | 36 | 0 |
| 8 | UBC 827* | (AC) ₈ G | 12 | 8.3 | Pst-CG/Mse-AG* | GACTGCGTACATGCAGCG GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAG | 42 | 4.8 |
| 9 | UBC 828 | (TG) ₈ A | 2 | 0 | Pst-TC/Mse-TC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGTC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAATC | 13 | 0 |
| 10 | UBC 830 | (TG) ₈ G | 1 | 0 | Pst-TC/Mse-AT | GACTGCGTACATGCAGTC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAT | 20 | 0 |
| 11 | UBC 834 | (AG) ₈ CT | 3 | 0 | Pst-AT/Mse-AT | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAT GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAT | 50 | 0 |
| 12 | UBC 840 | (GA) ₈ CT | 2 | 0 | Pst-AT/Mse-TC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAT GATGAGTCCTGAGTAATC | 25 | 0 |
| 13 | UBC 843 | (CT) ₈ GA | 1 | 0 | Pst-AA/Mse-AA | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAA GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAA | 13 | 0 |
| 14 | UBC 846 | (CA) ₈ GT | 3 | 0 | Pst-AA/Mse-AC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAA GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAC | 37 | 0 |
| 15 | UBC 853 | (TC) ₈ AT | 6 | 0 | Pst-AC/Mse-AC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAC | 12 | 0 |
| 16 | UBC 848 | (CA) ₈ GG | - | - | Pst-AC/Mse-AA | GACTGCGTACATGCAGAC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAAA | 8 | 0 |
| 17 | UBC 849 | (GT) ₈ CA | - | - | Pst-GG/Mse-GG | GACTGCGTACATGCAGGG GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGG | - | - |
| 18 | UBC 855 | (AC) ₈ CT | 4 | 0 | Pst-GG/Mse-GA | GACTGCGTACATGCAGGG GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGA | - | - |
| 19 | UBC 858 | (TG) ₈ AT | - | - | Pst-GA/Mse-GA | GACTGCGTACATGCAGGA GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGA | 19 | 0 |
| 20 | UBC 865* | (CCG) ₆ | 4 | 25.0 | Pst-GA/Mse-GC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGGA GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGC | 9 | 0 |
| 21 | UBC 867 | (GGC) ₆ | - | - | Pst-CC/Mse-CC* | GACTGCGTACATGCAGCC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAACC | 20 | 30.0 |
| 22 | UBC 875 | (CTAG) ₄ | - | - | Pst-CC/Mse-GG | GACTGCGTACATGCAGCC GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGG | 43 | 0 |
| 23 | UBC 881 | (GGGT) ₃ G | - | - | Pst-TT/Mse-CC | GACTGCGTACATGCAGTT GATGAGTCCTGAGTAACC | 48 | 0 |

* primers selected for analysis of genetic stability of micropropagated plants; "--" no visible and scorable bands

RESULTS

Acclimatization of *in vitro* rooted shoots

For both cultivars, the highest survival rate (max. 88%) was observed for microcuttings rooted on the medium containing the lowest auxin level ($1.0 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$). However, the differences between auxin type and concentration on survival rate in *ex vitro* conditions were not significant. It has been also found that the microcuttings rooted on low auxin medium after transfer to *ex vitro* conditions showed higher fresh mass and length of shoots as well as all root parameters (fresh mass, number and length) as compared to those rooted on the medium with high auxin (Tab. 2). During acclimatization, wilting and shoot tip necrosis were also observed, and these were most frequent among shoots rooted *in vitro* in the presence of high auxin concentration (data not shown).

***Ex vitro* rooting and acclimatization**

The results showed successful *ex vitro* rooting without auxin in the greenhouse of both blue honeysuckle cultivars (Tab. 3). The ability to form roots depended on genotype. Higher *ex vitro* rooting and survival rate in the greenhouse were observed for 'Wojtek' (max. 96%) than 'Zojka' (max. 88%). There were no significant

differences in rooting/survival rate of 'Zojka' either between microcutting types or soil substrates. However, the plantlets of 'Wojtek' showed a higher rooting/survival rate when using individual microcuttings than shoot clumps (Fig. 1). Shoot clumps had a higher tendency toward the wilting and the shoot tip necrosis, leading to the death of some plantlets. The plants of both cultivars that were acclimatized in peat alone or in a mixture of peat and perlite exhibited higher mass of shoots and roots as well as length of shoots as compared to those grown in a mixture of peat and sand (Tab. 3). The micropropagated plantlets appeared similar to mother plants.

Genetic stability of *in vitro* propagated plants

The results showed that the ISSR primers generated a total of 40 and 36 amplification products ranging in size from 300 to 1400 bp for 'Wojtek' and 'Zojka' plants, respectively (Tab. 4). Among these bands, 0.0% and 2.77% were polymorphic for 'Wojtek' and 'Zojka' cultivars in relation to the mother plants. The AFLP primer pairs generated a total of 102 and 105 amplification products ranging in size from 50 to 900 bp for 'Wojtek' and 'Zojka' plants, respectively (Tab. 4). Among these bands, 0.98% and 1.90% were polymorphic for both 'Wojtek' and 'Zojka', compared to the mother plants.

Table 2. The post-effect of auxin type (IAA and IBA) and concentration (0.0 ; 1.0 ; 2.5 ; $4.0 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$) on *ex vitro* acclimatization of *Lonicera caerulea* 'Zojka' and 'Wojtek' in the growth chamber

| Genotype | Auxin type/concentration ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$) | Survived micro-cutting (%) | Fresh mass of shoots (mg) | Shoot length (mm) | Fresh root mass (mg) | Root number/cutting | Root length (mm) | |
|----------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 'Zojka' | IBA | 0.0 | 84 a | $184 \pm 46.9\text{bc}$ | $115 \pm 11.0\text{bc}$ | $52.6 \pm 20.7\text{c}$ | $2.5 \pm 0.9\text{c}$ | $38.5 \pm 9.8\text{ab}$ |
| | | 1.0 | 88 a | $247 \pm 69.7\text{a}$ | $154 \pm 21.7\text{a}$ | $72.2 \pm 35.0\text{b}$ | $3.7 \pm 1.0\text{ab}$ | $37.1 \pm 10.7\text{ab}$ |
| | | 2.5 | 80 a | $174 \pm 68.8\text{bc}$ | $126 \pm 11.3\text{b}$ | $63.6 \pm 23.4\text{bc}$ | $3.8 \pm 0.7\text{a}$ | $32.4 \pm 15.8\text{bc}$ |
| | | 4.0 | 76 a | $179 \pm 63.5\text{bc}$ | $116 \pm 17.4\text{bc}$ | $54.8 \pm 11.9\text{bc}$ | $3.7 \pm 1.0\text{ab}$ | $28.7 \pm 7.3\text{c}$ |
| | IAA | 1.0 | 80 a | $219 \pm 77.4\text{ab}$ | $111 \pm 16.3\text{c}$ | $89.0 \pm 36.7\text{a}$ | $3.5 \pm 1.1\text{ab}$ | $42.0 \pm 14.0\text{a}$ |
| | | 2.5 | 76 a | $160 \pm 67.9\text{c}$ | $117 \pm 15.0\text{bc}$ | $48.4 \pm 14.9 \text{c}$ | $3.3 \pm 0.9\text{ab}$ | $36.2 \pm 11.4\text{a-c}$ |
| | | 4.0 | 72 a | $142 \pm 67.1\text{c}$ | $114 \pm 22.6\text{bc}$ | $52.6 \pm 28.7\text{c}$ | $3.1 \pm 0.9\text{bc}$ | $33.1 \pm 7.1\text{bc}$ |
| | | 'Wojtek' | 0.0 | 80 a | $218 \pm 85.1\text{b}$ | $126 \pm 32.4\text{b}$ | $42.7 \pm 20.4 \text{d}$ | $2.5 \pm 0.7\text{d}$ |
| 1.0 | 88 a | | $349 \pm 95.4\text{a}$ | $181 \pm 23.1\text{a}$ | $120.1 \pm 43.5\text{a}$ | $3.7 \pm 1.1\text{ab}$ | $44.3 \pm 7.8\text{bc}$ | |
| 2.5 | 84 a | | $198 \pm 73.8\text{b}$ | $135 \pm 29.7\text{b}$ | $75.6 \pm 22.4\text{bc}$ | $3.6 \pm 0.9\text{ab}$ | $37.1 \pm 8.8\text{cd}$ | |
| 4.0 | 68 a | | $160 \pm 81.5\text{b}$ | $124 \pm 38.5\text{b}$ | $74.8 \pm 25.7\text{bc}$ | $3.2 \pm 0.7 \text{b-d}$ | $33.3 \pm 8.5\text{d}$ | |
| IAA | 1.0 | 84 a | $339 \pm 50.3\text{a}$ | $146 \pm 32.4\text{b}$ | $86.3 \pm 34.7\text{b}$ | $4.1 \pm 1.2\text{a}$ | $59.0 \pm 18.9\text{a}$ | |
| | 2.5 | 76 a | $210 \pm 61.1\text{b}$ | $145 \pm 17.2\text{b}$ | $70.8 \pm 26.4\text{bc}$ | $3.4 \pm 1.1\text{bc}$ | $30.5 \pm 6.8\text{d}$ | |
| | 4.0 | 76 a | $216 \pm 58.4\text{b}$ | $140 \pm 39.0\text{b}$ | $58.5 \pm 21.8\text{cd}$ | $2.9 \pm 1.0\text{cd}$ | $42.4 \pm 14.7\text{c}$ | |

Means in the columns followed by the same letters do not differ significantly according to Duncan's multiple range test at $p = 0.05$; the assessment of significance of differences was done for each genotype separately; means \pm SD

Table 3. The effect of microcutting type and soil substrate type on *ex vitro* rooting and acclimatization of *Lonicera caerulea* ‘Zojka’ and ‘Wojtek’ in the greenhouse

| Cutting type | Soil substrate | Rooted/survived plants (%) | Fresh mass of shoots (mg) | Shoot length (mm) | Fresh root mass (mg) | Root number/cutting | Root length (mm) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| ‘Zojka’ | | | | | | | |
| Individual shoots | peat | 88 a | 91.9 ± 20.0c | 81.4 ± 7.0a | 22.0 ± 8.7 c | 2.8 ± 1.1a | 25.6 ± 5.6a |
| | peat + sand | 80 a | 52.9 ± 9.0d | 62.3 ± 8.0c | 11.0 ± 3.6d | 2.7 ± 0.7a | 24.4 ± 5.8a |
| | peat + perlite | 84 a | 62.0 ± 17.0cd | 69.0 ± 14.0bc | 15.4 ± 8.3cd | 2.5 ± 0.7a | 23.7 ± 7.1a |
| Multiplied clumps | peat | 68 a | 127.7 ± 41.0b | 68.4 ± 14.0bc | 22.8 ± 8.7 c | 1.8 ± 0.5b | 12.0 ± 1.9b |
| | peat + sand | 72 a | 139.3 ± 75.0ab | 50.3 ± 12.0d | 33.0 ± 21.3b | 2.9 ± 1.5a | 15.3 ± 6.5b |
| | peat + perlite | 84 a | 164.2 ± 88.0a | 73.6 ± 22.9ab | 49.6 ± 24.4a | 3.0 ± 0.7a | 21.8 ± 7.5a |
| ‘Wojtek’ | | | | | | | |
| Individual shoots | peat | 96 a | 97.8 ± 20.0bc | 106.8 ± 14.9a | 20.9 ± 6.6c | 2.4 ± 0.7b | 27.1 ± 7.4a |
| | peat + sand | 80 ab | 72.4 ± 20.0c | 85.8 ± 15.0b | 12.9 ± 6.9c | 1.9 ± 0.4c | 29.4 ± 8.1a |
| | peat + perlite | 88 a | 114.2 ± 54.0bc | 112.3 ± 29.0a | 24.0 ± 9.5bc | 1.9 ± 0.5c | 21.8 ± 4.5b |
| Multiplied clumps | peat | 60 b | 133.9 ± 34.0b | 66.6 ± 14.0cd | 36.3 ± 19.6b | 2.0 ± 0.5bc | 22.0 ± 6.8b |
| | peat + sand | 76 ab | 103.4 ± 18.0bc | 60.4 ± 11.0d | 20.0 ± 7.1c | 1.6 ± 0.7c | 10.8 ± 2.9c |
| | peat + perlite | 88 a | 191.2 ± 43.0a | 73.8 ± 30.0bc | 71.8 ± 33.0a | 3.1 ± 1.0a | 28.4 ± 12.1a |

Note: See Table 2

Table 4. The genetic evaluation of acclimatized plantlets of *Lonicera caerulea* ‘Wojtek’ and ‘Zojka’ compared to mother plants

| No. | Primer | Total number of bands | Number of polymorphic bands | Range of amplicon (pb) | Total number of bands | Number of polymorphic bands | Range of amplicon (pb) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | | ‘Zojka’ | | | ‘Wojtek’ | | |
| 1 | UBC 825 | 9 | 1 | 500–1000 | 11 | 0 | 400–1000 |
| 2 | UBC 810 | 6 | 0 | 600–1300 | 5 | 0 | 500–1300 |
| 3 | UBC 827 | 12 | 0 | 300–800 | 11 | 0 | 300–750 |
| 4 | UBC 865 | 9 | 0 | 300–1400 | 13 | 0 | 300–1400 |
| Total | | 36 | 1 | | 40 | 0 | |
| Proportion of polymorphic bands (%) | | 2,77 | | | 0,00 | | |
| 1 | Pst-CC/Mse-CC | 19 | 1 | 80–300 | 16 | 1 | 50–300 |
| 2 | Pst-TT/Mse-CC | 35 | 1 | 250–900 | 30 | 0 | 250–900 |
| 3 | Pst-GC/Mse-TA | 18 | 0 | 300–850 | 16 | 0 | 400–850 |
| 4 | Pst-CG/Mse-AG | 42 | 0 | 200–760 | 40 | 0 | 200–750 |
| Total | | 105 | 2 | | 102 | 1 | |
| Proportion of polymorphic bands (%) | | 1,90 | | | 0,98 | | |



Figure 1. Plantlets of blue honeysuckle growing in the greenhouse: A – *ex vitro* rooted shoots of ‘Wojtek’ after 6 weeks of growth on the peat; B – *ex vitro* rooted shoots of ‘Wojtek’ after 6 weeks of growth on the peat and perlite; C – plants of ‘Wojtek’ and ‘Zojka’ after 2 years of growth *ex vitro*

DISCUSSION

Currently, in many commercial laboratories, microcuttings of several plant species are rooted directly, and root formation proceeds simultaneously with plantlet acclimatization. The costs of *in vitro* rooting, according to plant species, are calculated at 35–50% of the total micropropagation costs. *Ex vitro* rooting shortens the micropropagation time and reduces costs. So far, only the *in vitro* method has been successfully used to root blue honeysuckle shoots. During *in vitro* rooting, auxins were essential for achieving a high rooting percentage (Karhu 1997b; Sedlák & Paprštejn 2007; Dziedzic 2008; Krupa-Małkiewicz et al. 2017). On the other hand, auxin presence in the medium stimulated unwanted callus formation at the base of the rooted shoots (Karhu 1997b; Wojtania et al. 2018). We are in agreement with Karhu (1997b), who observed that abundant callus blocked the formation of new roots and decreased the survival rate of blue honeysuckle transferred to *ex vitro* conditions. A high rooting percentage and a good *ex vitro* survival and root growth of *L. caerulea* f. *edulis* microplants were achieved by a 7-day pulse treatment with $0.8 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ IBA followed by rooting *ex vitro* (Karhu 1997b). Our study showed the possibility of successful *ex vitro* rooting of two *L. caerulea* var. *kamtschatica* cultivars ‘Wojtek’ and ‘Zojka’ without auxin treatments. It is worth noting that only 4% of ‘Wojtek’ and 40% of ‘Zojka’ microshoots were rooted *in vitro* if no auxin was supplied (Wojtania et al. 2018).

Higher *ex vitro* rooting frequency in soil substrate without auxin treatment as compared to the agar medium might be due to a specific greenhouse environment. Similarly, as in our study, peat alone or a mixture of peat and perlite/vermiculite was proved to be a better substrate for rooting and *ex vitro* growth of blue honeysuckle than peat and sand (Karhu 1997b; Dziedzic 2008; Krupa-Małkiewicz et al. 2017).

The success of micropropagation is clearly dependent on maintaining the genetic stability of propagules. The risk of genetic instability may be minimized through plant production by axillary branching. Blue honeysuckle easily produced *in vitro* primary and secondary branches in response to cytokinin treatment. However, increased cytokinin concentration enhanced the growth of callus at the base of the explants and the spontaneous formation of adventitious shoots (Karhu 1997a). We observed no morphological differences between micropropagated and mother plants of blue honeysuckle ‘Wojtek’ and ‘Zojka’. It is known that visible morphological variation occurs at a much lower frequency than at the DNA level (Evans & Bravo 1986; Krishna et al. 2016). So, it is important to ensure that the micropropagation protocol does not bring changes at the molecular level (Cloutier & Landry 1994; Krishna et al. 2016; Olhoft & Phillips 1999). In the literature, there is no information on genetic stability of blue honeysuckle micropropagated plants. Available reports focused on genetic variation between species and cultivars belonging to genus *Lonicera* (Lamoureux et al. 2011; Naugžemys et al. 2011; Gawroński et al. 2014; He et al. 2016; Holubec et al. 2018).

To our knowledge, this is the first assessment of DNA sequence variation in Polish cultivars of *L. caerulea* var. *kamtschatica*. Two PCR-based techniques have been used to test clonal stability because of their simplicity, cost-effectiveness, being highly informative and reliable (Arnau et al. 2002). The use of the two different molecular markers, which amplify different regions of the genome, gives more chances for the identification of genetic variations in the micropropagated clones (Martins et al. 2005). The number of bands generated was greater in AFLP than ISSR analysis. After 2 years of *in vitro* propagation, no polymorphism was detected for plantlets of ‘Wojtek’, in contrast to AFLP markers (0.98% of polymorphic bands). For ‘Zojka’ plants, the degree of variation was on average 2.8% and was comparable for AFLP and ISSR markers. Differences in the results obtained with the ISSR and AFLP markers probably reflect the different genomic regions amplified by the two marker types. Similar results were obtained by some other authors (Martins et al. 2005; Lakshmanan et al. 2007; Kour et al. 2014) who used molecular markers to confirm the genetic stability of micropropagated plantlets. On the basis of our results, it is not possible to clearly indicate which type of molecular marker used in this study is better for assessing genetic stability in the studied cultivars. We suggest using the two different types of markers, which amplify different regions of the genome, to increase the probability of detecting genetic variations in the micropropagated clones. In our research, combining the ISSR and AFLP data sets allowed a more comprehensive analysis of genetic stability of two Polish cultivars of blue honeysuckle and provided greater information about the genetic identity of micropropagated plants.

Acknowledgments

This study was conducted under the multiannual program “Actions to improve the competitiveness and innovation in the horticultural sector with regard to quality and food safety and environmental protection” of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (2015–2020).

REFERENCES

- Arnau G., Lallemand J., Bourgoin M. 2002. Fast and reliable strawberry cultivar identification using inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) amplification. *Euphytica* 129: 67–79. DOI: 10.1023/a:1021509206584.
- Bednarek P.T., Orłowska R. 2020. Plant tissue culture environment as a switch-key of (epi)genetic changes. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 140: 245–257. DOI: 10.1007/s11240-019-01724-1.
- Borsai O., Hârța M., Szabo K., Kelemen C.D., Andrean F.A., Codrea M.M., Clapa D. 2020. Evaluation of genetic fidelity of *in vitro*-propagated blackberry plants using RAPD and SRAP molecular markers. *Horticultural Science* 47: 21–27. DOI: 10.17221/20/2019-hortsci.
- Cloutier S., Landry B.S. 1994. Molecular markers applied to plant tissue culture. *In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology – Plant* 30(1): 32–39. DOI: 10.1007/bf02632117.
- Debnath S.C. 2011. Adventitious shoot regeneration in a bioreactor system and EST-PCR based clonal fidelity in lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.). *Scientia Horticulturae* 128: 124–130. DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2011.01.012.
- Debnath S.C. 2013. Propagation strategies and genetic fidelity in strawberries. *International Journal of Fruit Science* 13: 3–18. DOI: 10.1080/15538362.2012.696520.
- Dziedzic E. 2008. Propagation of blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* var. *kamtschatica* Pojark.) in *in vitro* culture. *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research* 16: 93–100.
- Evans D.A., Bravo J.E. 1986. Phenotypic and genotypic stability of tissue cultured plants. In: Zimmerman R.H., Griesbach R.J., Hammerschlag F.A., Lawson R.H. (Eds.), *Tissue culture as a plant production system for horticultural crops*. *Current Plant Science and Biotechnology in Agriculture* 2: 73–94. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-009-4444-2_6.
- Gabryszewska E., Góraj-Koniarska J., Orlikowska T., Malinowski T., Markiewicz M., Wojtania A. 2016. Metodyka inicjacji i stabilizacji kultur oraz namnażania pędów jagody kamczackiej (*Lonicera caerulea* L. var. *kamtschatica* Sevest.) *in vitro*. *Research Institute of Horticulture, Skierniewice, Poland*, 13 p. [in Polish]

- Gawroński J., Horthyński J., Kaczmarek E., Dyduch-Siemńska M., Marecki W., Witorożec A. 2014. Evaluation of phenotypic and genotypic diversity of some Polish and Russian blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* L.) cultivars and clones. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum, Hortorum Cultus* 13(4): 157–169.
- He H.Y., Zhang D., Qing H., Yang Y. 2016. Analysis of the genetic diversity of *Lonicera japonica* Thunb. using inter-simple sequence repeat markers. *Genetics and Molecular Research* 16(1); gmr16019338; 7 p. DOI: 10.4238/gmr16019338.
- Holubec V., Smekalova T., Leisova-Svobodova L. 2018. Morphological and molecular evaluation of the Far East fruit genetic resources of *Lonicera caerulea* L. – vegetation, ethnobotany, use and conservation. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 66(2): 121–141. DOI: 10.1007/s10722-018-0701-y.
- Hui J.X., Wen S.C., Hua Z.Y., Ming L.X. 2012. Comparative study on different methods for *Lonicera japonica* Thunb. micropropagation and acclimatization. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* 6(27): 4389–4393. DOI: 10.5897/jmpr011.1715.
- Jain S.M. 2001. Tissue culture-derived variation in crop improvement. *Euphytica* 118: 153–166. DOI: 10.1023/a:1004124519479.
- Jurikova T., Rop O., Mlcek J., Sochor J., Balla S., Szekeres L. et al. 2011. Phenolic profile of edible honeysuckle berries (genus *Lonicera*) and their biological effects. *Molecules* 17(1): 61–79. DOI: 10.3390/molecules17010061.
- Karhu S.T. 1997a. Axillary shoot proliferation of blue honeysuckle. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 48: 195–201. DOI: 10.1023/a:1005842022064.
- Karhu S.T. 1997b. Rooting of blue honeysuckle microshoots. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 48: 153–159. DOI: 10.1023/a:1005768117246.
- Kour B., Kour G., Kaul S., Dhar M.K. 2014. *In vitro* mass multiplication and assessment of genetic stability of *in vitro* raised *Artemisia absinthium* L. plants using ISSR and SSAP molecular markers. *Advances in Botany* 2014; 727020; 7 p. DOI: 10.1155/2014/727020.
- Krishna H., Alizadeh M., Singh D., Singh U., Chauhan N., Eftekhari M., Sath R.K. 2016. Somaclonal variations and their applications in horticultural crops improvement. *3 Biotech* 6; 54; 18 p. DOI: 10.1007/s13205-016-0389-7.
- Krupa-Małkiewicz M., Ochmian I. 2014. Propagation of blue honeysuckles (*Lonicera caerulea* L.) in *in vitro* culture. *Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 10: 164–169. DOI: 10.6000/1927-5129.2014.10.22.
- Krupa-Małkiewicz M., Ochmian I., Smolik M., Ostrowska K.M. 2017. Comparison of propagation method in *in vitro* and *in vivo* condition of *Lonicera caerulea* L. *Folia Pomeranae Universitatis Technologiae Stetinensis* 334(42)2: 79–88. DOI: 10.21005/aapz2017.42.2.09.
- Lakshmanan V., Venkataramareddy S.R., Neelwarne B. 2007. Molecular analysis of genetic stability in long-term micropropagated shoots of banana using RAPD and ISSR markers. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* 10(1): 106–113. DOI: 10.2225/vol10-issue1-fulltext-12.
- Lamoureux D., Sorokin A., Lefèvre I., Alexanian S., Eyzaguirre P., Hausman J.-F. 2011. Investigation of genetic diversity in Russian collections of raspberry and blue honeysuckle. *Plant Genetic Resources* 9(2): 202–205. DOI: 10.1017/s1479262111000323.
- Martins M., Sarmiento D., Oliveira M. 2005. Genetic stability of micropropagated almond plantlets, as assessed by RAPD and ISSR markers. *Plant Cell Reports* 23: 492–496. DOI: 10.1007/s00299-004-0870-3.
- Murashige T., Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 15: 473–497. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1962.tb08052.x.
- Naugžemys D., Žilinskaitė S., Kleizaitė V., Skridaila A., Žvingila D. 2011. Assessment of genetic variation among elite and wild germplasm of blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* L.). *Baltic Forestry* 17: 8–16.
- Olhoft P.M., Phillips R.L. 1999. Genetic and epigenetic instability in tissue culture and regenerated progenies. In: Lerner H.R. (Ed.), *Plant Responses to Environmental Stresses. Books in soils, plants, and the environment* 72: 111–148. DOI: 10.1201/9780203743157-7.
- Plekhanova M.N. 2000. Blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* L.) – a new commercial berry crop for temperate climate: Genetic resources and breeding. *Acta Horticulturae* 538: 159–164. DOI: 10.17660/actahortic.2000.538.25.

- Podwyszyńska M., Niedoba K., Korbin M., Marasek A. 2006. Somaclonal variation in micropropagated tulips determined by phenotype and DNA markers. *Acta Horticulturae* 714: 211–220. DOI: 10.17660/actahortic.2006.714.23.
- Poyarkova A.I. 2000. *Lonicera* L. In: Schischkin B.K. (Ed.), *Flora of the USSR*, vol. 23. Science Publishers, Moscow, Russia, pp. 446–549.
- Sedlák J., Paprštejn F. 2007. *In vitro* propagation of blue honeysuckle. *Horticultural Science* 34(4): 129–131. DOI: 10.17221/1871-hortsci.
- Smulders M.J.M., de Klerk G.J. 2011. Epigenetics in plant tissue culture. *Plant Growth Regulation* 63: 137–146. DOI: 10.1007/s10725-010-9531-4.
- Svarcova I., Heinrich J., Valentova K. 2007. Berry fruits as a source of biologically active compounds: The case of *Lonicera caerulea*. *Biomedical Papers* 151(2): 163–174. DOI: 10.5507/bp.2007.031.
- Vos P., Hogers R., Bleeker M., Reijans M., van de Lee T., Hornes M. et al. 1995. AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucleic Acids Research* 23: 4407–4414. DOI: 10.1093/nar/23.21.4407.
- Wojtania A., Matysiak B., Góraj-Koniarska J., Kiszczak W., Kucharska D., Kowalska U. 2018. Ukorzenie i aklimatyzacja mikrosadzonek truskawki, maliny, jagody kamczackiej i czosnku w warunkach *ex vitro* – raport z badań wykonanych w 2018 roku. Research Institute of Horticulture, Skierniewice, Poland, 16 p. [in Polish]