

## DEVELOPMENT OF A RAPD-BASED MALE-SPECIFIC MOLECULAR MARKER IN JAPANESE HOP (*HUMULUS JAPONICUS* SIEBOLD & ZUCC.)

PATRYK MIZIA<sup>1</sup>, MAGDALENA CYGAN<sup>1\*</sup>, MAGDALENA DENYSENKO<sup>1</sup>,  
DAGMARA KWOLEK<sup>1</sup>, ANDRZEJ CHRAMEC-GŁĄBIK<sup>1</sup>,  
ALEKSANDRA GRABOWSKA-JOACHIMIĄK<sup>2</sup> AND ANDRZEJ J. JOACHIMIĄK<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Cytology and Embryology, Institute of Botany,  
Jagiellonian University, Gronostajowa 9, 30-387 Cracow, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Seed Science, University of Agriculture in Cracow,  
Łobzowska 24, 31-140 Cracow, Poland

Received September 7, 2016; revision accepted September 28, 2016

The male-specific DNA markers are very useful in molecular sexing of non-flowering plants and seeds of dioecious species. In this paper we identified ten Y chromosome-specific RAPD primers suitable for identification of male plants in three Cannabaceae species with sex chromosomes (*Humulus lupulus*, XX/XY; *H. japonicus*, XX/XY1Y2; *Cannabis sativa*, XX/XY). Basing on the nucleotide sequence of the OPJ-09 RAPD product we developed the HJY09 SCAR marker, which is very efficient in sexing of Japanese hop.

**Keywords:** Cannabaceae, *Humulus japonicus*, SCAR marker, plant sexing

### INTRODUCTION

The Japanese hop (*Humulus japonicus* Siebold & Zucc.) is an annual species of the small family Cannabaceae, which is the only angiosperm family consisting exclusively of dioecious species with heteromorphic sex chromosomes. Unlike its closest relative, the common hop (*Humulus lupulus*), the Japanese hop is not useful for brewing industry, due to lack of lupulin glands. In recent years, extracts from *H. japonicus* have been proven to possess various antioxidative, anti-aging, antibacterial, antimutagenic, anti-inflammatory and antitumor properties (Sung et al., 2015).

*H. japonicus* is characterized by a multiple (polymorphic) sex chromosome system ( $2n=16$ , XX in females and  $2n=17$ , XY1Y2 in males) (Sinoto, 1929; Winge, 1929) which distinguishes it from two other Cannabaceae species, the common hop (*H. lupulus*) and hemp (*Cannabis sativa*), both possessing  $2n=20$  chromosomes and the simple XX/XY chromosome system (Vyskot and Hobza, 2004). The lower chromosome count and different

sex chromosome system suggest that *H. japonicus* has derived and highly reorganized karyotype with a sex chromosome system originating from the XX/XY one. Regardless of the sex chromosome system, sex determination in Cannabaceae relies on X-to-autosome balance ( $X/A \geq 1.0$  in females and  $X/A \leq 0.5$  in males) (Ainsworth, 2000). In terms of both sex chromosome and sex determination systems, *H. japonicus* resembles *Rumex acetosa*, a model species in sex chromosome research in plants (Navajas-Perez, 2012). Despite the clear karyotypic difference between them, both hop species showed almost identical cpDNA organization. Moreover, comparative analysis of nuclear rDNA coding regions in *H. lupulus*, *H. japonicus* and *C. sativa* revealed high similarity of Cannabaceae species in this respect (Pillay and Kenny, 2006).

The two species with a simple sex chromosome system (*C. sativa* and *H. lupulus*) differ drastically in the size of the Y chromosome. Moreover, *H. lupulus* is the only angiosperm species with the Y much smaller than X. It may arouse suspicion that sex chromosomes observed in different Cannabaceae

\* Corresponding author, email: cyganka90@gmail.com

species might not show common origin. In animals there is a well-known and frequently described phenomenon called sex chromosome turnover, which causes that the ancestral sex chromosomes are not conserved during evolution even in closely related species. Sex chromosome turnover is particularly probable in organisms possessing the sex determination system X:A, e.g. in *Drosophila* (Carvalho and Clark, 2005). Because Y chromosomes in both *Humulus* species demonstrate significant differences (Y chromosome in *H. lupulus* is completely euchromatic and small, whereas Y chromosomes in *H. japonicus* are big and heterochromatinized), their homology requires investigation.

In comparison to *H. lupulus* and *C. sativa*, which are commercially important species, genetic studies on *H. japonicus* were neglected for a long time. One of the obstacles in genetic research on the Japanese hop is the paucity of molecular markers suitable for studies on the karyotype evolution in this species and useful in identification of sex chromosomes and in molecular sexing of non-flowering plants and seeds. In the last years, however, some progress has been made, mainly in the knowledge of the karyotype structure and genome size in *H. japonicus* (Grabowska-Joachimciak et al., 2006, 2011; Kim et al., 2008; Alexandrov et al., 2012). More recently, a sex chromosome-specific DNA library has been constructed in this species with the use of laser microdissection (Yakovin et al., 2014).

Grabowska-Joachimciak et al. (2011) suggested that the chromosome complement of *H. japonicus* could have arisen by the X-autosome plus autosome-autosome fusions. Such events have led to the emergence of second Y chromosome and reduction in the autosome number from 18 to 14. The autosome fusion was confirmed by interstitial telomeric sequences located in the large autosome pair, but molecular traces of the X-autosome fusion were not found. Thus, the origin of *H. japonicus* XX/XY1Y2 sex chromosome system from this presented in *H. lupulus* still needs confirmation. A good way to shed light on this question could be search for male-specific (Y-specific) DNA sequences occurring in both species. The X-autosome fusion retains an original Y in the derived sex chromosome system, and some DNA markers located on this chromosome should be observed in both species.

To date, only two Y chromosome-markers have been developed in the Japanese hop. Aleksandrov et al. (2011) described K-16, a male specific ISSR (Inter Simple Sequence Repeat) marker, while Gao et al. (2010) developed a male specific SCAR (Sequence Characterized Amplified Region) marker SEX I64 which was based on ISSR marker I64. According to our findings, both markers were ineffective in *H. lupulus* (Mizia, unpublished results). In this study, we performed RAPD analysis of

*H. japonicus* plants in order to develop other male-specific markers useful in sexing of plants and future studies of Y chromosomes in hops.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### PLANT MATERIAL

Molecular analysis of *H. japonicus* included 31 flowering plants (16 males and 15 females) grown from purchased seeds (W. Legutko plant breeders, Poland). Karyological analysis showed that chromosome constitution of all these plants was consistent with their sex phenotype (males  $2n=17$  and females  $2n=16$ ). The usefulness of the developed HJY09 SCAR primers was tested on seeds from Vilmorin Garden plant breeders (France) and PlantiCo plant breeders (Poland). The analyzed *Humulus lupulus* plants (5 males and 5 females) originated from a wild population in Rękawka (Kraków, Poland). The examined *Cannabis sativa* plants (5 males and 5 females) were obtained from commercially available seeds (PPUH Bratek, Poland).

### DNA ISOLATION

DNA was isolated from fresh leaves using CTAB procedure (Gavel and Jarret, 1991) with the modification described in Mizia et al. (2014). DNA from seeds was isolated using Gene MATRIX Food-Extract DNA purification Kit (EURx Sp. z o.o. Gdansk, Poland).

### RAPD REACTIONS

Thirty RAPD primers were pre-tested on five male and five female *H. japonicus* plants (Tab. 1). Three of them (OPA-07, OPJ-09 and OPU-08) were previously described by Polley et al. (1997) as producing male-specific DNA fragments in *H. lupulus*, whereas two others (RAPD 8 and RAPD 11) were described as producing male-specific DNA fragments in *C. sativa* (Sakamoto et al., 1995). RAPD reaction mixture and amplification were made as described in Mizia et al. (2014). Only primers generating reproducible band profiles were used in further research.

### DNA FRAGMENT ISOLATION, CLONING AND SEQUENCING

Male specific bands were extracted from 1% agarose gel with 1X TBE using GenMatrix Agarose-Out DNA Purification Kit (EURx Sp. z o.o. Gdansk, Poland). The purified products were then ligated with an *E. coli* plasmid (Green and Sambrook, 2012). Ligation was performed using CloneJET PCR Cloning Kit (Thermo Scientific). Plasmids purifications were performed using GeneMATRIX Plasmid

TABLE 1. Nucleotide sequences of tested random primers; RAPD – Sakamoto et al., 1995, OPA-04 – OPU-08 – Operon Technologies Inc.

| Primer  | Sequence (5'-3') | Primer  | Sequence (5'-3') |
|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| RAPD1*  | GCAAGTAGCT       | OPA-04* | AATCGGGCTG       |
| RAPD2*  | TGGCTCAAAG       | OPA-07* | GAAACGGGTG       |
| RAPD3*  | CAGTGTGTGG       | OPA-17  | GACCGCTTGT       |
| RAPD4*  | GTGTCAGGCA       | OPB-07  | GGTGACGCAG       |
| RAPD5*  | ATACCATCCC       | OPC-01* | TTCGAGCCAG       |
| RAPD6   | GATCCCCTGA       | OPC-10  | TGTCTGGGTG       |
| RAPD7*  | GATAACCGCA       | OPD-12  | CACCGTATCC       |
| RAPD8*  | ATCCGCGTTC       | OPD-17  | TTTCCCACGG       |
| RAPD9*  | CCAGTGGTTC       | OPE-05  | TCAGGGAGGT       |
| RAPD10* | TGACGATGCA       | OPF-11  | TTGGTACCCC       |
| RAPD11* | ACGGCATATG       | OPG-20  | TCTCCCTCAG       |
| RAPD12* | TAACCATCCC       | OPH-14  | ACCAGGTTGG       |
| RAPD13* | ATGTCCGCAC       | OPI-03  | CAGAAGCCCA       |
| RAPD14* | GTGTGGATGG       | OPJ-09* | TGAGCCTCAC       |
| RAPD15  | GTCGTTACGA       | OPU-08* | GGCGAAGGTT       |

\* – used in further investigation

Miniprep DNA Purification Kit (EURx Sp. z o.o. Gdansk, Poland). The purified plasmids were then sent to Genomed S.A. (Warsaw, Poland) for sequencing. SCAR primers were designed based on the obtained sequences. All male-specific sequences were uploaded into GenBank and received accession numbers (KX688586- KX688595).

#### SCAR REACTION MIXTURE AND AMPLIFICATION

PCR mixture for HJY09 and SCAR OPJ-09 (*H. lupulus* from Polley et al., 1997) consisted of (15 µl total) 1X Thermo Scientific DreamTaq Green Buffer (including 20 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>); 0.25 mM dNTPs; 0.25 µM of each primer; 1.13 U DreamTaq DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and 60 ng of DNA and was run in Bio-Rad T100 Thermal Cycler. Amplifications were done according to the following program: initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 min.; 30 cycles consisting of denaturation step at 94°C for 1 min., primer annealing step at 60°C for 45 s and primer extending step at 72°C for 1 min 30 s; and final extending step at 72°C for 8 min. The PCR products were separated in 1% agarose gel with 1X TBE and SimplySafe (EURx Sp. z o.o. Gdansk, Poland). PCR reaction mixture and ampli-

fication for SEX I64 were carried in Bio-Rad T100 Thermal Cycler according to the instructions in Gao et al. (2010).

#### ANALYSIS OF OBTAINED SEQUENCES

Sequences of both OPJ-09 male-specific products obtained from *H. japonicus* were compared with sequence of male-specific product generated by OPJ-09 for *H. lupulus* by using MultAlin program (Corpet, 1988). Each comparison was made using default settings (best math) and with a gap penalty at opening and extension. High penalty allowed stretching sequences from forward to reverse primer (Fig. S1, supplementary material).

*H. japonicus* OPJ-09 700 bp sequence was used in nucleotide BLAST search (Camacho et al., 2009) on megablast and discontinuous megablast settings.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The development of sex-chromosome markers can be beneficial in obtaining information useful in basic research on sex determination and sex-specific genome regions (Charlesworth, 2008). Such

markers, located mainly on Y chromosomes, enabled progress in research on sex chromosomes of several dioecious species, including two model species, *Silene latifolia* (Kazama and Matsunaga, 2008) and *Rumex acetosa* (Mariotti et al., 2009; Navajas-Perez, 2012), as well as commercially important *Cannabis sativa* (Sakamoto et al., 1995), *Humulus lupulus* (Divashuk et al., 2011), *Carica papaya* (Liu et al., 2004) and *Asparagus officinalis* (Gao et al., 2007). They were also used for sexing of unflowering plants or seeds (Polley et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 1998; Korpelainen, 2002; Danilova and Karlov, 2006; Gangopadhyay et al., 2007; Hobza and Widmer, 2008; Kwolek and Joachimiak, 2011). The PCR-based DNA fingerprinting techniques commonly used in the development of sex-linked markers in plants include, among others, RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA), in which short (usually 10 bp)

arbitrary primers are used to generate random genomic fragments, as well as ISSR (Inter Simple Sequence Repeat), which is based on amplification of genome regions between neighboring and inversely oriented microsatellites. The obtained DNA fragments are sometimes used to generate sequence specific markers (STS, SCAR) which are more advantageous (Heikrujam et al., 2015), because they show high reproducibility and are locus-specific.

From the 30 RAPD primers tested in this research, only 18 yielded reproducible band profiles in *H. japonicus* plants. Ten out of these primers also generated male-specific DNA fragments in particular Cannabaceae species (Tab. 2). Only two primers (OPU-08 and OPJ-09) produced male-specific bands both in *H. japonicus* and *H. lupulus*. The male-specific primer common for *Humulus* and *Cannabis* was not found. RAPD profiles produced by OPJ-09 primer showed one male-spe-

TABLE 2. RAPD primers producing male-specific products (MSP) in *Humulus japonicus*, *H. lupulus* and *Cannabis sativa*

| Primer  | <i>H. japonicus</i> |   | <i>H. lupulus</i> |                      | <i>C. sativa</i> |                    |
|---------|---------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|         | Number of MSP       | Length of MSP [bp]  | Number of MSP     | Length of MSP [bp]   | Number of MSP    | Length of MSP [bp] |
| OPA-07  | 0                   | -   | 1                 | 1700#                | 0                | -                  |
| OPC-01  | 1                   | 450*<br>(KX688586)  | 0                 | -                    | NT               | -                  |
| OPJ-09  | 2                   | 1600*<br>(KX688590)<br>700*<br>(KX688591)                 | 1                 | 1200##<br>(KX688593) | 0                | -                  |
| OPU-08  | 1                   | 900*<br>(KX688592)  | 1                 | 1400#                | 0                | -                  |
| RAPD 2  | 1                   | 2000*<br>(KX688594 –<br>partial<br>KX688595 –<br>partial) | 0                 | -                    | 0                | -                  |
| RAPD 3  | 1                   | 300*<br>(KX688587)  | 0                 | -                    | 0                | -                  |
| RAPD 5  | 2                   | 1200<br>1000*<br>(KX688589)                               | 0                 | -                    | 0                | -                  |
| RAPD 8  | 0                   | -   | NT                | -                    | 1                | 500\$              |
| RAPD 11 | 0                   | -   | NT                | -                    | 1                | 730\$              |
| RAPD 13 | 3                   | 1100<br>800*<br>(KX688588)<br>900                         | 0                 | -                    | 0                | -                  |

\* – sequenced by us; # – sequenced by Pooley et al. (1997); \$ – sequenced by Sakamoto et al. (1995); grey – products used to obtain efficient male-specific STS/SCAR markers. NT – not tested


cific fragment in *H. lupulus* (~1.2 kb) and two in *H. japonicus* (~1.6 kb and ~700 bp) (Fig. 1). OPU-08 generated one male-specific product both in *H. lupulus* and *H. japonicus*. The product obtained in *H. japonicus* (~1 kb) was smaller than the one obtained in *H. lupulus* (~1.4 kb).

Eight out of eleven male-specific *H. japonicus* RAPD products were cloned and sequenced, and respective SCAR primers were designed. Testing reactions with these primers showed that only one marker (HJY09, based on the shorter OPJ-09 fragment) was highly male-specific in *H. japonicus* plants. The starting OPJ-09 sequence used for the generation of HJY09 marker is given in the Fig. 3. The suitability of our SCAR marker in sexing of *H. japonicus* was also tested on DNA isolated from seeds. The efficiency of this marker in identification of male seeds was confirmed by using the Y-specific SEX I64 marker developed by Gao et al. (2010) (Fig. 2). Unfortunately, HJY09 primers designed by us (5'-TCACCACTTTGAACTCGCTG-3' and 5'-CCTTGTCTGGGTCGATTTGT-3') proved to be inf-


fective in *H. lupulus* males (data not shown). BLAST analysis of OPJ09 700 bp product did not show any significant similarity to any sequence stored in database.

In angiosperms, the occurrence of the multiple XX/XY1Y2 sex chromosome system was documented only in some species of *Rumex* (representatives of European section *Acetosae* and in American *R. hastatulus*) and in *H. japonicus* (Mariotti et al., 2009; Grabowska-Joachimciak et al., 2011, 2015). According to the most common hypothesis, the polymorphic sex chromosome system derives from the simple ones (XY) by fusion between an autosome and a sex chromosome (Ohno, 1967; White, 1973). If so, the derived XX/XY1Y2 system of *H. japonicus* should possess both an ancestral and a neo-Y chromosome (of autosomal provenience). The occurrence of male-specific markers amplified by the same primers in the two *Humulus* species could confirm this supposition.

In this study we focused primarily on finding reproducible, sequence-specific sex markers



**Fig. 1.** RAPD profile obtained using OPJ-09 RAPD primer in *Humulus lupulus* and *H. japonicus*. MSM – molecular size marker (100 bp DNA ladder plus – Thermo Scientific); Male-specific fragments marked by arrowheads.



**Fig. 2.** DNA fragments produced for *Humulus japonicus* plants and seeds by two male-specific markers based on OPJ-09 product: (a) HJY09, (b) SEX I64. MSM – molecular size marker (100 bp DNA ladder plus – Thermo Scientific).

TGAGCCTCACCACCTTTGAACTCGCTGAATGTTATTAATTGCTGTCCCATGTTATTGAAGA  
 GATGCAAAAGGGAAATAGGTGAAGATTGGGATAAGATTTCTCATATACAACACTTACTCT  
 TACGTCCAGATCCCAACGAAAAAGAGGATGAGGTAGAAAATTTAACTTAATCATTTTTATT  
 TTTATTAATATAACCTAAGTGCTCAGTTTGATTTAGTTTAATTTATTTAACACTGCAGAAT  
 GCGTTGGAAGAGGAAACAAATCGACCCAGACAAGGGGATGCAACCTCTTGTTGAACAA  
 GTTTAGGGTATGCAATATTATGAGTACAAGAATTCACAAAATAGATATGTGCAGGTAATTA  
 TCCTGCTAATCCCTTCTACCTGTATAAACCATTCTAATATGATTTAAATGACAAATCTC  
 AATCTAAAATAAGAACACTTTTCAGCCATTTGAAAGAAAAATATATTTTATCTGTTCAATTA  
 AAAATCTTGAGCTTATGATTCGTTTGTAAGAACTTCTTACTTTTTCTTTGAGTTCTTGAAA  
 GACTAAAGCATATCAAATCCCATTTGTGGTGATAACATGTTGGTAATATAGGGGGCAT  
 GCTATGGGTTGAAATTACATTAATGTTCCACTTGGGACTGACTGGTCTGCTACACCTGGT  
 TATAAAATCTGGTCATCGAGTGAGGCTC

**Fig. 3.** Nucleotide sequence of smaller male-specific product generated by OPJ-09 (692 bp). Underlined – the sites of designed HJY09 SCAR primers; Grey – 270 bp fragment produced by designed primers.

in *H. japonicus*, but we also aimed to find RAPD primers effective in generating Y-specific DNA fragments in two *Humulus* species. We found two RAPD primers (OPJ-09 and OPU-08) producing Y-specific bands both in *H. japonicus* and *H. lupulus*. The same primers, tested by Törjék et al. (2002) in *Cannabis sativa*, gave no sex-specific products in this species. 1200 bp DNA fragment amplified by OPJ-09 in *H. lupulus* was used by Polley et al. (1997) to design the Y-specific STS primers for this species. We tested these primers (5'-ACAGAGTACAACCTCAGAAACAAACC-3' and 5'-AAGGTCGCACAATGACCG-3') in *H. japonicus*, but they did not amplify any DNA fragments, neither in males nor in females (data not shown). Because the nucleotide sequence of OPJ-09 product in *H. lupulus* has not been published by authors, we cloned and sequenced this DNA fragment. It turned out that the similarity of the OPJ-09 products generated in two *Humulus* species was too small to conclude their common origin (see Fig. S1 in supplementary material). Thus, the occurrence of common OPJ-09 RAPD markers does not prove that *H. japonicus* sex chromosome system originated from the X-autosome fusion in the common ancestor of the two analyzed species. Further molecular-cytogenetic studies with the use of the Y-specific DNA sequences should clarify this issue.

#### AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

AJJ and AG-J plant material, original idea, study design, karyological analysis and critical revision of manuscript; DK, PM, AC-G, MC, MD acquisition of data; DK, PM analysis and interpretation of data; AC-G and PM drafting of manuscript. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interests.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Department of Plant Cytology and Embryology, Jagiellonian University (subvention No DS/MND/WBiNoZ/IB/14/2013 and DS/MND/WBiNoZ/IB/8/2015).

#### REFERENCES

- AINSWORTH C. 2000. Boys and girls come out to play: the molecular biology of dioecious plants. *Annals of Botany* 86(2): 211–221. DOI:10.1006/anbo.2000.1201
- ALEKSANDROV OS, DIVASHUK MG, and KARLOV GI. 2011. Development of a sex-specific molecular marker for Japanese hop *Humulus japonicus* Siebold & Zucc. *Russian Journal of Genetics* 47(8): 1016–1020. DOI:10.1134/S1022795411080023
- ALEXANDROV OS, DIVASHUK MG, YAKOVIN NA, and KARLOV GI. 2012. Sex chromosome differentiation in *Humulus japonicus* Siebold & Zuccarini, 1846 (Cannabaceae) revealed by fluorescence in situ hybridization of subtelomeric repeat. *Comparative Cytogenetics* 6(3): 239–247. DOI:10.3897/CompCytogen.v6i3.3261
- CAMACHO C, COULOURIS G, AVAGYAN V, MA N, PAPADOPOULOS J, BEALER K and MADDEN TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BioMed Central Bioinformatics* 10: 421. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421
- CARVALHO AB, and CLARK AG. 2005. Y chromosome of *D. pseudoobscura* is not homologous to the ancestral *Drosophila* Y. *Science* 307: 108–110.
- CHARLESWORTH D. 2008. Plant sex chromosomes. In: Volf J-N (ed), *Plant Genomes, Genome Dynamics*, 83–94. Karger, Basel.
- CORPET F. 1988. Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. *Nucleic Acids Research* 16(22): 10881–10890. DOI:10.1093/nar/16.22.10881
- DANILOVA TV, and KARLOV GI. 2006. Application of Inter Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) polymorphism for detection of sex-specific molecular markers in Hop (*Humulus lupulus* L.). *Euphytica* 151: 15–21.

- DIVASHUK MG, ALEXANDROV OS, KROUPIN Y, and KARLOV GI. 2011. Molecular cytogenetic mapping of *Humulus lupulus* sex chromosomes. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 134: 213–219. DOI:10.1159/000328831
- GAO WJ, LI RL, LI SF, DENG CL, and LI SP. 2007. Identification of two markers linked to the sex locus in dioecious *Asparagus officinalis* plants. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology* 54: 816–821.
- GAO WJ, SHA T, JI YK, DENG CL, and LU LD. 2010. Clone and development of ISSR and SCAR markers linked to male *Humulus scandens*. *Journal of Tropical and Subtropical Botany* 18: 283–287.
- GANGOPADHYAY G, ROY SK, GHOSE K, PODDAR R, BANDYOPADHYAY T, BASU D, and MUKHERJEE KK. 2007. Sex detection of *Carica papaya* and *Cycas circinalis* in pre-flowering stage by ISSR and RAPD. *Current Science* 92: 524–526.
- GAVEL NJ, and JARRET RL. 1991. A modified CTAB DNA extraction procedure for *Musa* and *Ipomoea*. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 9: 262–266.
- GRABOWSKA-JOACHIMIAK A, SŁIWINSKA E, PIĞULA M, SKOMRA U, and JOACHIMIAK AJ. 2006. Genome size in *Humulus lupulus* L. and *H. japonicus* Siebold & Zucc. (Cannabaceae). *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae* 75: 207–214.
- GRABOWSKA-JOACHIMIAK A, MOSIOLEK M, LECH A, and GÓRALSKI G. 2011. C-banding/DAPI and in situ hybridization reflect karyotype structure and sex chromosome differentiation in *Humulus japonicus* Siebold & Zucc. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 132(3): 203–211. DOI:10.1159/000321584
- GRABOWSKA-JOACHIMIAK A, KULA A, KSIĄŻCZYK T, CHOJNICKA J, SŁIWINSKA E, and JOACHIMIAK AJ. 2015. Chromosome landmarks and autosome-sex chromosome translocations in *Rumex hastatulus*, a plant with XX/XY1Y2 sex chromosome system. *Chromosome Research* 23(2): 187–197. DOI:10.1007/s10577-014-9446-4
- GREEN MR, and SAMBROOK J. 2012. *Molecular Cloning a Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- HEIKRUJAM M, SHARMA K, PRASAD M, and AGRAWAL V. 2015. Review on different mechanisms of sex determination and sex-linked molecular markers in dioecious crops: a current update. *Euphytica* 201(2): 161–194. DOI:10.1007/s10681-014-1293-z
- HOBZA R, and WIDMER A. 2008. Efficient molecular sexing in dioecious *Silene latifolia* and *S. dioica* and paternity analysis in F1 hybrids. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8(6): 1274–6.
- KAZAMA Y, and MATSUNAGA S. 2008. The use of repetitive DNA in cytogenetic studies of plant sex chromosomes. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 120: 247–254. DOI:10.1159/000121074
- KIM S-Y, KIM C-S, LEE J, and BANG J-W. 2008. Karyotype analysis and physical mapping using two rRNA genes in dioecious plant, *Humulus japonicus* Siebold & Zucc. *Genes and Genomics* 30: 243–251.
- KORPELAINE H. 2002. A genetic method to resolve gender complements investigations on sex ratios in *Rumex acetosa*. *Molecular Ecology* 11: 2151–2156.
- KWOLEK D, and JOACHIMIAK AJ. 2011. Seed sexing revealed female bias in two *Rumex* species. *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae* 80: 93–97.
- LIU Z, MOORE PH, MA H, ACKERMAN CM, RAGIBA M, YU Q, PEARL HM, KIM MS, CHARLTON JW, STILES JI, ZEE FT, PATERSON AH, and MING R. 2004. A primitive Y chromosome in papaya marks incipient sex chromosome evolution. *Nature* 427: 348–352.
- MARIOTTI B, MANZANO S, KEJNOVSKY E, VYSKOT B, and JAMILENA M. 2009. Accumulation of Y-specific satellite DNAs during the evolution of *Rumex acetosa* sex chromosomes. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 281: 249–259. DOI: 10.1007/s00438-008-0405-7
- MIZIAR, KWOLEK D, and ILNICKI T. 2014. DNA stability contrasts with chromosome variability in *Allium fistulosum* calli. *Acta Biologica Cracoviensia Series Botanica* 56(1): 66–72. DOI: 10.2478/abcsb-2014-0005
- NAVAJAS-PÉREZ R. 2012. The genus *Rumex*: A plant model to study sex-chromosome evolution. In: Navajas-Pérez R, ed. *New insights on plant sex chromosomes*, 91–109. Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York.
- OHNO S. 1967. *Sex chromosomes and sex-linked genes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- PILLAY M, and KENNY ST. 2006. Structural organization of the nuclear ribosomal RNA genes in *Cannabis* and *Humulus* (Cannabaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 258: 97–105.
- POLLEY A, SEIGNER E, and GANAL MW. 1997. Identification of sex in hop (*Humulus lupulus*) using molecular markers. *Genome* 40: 375–361.
- SAKAMOTO K, SHIMOMURA K, KOMEDA Y, KAMADA H, and SATOH S. 1995. A male-associated DNA sequence in dioecious plant, *Cannabis sativa* L. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 36(8): 1549–1554.
- SINOTO Y. 1929. Chromosome studies in some dioecious plants with special reference to the allosomes. *Cytologia* 1: 109–191.
- SUNG B, CHUNG J, BAE H, CHOI J, KIM C, and KIM N. 2015. *Humulus japonicus* extract exhibits antioxidative and anti-aging effects via modulation of the AMPK-SIRT1 pathway. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine* 9(5): 1819–1826. DOI:10.3892/etm.2015.2302
- VYSKOT B, and HOBZA R. 2004. Gender in plants: sex chromosomes are emerging from the frog. *Trends in Genetics* 20(9): 432–438. DOI:10.1016/j.tig.2004.06.006
- TÖRJÉK O, BUCHERNA N, KISS E, HOMOKI H, FINTA-KORPELOVA Z, BOCSA I, NAGY I, and HESZKY LE. 2002. Novel male-specific molecular markers (MADC5, MAD6) in hemp. *Euphytica* 127: 209–218.
- WHITE MJD. 1973. *Animal Cytology and Evolution*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- WINGE O. 1929. On the nature of sex chromosomes in *Humulus*. *Hereditas* 12: 53–63.
- YAKOVIN N, DIVASHUK M, RAZUMOVA O, SOLOVIEV A, and KARLOV G. 2014. Use of laser microdissection for the construction of *Humulus japonicus* Siebold et Zuccarini, 1846 (Cannabaceae) sex chromosome-specific DNA library and cytogenetics analysis. *Comparative Cytogenetics*, 8(4): 323–336. DOI:10.3897/CompCytogen.v8i4.8473
- ZHANG YH, DiSTILIO VS, REHMAN F, AVERY A, MULCAHY D, and KESSELI R. 1998. Y chromosome specific markers and the evolution of dioecy in the genus *Silene*. *Genome* 41: 141–147.