A new dolphin from the early Miocene of Patagonia, Argentina: Insights into the evolution of Platanistoidea in the Southern Hemisphere
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The contents of the superfamily Platanistoidea, an early-diverging lineage comprising extinct species and a single extant representative of South Asian river dolphin (*Platanista gangetica*), remain controversial. We describe here a partial skull and associated tympano-periotic bones identified as a new genus and species, *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov., collected in the lower levels of the Gaiman Formation (early Miocene), in Patagonia (Chubut Province, Argentina). *Aondelphis* is the first Patagonian platanistoid species named in almost a century. Phylogenetic analyses suggest *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. and a taxon from New Zealand (cf. *Papahu* ZMT-73) are basal Platanistoidea sensu lato. Unambiguous synapomorphies related to the ear bones allowed us to determine its phylogenetic position. *Aondelphis talen* markedly differs from the other well-known early Miocene Patagonian platanistoid *Notocetus*, suggesting the coexistence of at least two different morphotypes that may have occupied different ecological niches at that time. The putative close relationship with a species from New Zealand indicates there was a rapid diversification and widespread distribution of the group in the Southern Hemisphere during the early Miocene. The description of new species and revision of historical records of Patagonian platanistoids can help shedding light on cetacean assemblages of the Patagonian sea during this epoch.
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Introduction

Platanistoidea is one of the earliest diverging lineages of odontocetes, which includes a morphologically and taxonomically diverse group of dolphins. The unique extant genus *Platanista* (e.g., Muizon 1987, 1991, 1994; Fordyce and Muizon 2001; Barnes 2006; Barnes et al. 2010), can be split into one or three species (e.g., Reeves and Martin 2009; Shostell and Ruiz-García 2010). Although the definition of Platanistoidea still remains controversial, as it has been traditionally recognized as paraphyletic (e.g., Muizon 1987, 1991; Nikaido et al. 2001; McGowen et al. 2009), some stable groups have been identified in recent phylogenetic analyses: *Otekaieka*, *Waipatiidae*, *Squalodelphinidae* and *Platanistidae* (e.g., Muizon 1994; Fordyce 1994; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a, b, 2016, 2017; Lambert et al. 2014). It should be noted that the contents of family *Squalodelphinidae* vary among different phylogenetic analyses (e.g., Lambert et al. 2014, 2017; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a, b, 2016, 2017; Boersma and Pyenson 2016; Boersma et al. 2017). The inclusion of the family *Alodelphinidae* among platanistoids was tested in a few studies (Barnes et al. 2010; Boersma and Pyenson 2016; Boersma et al. 2017). The fossil record of pla-
tianstoids dates back to the late Oligocene–early Miocene, when the group achieved its maximum radiation, followed by a decrease in its diversity during the middle–late Miocene (Muizon 1987, 1994; Fordyce and Muizon 2001; Barnes et al. 2010). Platanistoids are particularly diverse in late Oligocene marine beds from New Zealand, with many new and well preserved taxa recently described (e.g., Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a, b, 2016, 2017). However, the early Miocene history of this group remains poorly recorded, with only some taxa known (e.g., Moreno 1892; Muizon 1988; Barnes and Reynolds 2009; Lambert et al. 2014).

The eastern Atlantic coast of South America has promising outcrops to expand the Miocene record of platanistoids, as it possesses one of the few early Miocene marine beds globally (i.e., Gaiman Formation; Scasso and Castro 1999; Cuitiño et al. 2017). For this epoch, the Gaiman Formation holds a remarkably rich and well-preserved assemblage of cetaceans (e.g., Cuitiño et al. 2017 and references therein).

In Patagonia (Argentina), platanistoid dolphins are represented by three species: (i) Notocetus vanbenedeni Moreno, 1892, which is the most common cetacean in the Gaiman Formation (Cione et al. 2011); (ii) Phoberodon arcticus Cabrera, 1926; and (iii) Prosqualodon australis Lydekker, 1894 (Cozzuol 1996), all of which are currently in revision by some of the authors (MV, MRB). Here, we describe a partial skull and associated tympano-pteriotic bones identified as a new genus and species, collected in the lower levels of the Gaiman Formation, Chubut Province (Argentina). This specimen is the first Patagonian platanistoid named in almost a century. This new record increases the platanistoid diversity known locally from the early Miocene and helps understand the evolutionary history of the superfamily; it also expands the record of odontocetes from the early Miocene in Southern oceans.

**Institutional abbreviations.**—AMNH, American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA; CNPMAMM, Laboratorio de Mamíferos Marinos, Centro Nacional Patagónico, Puerto Madryn, Argentina; LACM, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, USA; MACN, Colección de Mastozoología, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales “Bernardino Rivadavia”, Buenos Aires, Argentina; MPEF-PV, Museo Paleontológico “Égidio Feruglio”, Trelew, Argentina; MLP, Departamento de Paleontología de Vertebrados, Museo de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina; NMNZ, Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa, Wellington, New Zealand; OM-GL, Otago Museum, Dunedin, New Zealand; OU, Geology Museum, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand; USNM, Department of Paleobiology and Department of Vertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., USA; ZMT, Fossil mammals catalogue, Canterbury Museum, Christchurch, New Zealand.

**Other abbreviations.**—BIZYG, bizygomatic width; CI, consistency index; K, concavity values of implied weights; m., musculus; MPTs, most parsimonious trees; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; RI, retention index.

**Nomenclatural acts.**—The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix “http://zoobank.org/”. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:CE9D1F40-AD00-496C-8FE7-627807554BB8. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an eISSN 1732-2421, and has been archived and is available from the following digital repository: http://www.app.pan.pl/article/item/app004412017.html
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**Material and methods**

**Specimens and terminology.**—The description is based on the specimen MPEF-PV 517, collected by MAC and Pablo Puerta in Bryn Gwyn, Chubut River Valley in January 1990. The specimen is deposited in the Museo Paleontológico “Égidio Feruglio” in Trelew, Chubut Province, Argentina. Data from the literature and specimens held in scientific collections were used here for comparative and phylogenetic studies (see SOM 1, Supplementary Online Material available at http://app.pan.pl/SOM/app63-Viglino_etal_SOM.pdf).

For the skull and tympano-pteriotic complex, morphological terms followed Mead and Fordyce (2009), except when explicitly noted. Descriptions are based either on the right or left side, whichever was more informative, with differences between them mentioned only if directional asymmetry was evident. Measurements were taken following Perrin (1975) and Kasuya (1973), using digital calipers. Photographs were taken with a Canon PowerShot G16 camera in manual mode. In order to obtain images with greater depth of focus, an image-stacking technique (Bercovici et al. 2009) was used. With this technique, a well-focused image is obtained by merging several images captured at slightly different focal planes. Each resulting stacked image was checked for possible artifacts.

**Phylogenetic analysis.**—The phylogenetic analysis was based on the matrix published by Tanaka and Fordyce (2016) and it was edited using Mesquite 3.2 (Maddison and Maddison 2011). The morphological dataset was expanded through the incorporation of MPEF-PV 517 and the addition of one new character. As it is beyond the scope of this paper to conduct a thorough analysis of the phylogenetic relationships within Platanistoidae, we have maintained the taxonomic sampling of the original matrix (for example,
there are no allodelphinid species included). Modifications to character description and codings are listed in SOM 2 and matrix is available in nexus format in SOM 8.

The resulting matrix is composed of 84 taxa and 287 characters (224 craneo-mandibular, 32 postcranial, and 31 soft-tissue characters). MPEF-PV 517 has 77% of data missing (including soft-tissue characters). For the purpose of coding the characters related to the periotic and tympanic bulla, views used here were the same as for the description (see below).

Heuristic parsimony analysis of the dataset was performed in TNT version 1.5 (Goloboff and Catalano 2016) using the traditional search under equal and implied weights. We used K values ranging from 3 (more stringent weight against homoplasy) to 23 (less stringent weight against homoplasy), which allowed us to examine potential effects of homoplasy on MPTs. All characters were treated as unordered. The analysis was performed using 1000 replicates of Wagner trees (using random addition sequences), tree bisection reconnection branch swapping holding 10 trees per replicate. The trees obtained at the end of the replicates were subjected to a final round of tree bisection reconnection branch swapping. The resulting MPTs were summarized using strict consensus trees with zero-length branches collapsed (i.e., “rule 1” of Coddington and Scharff 1994). To estimate branch support, jackknife resampling analysis (with p = 0.30 and 1000 pseudoreplicates; Farris et al. 1996; Goloboff et al. 2003) and Bremer support were performed.

After the analyses were performed, species in the more diverse families crown-ward of Papahu, Squaloziphius, and Xiphiacetus were merged for ease of illustration. The full cladograms that show all OTUs are provided in SOM 3–6.

For the present work, we follow Tanaka and Fordyce’s (2017) definition of Platanistoidea sensu stricto (Waipatiidae + Awamoko + Otekaiea + “Squalodelphinidae” + Platanistidae), as well as Platanistoidea sensu lato (Squalodon + Waipatiidae + Awamoko + Otekaiea + “Squalodelphinidae” + Platanistidae).

**Geological setting**

The holotype MPEF-PV 517 was collected from the sediments of the early Miocene Gaiman Formation (Haller and Mendía 1980), five meters above the conformable contact with the underlying terrestrial Sarmiento Formation (Fig. 1). The Gaiman Formation is 70 m-thick in Bryn Gwyn and is composed by marine tuffaceous mudstone and sandstone beds. The basal stratum of the Gaiman Formation is a thin transgressive lag with some gravels, bones and teeth from marine vertebrates (Cione 1978; Haller and Mendía 1980; Scasso and Castro 1999). The marine sediments overlying this basal stratum are composed of white, tuffaceous, thoroughly bioturbated mudstone and fine sandstone with occasional mollusk molds and thin oyster horizons, deposited in a shallow shelf (Haller and Mendía 1980; Scasso and Castro 1999). The marine sediments overlying this basal stratum are composed of white, tuffaceous, thoroughly bioturbated mudstone and fine sandstone with occasional mollusk molds and thin oyster horizons, deposited in a shallow shelf (Haller and Mendía 1980; Scasso and Castro 1999). The Gaiman Formation on Bryn Gwyn is covered by 28 m of shallow marine, estuarine to terrestrial deposits of the late Miocene Puerto Madryn Formation (Scasso and Castro 1999).

The early Miocene age of the Gaiman Formation is based on stratigraphic correlations to other absolutely-dated sections in Patagonia and biostratigraphic data. In southern Patagonia, chronologically equivalent units were dated by isotopic methods as early Miocene (Parras et al. 2012; Cuitiño et al. 2012; Cuitiño et al. 2015a). Equivalent beds
in the Comodoro Rivadavia region (Chubut Province) span from the early Miocene (Burdigalian) to the middle Miocene (early Langhian) (Cuitiño et al. 2015b). The horizon containing the MPEF-PV 517 of Bryn Gwyn is part of the lower part of the Gaiman Formation and can be considered as the initial phase of the marine cycle. Based on regional correlations, an early Miocene age is proposed for these cetacean bearing beds. An early Miocene age for the lower part of the Gaiman Formation was also suggested by the Colhuehuapian mammal fauna recovered from the underlying Trelew Member of the Sarmiento Formation (Flynn and Swisher 1995; Dunn et al. 2013). In addition, evidences of marine vertebrates in the Gaiman Formation (i.e., fishes and penguins) (Cione et al. 2011), as well as a palynological assemblage recovered from the study area (Palazzesi et al. 2006) also indicated an early Miocene age.

Systematic palaeontology

Cetacea Brisson, 1762
Odontoceti Flower, 1867
Platanistoidea Simpson, 1945 sensu Muizon, 1987
Genus Aondelphis nov.

Table 1. Measurements (in mm) of left tympanic bulla and periotic of Aondelphis talen gen. et sp. nov., MPEF-PV 517 (after Kasuya 1973).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tympanic bulla</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance from anterior tip to posterior end of outer posterior prominence</td>
<td>+40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from anterior tip to posterior end of inner posterior prominence</td>
<td>+38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width across inner and outer posterior prominence</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greatest depth of interprominential notch</td>
<td>5?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum length of posterior process</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum width</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum width of involucrum</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periotic</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard length of periotic, from tip of anterior process to posterior end of posterior process, measured on a straight line parallel with cerebral border</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of periotic across cochlear portion and superior process, at the level of upper tympanic aperture</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least distance between the margins of fundus of internal auditory meatus and of aperture of ductus endolymphaticus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least distance between the margins of fundus of internal auditory meatus and of aperture of aqueduct cochlea</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of articular facet of the posterior process of the periotic for the posterior process of tympanic bulla</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antero-posterior diameter of cochlear portion</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsoventral depth at fovea epitubiaria</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteroposterior diameter of facial canal</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum width of anterior process at base</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse width of pars cochlearis from internal edge to fenestra ovalis</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of posterior process</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of anterior process from anterior apex to level of posterior of malleal fossa</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum width of posterior process</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteroposterior length of aperture for cochlear aqueduct</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of aperture for cochlear aqueduct</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteroposterior length of aperture for vestibular aqueduct</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of aperture for vestibular aqueduct</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Etymology: From the Tehuelche language aone, south; to indicate the geographic provenance; and from Latin delphis, dolphin.

Type species: Aondelphis talen sp. nov., see below.

Diagnosis.—As for the type species by monotypy.

Aondelphis talen sp. nov.
Figs. 2–6, Table 1.

Etymology: From the Tehuelche language t’alen, small; in reference to small size.

Holotype: MPEF-PV 517; incomplete skull including basioccipital, exoccipitals, squamosals, a portion of the sphenoids, almost complete left tympanic bulla, and complete left periotic.

Type locality: Bryn Gwyn (= Loma Blanca), southern cliff of Chubut River Valley, 8 km southeast of the town of Gaiman, in Chubut Province, Patagonia, Argentina (Fig. 1).

Type horizon: Gaiman Formation, early Miocene.

Diagnosis.—Aondelphis talen gen. et sp. nov. differs from all other Platanistoidea (sensu lato; see Phylogenetic analysis section) in the unique autapomorphy: convex dorsal surface of periotic (character 182).

Aondelphis talen differs from cf. Papahu ZMT-73 in the absence of a ridge on the inside of the tympanic bulla (character 221). Aondelphis talen differs from Squalodon calvertensis in the concave ventral surface of the posterior process of the periotic (character 201). Aondelphis talen differs from cf. Papahu ZMT-73 and S. calvertensis in the development of...
an anteroposterior ridge on the dorsal side of the anterior process and body of periotic (character 174). *Aondelphis talen* differs from Platanistoidea sensu stricto (see Phylogenetic analysis section) in the anteroposteriorly wide and squared-off postglenoid process of the squamosal (character 118); no obvious lateral groove on profile of the periotic (character 172); from the Platanistoidea sensu stricto (except *Platanista gangetica*) in the tubular fundus of the internal acoustic meatus (character 189); from the Platanistoidea sensu stricto (except *Awamokoa tokarahi* and *Notocetus vanbenedeni*) in the weakly-curved parabullary sulcus (character 176). *Aondelphis talen* differs from *S. calvertensis* and Platanistoidea sensu stricto (except *A. tokarahi* and *P. gangetica*) in the wide angle between the posterior process and parabullary sulcus of the periotic (character 199); from the *S. calvertensis* and Platanistoidea sensu stricto (except *Pomatodelphis inaequalis*) in the high lateral wall of the internal acoustic meatus (character 191). *Aondelphis talen* further differs from *S. calvertensis*, and Platanistoidea sensu stricto (except *Otekaikea marplesi; unknown in Phocagenus venustus*) in the deep emargination of the neck muscle fossa in the zygomatic process of the squamosal (character 114).

*Aondelphis talen* differs from *cf. Papahu* ZMT-73 and Platanistoidea sensu stricto in the rounded profile of the

---

**Fig. 2.** Partial skull of the holotype of the platanistoid dolphin *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. (MPEF-PV 517) from the early Miocene Gaiman Formation, Bryn Gwyn, Patagonia, Argentina; in dorsal (A), ventral (B), posterior (C), and lateral (D) views. Continuous lines indicate sutures, whilst dashed lines indicate outlines of a particular structure. Hatched outlines show broken areas of the specimen. Photographs (A1–D1), photographs with explanations (A2–D2).
Fig. 3. The portion of basioccipital of the holotype of the platanistoid dolphin *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. (MPEF-PV 517) from the early Miocene Gaiman Formation, Bryn Gwyn, Patagonia, Argentina; in dorsal (A), ventral (B), and lateral (C) views. Dashed lines indicate specific structures. Photographs (A1–C1), photographs with explanations (A2–C2).

cochlear aqueduct (character 194); and in the absence of a subcircumferential fossa (character 152); from *cf. Papahu* ZMT-73, Squalodelphinidae and Platanistidae in the poorly defined ventromedial keel of the tympanic bulla (character 222).

*Aondelphis talen* differs from *Squalodon calvertensis*, *Awamokoa takarahi*, *Otekaikea*, *Notocetus vanbenedeni*, and Platanistidae in the absence of a fossa for articular rim of the periotic (character 287); from *S. calvertensis*, *Waipatia maerwhenua*, *A. takarahi*, *Squalodelphis fabianii*, and *N. vanbenedeni* in the distinctly shorter inner posterior prominence of the tympanic bulla with respect to the outer posterior prominence (character 219); from *S. calvertensis* and *N. vanbenedeni* in the smoothly deflected anterior process of the periotic (character 173). *Aondelphis talen* differs from *S. calvertensis*, *N. vanbenedeni* and Platanistidae in the shallow posterior portion of the periotic fossa (character 155).

*Aondelphis talen* differs from *S. calvertensis*, *Phocageneus venustus*, *N. vanbenedeni*, and Platanistidae in the presence of a posterodorsal edge of the stapedial muscle fossa in the periotic (character 184); and a long posterior process of the periotic (character 203). MPEF-PV 517 differs from *N. vanbenedeni* and *Zarhachis flagellator* in the open jugular notch (character 164). *Aondelphis talen* differs from Platanistidae in the triangular tympanosquamosal recess medial to the postglenoid process (character 148); and in the absence of an excavation of the tegmen tympani at the base of the anterior process (character 188).

Description.—Physical maturity and body size: Due to the fragmentary condition of this specimen, it is difficult to determine the age of MPEF-PV 517. The lack of punctate texture on the occipital condyles suggests that at least it is not a juvenile specimen (Aguirre-Fernandez and Fordyce 2014). Even though the zygomatic processes are incomplete, an estimated bizygomatic width was measured in order to infer the total length of this species. We applied the formula proposed by Pyenson and Sponberg (2011) for stem Platanistoidea: \[ \text{Log(L)} = 0.92 \times (\text{Log(BIZYG)} - 1.51) + 2.49. \]

The BIZYG for *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. is 21.55 cm, giving a reconstructed body length of 2.13 m. It is of similar size to *Waipatia maerwhenua* (BIZYG: 24.4 cm, total length 2.4 m; Fordyce 1994), *Huairidakos raimondii* (BIZYG: 20.7 cm, total length 2.05 m; Lambert et al. 2014) and adult males of *Platanista gangetica* (2.2 m; Jefferson et al. 2008). However, *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. is smaller than the remaining platanistoids, such as *Zarhachis flagellator* (BIZYG: 26.8 cm, total length 2.6 m; Kellogg 1924), *Squalodelphis fabianii* (BIZYG: 25.6 cm, total length 2.5 m; Dal Piaz 1917) and *Otekaikea marplesi* (BIZYG: 25.7 cm, total length 2.5 m; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014).

Exoccipital (Fig. 2): The occipital condyles are gently convex, with a smooth articular face and a short but clearly delimited pedicle that projects them posteriorly (greatest occipital breadth 91.98 mm). The ventral condyloid fossa is not clearly delimited. Ventrally, the paroccipital process is wide but eroded. There is a shallow fossa on the anterior face of this process, dorsal to the paroccipital process. In odontocetes, there are at least two bony correlates of the pterygoid sinus system in the exoccipital: one corresponds to the posterior sinus (in the anterovelar surface of the paroccipital process) and the other one to the posterolateral extension of the peribullary sinus (ventral surface of paroccipital process; Mead and Fordyce 2009). The identification of these bony correlates is confusing in the literature, as the posterior sinus fossa is variably developed (Fordyce 1994; Fraser and Purves 1960). Based on the anatomical location of the fossa observed in *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. on the anterior surface of the paroccipital process, it is tentatively identified as a posterolateral sinus fossa. Another interpretation is that the concavity on the ventral surface of the paroccipital process corresponds to the point of articulation with the stylohyal (Fraser and Purves 1960; Mead and Fordyce 2009; Marx et al. 2016). There is a large fissure, similar to what was described for *Otekaikea* (Tanaka and Fordyce 2014) and *Awamokoa* (Tanaka and Fordyce 2017) on the exoccipital-squamosal suture anterior to the latter fossa (Fig. 2B).

Basioccipital (Fig. 3): The basioccipital is ventrally trapezoidal (greatest length 85.3 mm), with no clear sutures with the basisphenoid. Dorsally, there is a distinct rounded pontine impression on the anterior portion of the basioccipital. Just posteroventrally, there are two dorsolateral projections followed by a deep elliptical fossa that is longer mediolaterally than dorsoventrally deep. They mark the anterior limit of the cerebellar lobe (sensu Anderson 1878). In ventral view, the basioccipital crest is transversely thick with a strong laterally projection on its posterior portion. Medially, the posterior portion of the basioccipital has a well-developed muscular tubercle for the insertion of the m. rectus capitis ventralis. The posteroventral margin of the basioccipital crest has a distinct and narrow depression, oriented dorsolaterally. A shallow depression on the lateral surface of the crest (Fig. 3F) indicates the probable medial extension of the peribullary sinus.
Parietal (Fig. 2B): There appears to be a small exposure of the parietal in ventral view, medial to the squamosal and posterior to the alisphenoid, at the basicranium, similar to what was described in Waipatia (Fordyce 1994; Tanaka and Fordyce 2015b), Otekaika (Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a), cf. Papahu ZMT-73 (Tanaka and Fordyce 2016) and Papahu taitapu (Aguirre-Fernández and Fordyce 2014). No distinctive features could be recognized.

Squamosal (Figs. 2, 4): Laterally, the short postglenoid process is robust and blunt, ventrally oriented. On the lateral surface of the zygomatic process (bizygomatic width: 215.5+ cm), dorsal to the external auditory meatus, there is a long circular rugose-surfaced neck muscle fossa (sensu Fordyce 1981); the posterior margin is formed by the exoccipital, like in cf. Papahu ZMT-73 (Tanaka and Fordyce 2016). Like this latter species, there is a small fossa (probably for the digastric muscle) on the lateral surface of the post-tympanic process. A sigmoidal notch dorsal to the external auditory meatus is more visible on the left side. The same condition occurs in cf. Papahu ZMT-73 (Tanaka and Fordyce 2016). The posterolateral portion of the temporal fossa (floor of temporal fossa or squamosal fossa sensu Lambert et al. 2015) is preserved in dorsal view and of small size.

In ventral view, the gelenoid fossa is shallow. The tympano-squamosal recess is wide and very deep, delimited laterally by a distinct crest and medially by the broken base of the falciform process. It is longer anteroposteriorly and narrow lateromedially, and extends posteriorly at about the postglenoid process. The surface presents multiple striae anterolaterally to posteromedially oriented, and there is a low but distinct anteroposteriorly oriented crest that divides the tympano-squamosal recess in two portions; the medial portion is the smallest. *Mesoplodon europaeus*, *M. mirus*, and *M. stejnegeri* show a similar condition. Posteriorly, there is a shallow but distinct oval-shaped sigmoid fossa (sensu Geisler et al. 2005). The spiny process is broken, but the base is oval-shaped and descends to the start of the falciform process. The falciform process is better preserved on the right side; it is very thin and presents a sigmoidal shape, with a distinct notch just anterior to the spiny process. The falciform process is ventrally oriented, skewing slightly medially. The squamosal-alisphenoid suture is not very clear.

The periotic, when in situ, lies posterior to the falciform process, medial to the external auditory meatus, anterior to the posterior sinus fossa and lateral to the foramen ovale. The periotic fossa is triangular-shaped, apparently formed only by the squamosal. The low supratubercular ridge, more distinct on the medial area of the fossa, divides it in shallow anterior and posterior portions. A circular foramen spinosum opens anteriorly, on the medial margin of the anterior portion of the periotic fossa, just lateral to the most posterior portion of the alisphenoid-squamosal suture. It resembles the condition found in Waipatia maerewhenua (Fordyce 1994). A distinct and wide path for the mandibular nerve (V3) is observed on the alisphenoid on the right side, which runs mediolaterally at an oblique angle. Unfortunately, the foramen ovale was not preserved. Posteromedial to the periotic fossa, there is a concave surface (Fig. 2B; fossa?) of unknown homology or function.

The external auditory meatus is long, slit-like and deep (similar to *Platanista gangetica*; Anderson 1878), slightly wider laterally and delimited by distinct anterior and posterior meatal crests. Posterior to the external auditory meatus is the post-tympanic process (better preserved on the right side), which provides an area of contact with the posterior process of the tympanic bulla. There is also a small fossa just medial to this process, here interpreted as the area of contact with the posterior process of the periotic. The post-tympanic process is shorter lateromedially than anteroposteriorly.

Basisphenoid (Fig. 3): Only a portion of this bone was preserved, including the large oval ventral carotid foramen on the lateral surface of the basioccipital crest. The foramen appears to have been covered by the peribullary sinus.
There is no visible suture with the basioccipital and thus, its extension cannot be inferred.

**Alisphenoid** (Fig. 2B): There is a small portion preserved in the basicranial region, lateral to the squamosal and anterior to the parietal. On the left side of the skull the groove for the mandibular nerve is preserved. The exposure of this bone is longer anteroposteriorly than lateromedially wide.

**Periotic** (Figs. 4–5): For description purposes, the isolated periotic was placed sitting in stable position on a flat surface with the internal acoustic meatus facing dorsally, to produce a dorsal view. The periotic has a short and wide anterior process, wider posterior process, a dorsoventrally inflated pars cochlearis and in dorsal view, the periotic has a crescentic outline. When in place on the skull, the anterior process is roughly parallel with the anteroposterior axis, whilst the posterior process is posterolaterally oriented.

---

**Fig. 5.** Left periotic of the holotype of the platanistoid dolphin *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. (MPEF-PV 517) from the early Miocene Gaiman Formation, Bryn Gwyn, Patagonia, Argentina; in ventral (**A**), medial (**B**), dorsal (**C**), lateral (**D**), anterior (**E**), and posterior (**F**) views. Dashed lines indicate specific structures. Photographs (**A1–F1**), photographs with explanations (**A2–F2**).
The anterior process of the periotic is anteroposteriorly short, with a narrow apex (similar to *Waipatia maerewhenua* and cf. *Papahu* ZMT-73) but wider at its base (Table 1). It is anteriorly oriented with a strongly concave anterodorsal angle and an oval-shaped anterior bullar facet. In ventral view, the sigmoidal parabullary sulcus (sensu Tanaka and Fordyce 2014) is deep and more elongated on its posterior portion. When articulated (Fig. 4), the parabullary sulcus is medial to the falciform process. Posterior to this is the shallow anteroexternal sulcus, which does not reach the dorsal crest. Between the anteroexternal sulcus and the lateral tuberosity is a small circular fossa (Fig. 5B2: fossa?) that might represent an area of contact of the sigmoid process of the tympanic bulla. The small and shallow anterior bullar facet has an elliptical outline with low but distinct margins. The fovea eptibaria is a rounded and deep depression placed between the malleal fossa and the anterior bullar facet. The malleal fossa is rounded and deep, medial to the rounded lateral tuberosity. The latter, though not markedly inflated, projects outside the outline of the periotic in dorsal view, like *Waipatia maerewhenua* (Fordyce 1994), *Otekaikea* (Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a), *Papahu taitapu* (Aguirre-Fernández and Fordyce 2014) and *Awamokoa tokarahi* (Tanaka and Fordyce 2017). When the periotic is articulated, the lateral tuberosity lies medial to the notch on the base of the falciform process of the squamosal.

In dorsal view, the body of the periotic has a vestige of a dorsal crest, that extends anteriorly up to the base of the anterior process; a concave surface (Fig. 5A2: concave surface) is medial to this crest and anterior to the anterior incisure. In *Otekaikea* and *Waipatia maerewhenua* the dorsal crest is more conspicuous (Fordyce 1994; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a). The anterior incisure (groove for the tensor tympani muscle) is a shallow and narrow sulcus between the anterior process and the pars cochlearis, extending posteriorly on the ventral surface towards the fenestra ovalis like in *W. maerewhenua* and *Otekaikea* (Fordyce 1994; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a). It also extends dorsally towards the dorsal crest. Posteriorly, there is a small hiatus Fallopii for the exit of the major petrosal nerve. The apex of the anterior process presents a marked groove, of unknown homology or function (Fig. 5A, C, E: groove on anterior process).

The pars cochlearis is dorsoventrally thin, longer anteroposteriorly than mediolaterally, with a rounded anterior margin and a straight posterior margin (Table 1). The internal acoustic meatus is piriform and wider posteriorly, and opens slightly anteriorly in dorsal view (Fig. 5C). It comprises four foramina, which open deeply: (i) the area cribosa media, (ii) the spiral cribiform tract, (iii) the foramen singulare and (iv) the proximal opening of the facial canal. The latter is separated from the ellipsoidal foramen singulare by a short but distinct transverse crest. The spiral cribiform tract is the largest of the four foramina and is separated from the foramen singulare by a thin and high crest (Fig. 5C2: crest), as also observed in *Otekaikea* (Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a). The large and subcircular aperture for the cochlear aqueduct (anteroposterior length 1.93 mm, width 2.36 mm) opens dorso-medially on the posterior portion of the pars cochlearis. The small and oval-shaped aperture for the vestibular aqueduct (anteroposterior length 1.37 mm, width 1.76 mm) is widely separated from the former. A shallow median promontorial groove is observed on the medial margin of the pars cochlearis. In posterior view, the fenestra rotunda is small and has a trapezoid-like outline, with a short fissure on its medial edge towards the aperture of the cochlear aqueduct. Ventrally, and medial to the prolonged stapedial muscle fossa, there is a concave surface (Fig. 5F2: fossa? 3) of unknown homology or function. There is no caudal tympanic process.

In ventral view, the round fenestra ovalis has some portion of the stapes preserved. Laterally, the rounded distal opening of the facial canal has a facial sulcus extending posteriorly on the medial margin of the posterior bullar facet. This sulcus is delimitated laterally by the facial crest, followed by the parafacial sulcus (sensu Tanaka and Fordyce 2016), a deep groove that widens posteriorly. The fossa incudis is shallow and elliptical. The deep fossa for the stapedial muscle has a rhomboidal outline, extending posteriorly on the dorsomedial surface of the posterior process. The wide epitympanic hiatus has a small and deep fossa (Fig. 5B2: fossa? 2) posteriorly, along the anterior margin of the posterior process. When in situ, this fossa housed the spiny process of the squamosal.

The posterior process has a rectangular outline (Table 1) and it is posterolaterally oriented. In ventral view, the posterior bullar facet has a smooth and wide surface, deeper anteromedially and with a few shallow grooves. On the lateral surface of this process, there are two deep grooves separated by a sharp crest; we infer that this area presumably articulated with the post-tympanic process of the squamosal (see above). Similar structures are present in one specimen of *Pomatodelphis cf. inequalis* (USNM 13768), referred to *Zarhachis cf. flagellator* by Muizon (1987: fig. 6, 14d), and in *Otekaikea huata* (Tanaka and Fordyce 2015a). Anteromedially, there is a minute posteroexternal foramen, more anteriorly located than in *Waipatia* (Fordyce 1994) and *Otekaikea* (Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a). No articular rim (sensu Muizon 1987) could be recognized and the transverse groove is almost indistinct.

The tympanic bulla (Fig. 6): For the purpose of description, the dorsal view is defined as the position of the tympanic bulla when the ventral face is sitting on a flat surface. In general, the tympanic bulla is wider posteriorly and narrower anteriorly in dorsal view, with a heart-shaped outline and a thin involucrum. It is incomplete, with two additional detached fragments.

In dorsal view, the anterior portion of the tympanic tapers slightly anteriorly, which could suggest the presence of an anterolateral convexity and notch but is not possible to ascertain its condition because this area was not completely preserved. The medial profile is straight and flattened in posterior view. The involucrum is nearly straight, narrower anteriorly and dorsoventrally short, with a marked depression approximately 6mm anterior to the base of the inner posterior prominence. The involucrum presents smooth
Fig. 6. Left tympanic bulla of the holotype of the platanistoid dolphin *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. (MPEF-PV 517) from the early Miocene Gaiman Formation, Bryn Gwyn, Patagonia, Argentina; in dorsal (A), medial (B), ventral (C), lateral (D), anterior (E), and posterior (F) views. Isolated fragments sigmoid process (G) and a portion of outer lip (H); in posterior (G1, H1) and anterior (G2, H2) views. Dashed lines indicate specific structures. Hatched outlines show broken areas of the specimen. Photographs (A1–F1), photographs with explanations (A2–F2, G, H).
transverse ridges on its dorsal surface (like in *Waipatia maerewhenua* and *Otekaikea marplesi*; Fordyce 1994; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014). Medially, a low but distinct crest marks the dorsal limit of the peribullary sinus (Fraser and Purves 1960; Mead and Fordyce 2009). In dorsal view, a small tubercle projects medially from the involucrum, but the expected ridge that would divide the tympanic cavity is absent. Anteriorly, the involucrum gently tapers into the tympanic cavity, which is relatively large.

In posterior view, the tympanic bulla is bilobed, with a deep and medially oriented interpromontal notch. The lateral margin of the tympanic bulla is slightly convex. Because the area is not properly preserved, no inferences can be made regarding the size and shape of the elliptical foramen. In ventral view, the inner posterior prominence is narrow mediolaterally and slightly longer dorsoventrally, whilst the outer posterior prominence is wider and projects further posteriorly (Table 1). There is no horizontal ridge between the two prominences. Ventrally, from the interpromontal notch, the median furrow extends anteriorly up to the center of the ventral surface of the tympanic bulla (Fig. 6B), with a sigmoid profile as seen in *Waipatia maerewhenua* (Fordyce 1994) and *Platanista gangetica* (Anderson 1878). Both the furrow and ventral surface are rugose. The ventral keel is almost indistinct.

In dorsal view, the posterior process is posterolaterally oriented (Table 1) and three articular surfaces may be recognized. Dorsally, the smooth surface for articulation with the posterior process of the periotic is the largest, delimited by two thin crests. Anterolaterally, there is a rugose and deep surface, possibly for articulation with the posterior malar crest of the squamosal. The most lateral portion of this process has a surface of articulation with the post-tympanic process of the squamosal. This contact is inferred due to erosion of the region.

There are two loose fragments of tympanic bulla (Fig. 6G, H). One fragment contains the region from the sigmoid process to the accessory ossicle (greatest length 27.08 mm; greatest width 9.45 mm). The sigmoid process, though broken, has a squared profile (greatest width 8.42 mm; greatest height 7.11 mm) and thick edges. Just anteriorly, is a short malleolar ridge (greatest length 3.45 mm), with the apex broken. The accessory ossicle is anteroposteriorly elongated and small (greatest length 5.98 mm; greatest width 4.43 mm). It has a minute oval-shaped foramen on its dorsal surface, and another one on its anterior surface. A small concave surface anterolaterally to the accessory ossicle could correspond to the most-dorsal part of the lateral furrow. The other fragment of tympanic bulla preserved appears to correspond to the outer lip, although no contact surface was found.

Fig. 7. Strict consensus trees of the phylogenetic analysis under equal weights (A), and implied weights with K = 3 (B) and K = 4 (C) obtained in the present study. Numbers above branches indicate Bremer support, whilst number below branches indicate jackknife support using p = 0.30 and 1000 pseudoreplicates. Delphinida sensu Geisler et al. (2011) were collapsed for ease of illustration.
Phylogenetic analysis

We have conducted two different parsimony analyses with equal weights and implied weights. Results of both analyses are reported and discussed below.

The analysis under equal weight resulted in 164 MPTs of 1834 steps (CI = 0.24, RI = 0.64; Fig. 7). The strict consensus showed Aondelphis talen gen. et sp. nov. forming a clade with cf. Papahu ZMT-73 (Tanaka and Fordyce 2016), supported by six unambiguous synapomorphies: deep emargination of posterior edge of zygomatic process by neck muscle fossa (character 114); slit-like external auditory meatus (character 157); abruptly ventrally deflected anterior process of periotic (character 173); pars cochlearis of the periotic dorsosventrally thin (character 193); posterior edge of inner posterior prominence of the tympanic bulla distinct anterior to the posterior edge of outer posterior prominence (character 219); dorsal margin of involucrum of tympanic bulla excavated at mid-length (character 220). Squalodon calvertensis was recovered as the sister taxon to a clade including Aondelphis talen + cf. Papahu ZMT-73 based on one unambiguous synapomorphy: poorly defined ventromedial keel of the tympanic bulla (character 222; except cf. Papahu ZMT-73 which presents a different state). Additional ambiguous synapomorphies supporting this clade were: small contact area between the anterior process of periotic and squamosal (character 178); tubular fundus of the internal acoustic meatus of periotic (character 189); and narrow and long tympanic bulla (character 208). Even though none of these nodes have high support (Fig. 7), their synapomorphies (see above) are related to the carbones, which are highly phylogenetically informative bones (Fraser and Purves 1960; Kasuya 1973) and thus, we are confident in our interpretations. Platanistoidea sensu stricto (Awamokoa + Otekaieka + Waipatiidae + “Squalodelphinidae” + Platanistidae) appeared as the sister lineage to other crown Odontoceti, based on the following six ambiguous synapomorphies: wide premaxillae at the base of rostrum (character 53, except in Zarhachis flagellator and unknown in Waipatia hectori, Awamokoa tokarahi, Otekaieka huata, OU 22670, Phocageneus venustus, and Platanista gangetica); alisphenoid-squamosal suture along groove for mandibular nerve or just posterior to it (character 151, unknown in Waipatia, Awamokoa tokarahi, OU 22670, “Squalodelphinidae”, Pomatodelphis inaequalis and Z. flagellator); sigmoidal profile of periotic (character 172, unknown in W. hectori, OU 22670, and Squalodelphis fabianii); anteroposterior ridge developed on the anterior process and body of periotic (character 174, unknown in W. hectori, OU 22670, and S. fabianii); sub-rectangular aperture for cochlear aqueduct (character 194, except P. venustus and Notocetus vanbenedeni and unknown in W. hectori, OU 22670, S. fabianii, P. inaequalis, and Z. flagellator); and small articular rim (character 196, except Platanistidae and unknown in W. hectori, OU 22670, and S. fabianii). The internal relationships of Platanistoidea sensu stricto were resolved, with two major clades: one including Waipatia + Awamokoa + Otekaieka, and another with OU 22670 + “Squalodelphinidae” + Platanistidae. Some relationships within crown Odontoceti were not fully resolved in the present analysis (see SOM 3), and we believe this could be related to the different settings we used for the phylogenetic analysis (no molecular constraint with respect to Tanaka and Fordyce 2016) and also might be related to the matrix modifications conducted here (see SOM 2 and 8 for more details). A modern and thorough revision of the character and codings of some delphinoid taxa is needed but beyond the scope of the present contribution.

For the analysis under implied weights, we only discuss here the MPTs of K = 3, K = 4, and K = 9 as their topology is different between themselves and from the strict consensus of the analysis under equal weights.

With K = 3, we recovered three MPTs (fit = 136.9, 1880 steps; Fig. 7; SOM 4). In the strict consensus, Aondelphis talen gen. et sp. nov. formed a basal clade with cf. Papahu ZMT-73 and sister to Squalodon calvertensis + Platanistoidea sensu stricto. However, relationships within “Squalodelphinidae” were not resolved in this analysis. Aondelphis talen + cf. Papahu ZMT-73 clade was supported by four of the six unambiguous synapomorphies: enlarged tympanosquamosal recess (character 148, except Platanistidae and unknown in Awamokoa tokarahi, OU 22670 and Phocageneus venustus); weakly curved parabullary sulcus of the periotic (character 176, except in Otekaieka, Waipatia maerewhenua, and Zarhachis flagellator, and unknown in Waipatia hectori, Platanista gangetica, Pomatodelphis inaequalis, OU 22670, P. venustus, and Squalodelphis fabianii); and poorly defined ventromedial keel of the tympanic bulla (character 222, except in cf. Papahu ZMT-73, Platanistidae and “Squalodelphinidae”, and unknown in Otekaieka huata, W. hectori, and OU 22670). Physteroidae + Ziphiidae appeared as the earliest diverging clade of crown Odontoceti, sister to Platanistoidea + the remaining odontocetes.

With K = 4, the analysis recovered one tree (fit = 122.87, 1868 steps; Fig. 7; SOM 5) with ZMT-73 as the earliest diverging Platanistoidea sensu lato followed by Aondelphis talen gen. et sp. nov. as the sister taxon to the Platanistoidea sensu stricto clade. The position of Aondelphis talen was supported only by one synapomorphy: developed anteroposterior ridge on anterior process and body of periotic (character 174). Unlike the analyses above, Squalodon calvertensis was placed as the most basal Platanistoidea sensu lato, sister to the clade including the remaining platanistoids (cf. Papahu ZMT-73 + Aondelphis talen + Platanistoidea sensu stricto). The latter clade was supported by four ambiguous synapomorphies: deep emargination of the posterior edge of zygomatic process by the neck muscle fossa (character 114, except in Otekaieka huata, Awamokoa tokarahi, Waipatia, OU 22670, Squalodelphis fabianii, Notocetus vanbenedeni, and Platanistidae and unknown in Phocageneus venustus); shallow posterior portion of periotic fossa (character 155, ex-
cept in *N. vanbenedeni* and Platanistidae, and unknown in *Awamokoa tokarahi*, OU 22670, and *P. venustus*); abruptly ventrally deflected anterior process of periotic (character 173, except in *N. vanbenedeni* and unknown in *Waipatia hectori*, OU 22670, *S. fabiani*, *P. venustus*, and Platanistidae); and dorsosentrally thin pars cochlearis of the periotic (character 193, except in *Awamokoa tokarahi* and *Waipatia maerewhenua* and unknown in *Waipatia hectori*, OU 22670, *S. fabiani*, *Pomatodelphis inaequalis*, and *Zarachias flagellator*).

With $K = 9$, weighting more against homoplasy in the matrix, the implied weights analysis recovered one tree (fit = 82.90, 1841 steps; Fig. 7). The phylogenetic position of *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov., cf. *Papahu* ZMT-73, *Squalodon calvertensis* and Platanistoida sensu stricto was the same as with equal weights (see SOM 6). The synapomorphies for the clades of interest were the same as the analysis under equal weights.

Even though analyses under implied weights seem to deal better with homoplasy for morphological data sets (Goloboff et al. 2008, 2017), our discussion was based on the equal weights phylogenetic hypothesis. This is because the implied weights method has been criticized by having some inconsistencies and lack of accuracy (Congreve and Lamsdell 2016; Puttick et al. 2017).

Discussion

Phylogenetic relationships.—The superfamily Platanistoidea sensu Muizon (1987) has always been a problematic group from a phylogenetic point of view, as the majority of its members are extinct and there is only one extant representative, *Platanista gangetica*. Recent morphological and phylogenetic studies (e.g., Barnes 2006; Barnes et al. 2010; Bianucci et al. 2014; Lambert et al. 2014; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a, b, 2016, 2017; Boersma and Pyenson 2016; Kimura and Barnes 2016, 2017; Boersma et al. 2017) have improved our knowledge of the group and understanding of their evolutionary history. In Patagonia, the fossil record of platanistoids is well represented, but some taxa are poorly known and require up-to-date revisions (i.e., *Notocetus* and *Phoberodon*). In this paper, we focus on reporting a new genus and species of an early Miocene platanistoid from Patagonia, which expands our knowledge about the diversity of this group during a critical time in its evolution.

Our phylogenetic analyses consistently showed Platanistoidea as a monophyletic clade (Fig. 7) but with some differences in relationships among species compared to previous analysis. In accordance to Tanaka and Fordyce (2014, 2015a, b, 2017), but in contrast to Lambert et al. (2014) and Boersma and Pyenson (2016), we recovered a Platanistoidea clade sensu stricto including *Waipatia* + *Awamokoa tokarahi* + *Otekaika* + OU 22670 + *Squalodelphinidae* + Platanistidae. Also, our results showed Squalodontidae as a sister lineage to Platanistoidea sensu stricto, different from previous analyses by Barnes (2006), Barnes et al. (2010), Tanaka and Fordyce (2016), and Lambert et al. (2017). Our results also corroborated two of the three synapomorphies for Platanistoidea obtained by Tanaka and Fordyce (2014) under equal weights, with the addition of four new synapomorphies, and only one of the synapomorphies reported by Tanaka and Fordyce (2015b) under implied weights. Platanistoidea according to Boersma and Pyenson (2016) was supported by seven synapomorphies; three of which were also recovered in the present analysis (character 154, 171, and 172). Similar to previous studies (e.g., Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a, b, 2016, 2017; Boersma and Pyenson 2016; Lambert et al. 2017), we also recovered a paraphyletic “Squalodelphinidae” group (sensu Muizon 1987), with the earliest diverging *Squalodelphis fabiani* sister to the clade formed by *Notocetus vanbenedeni* + *Phocageneus venustus*. However, these results were in contrast with the analyses by Lambert et al. (2014) and Boersma et al. (2017), which showed Squalodelphinidae as a monophyletic clade, yet with unresolved relationships. Currently, *N. vanbenedeni* is the focus of a comprehensive revision by one of the authors (MV), which might help to resolve the relationships among this family. In contrast to Boersma et al. (2017), but in agreement with Tanaka and Fordyce (2014, 2015a, b, 2016, 2017), we recovered a monophyletic clade comprising *Waipatia + Otekaika*. The species in the family Platanistidae were the same than in previous analyses (Barnes 2006; Barnes et al. 2010; Bianucci et al. 2014; Lambert et al. 2014, 2017; Tanaka and Fordyce 2014, 2015a, b, 2016, 2017; Boersma and Pyenson 2016; Kimura and Barnes 2016, 2017). The differences observed might be related to the different datasets used in the previous analyses, the incorporation of new taxa, as well as matrix modifications we have introduced. Descriptions of new and historical taxa and further phylogenetic analyses using the same morphological matrix will help improve the characters used. This may help understand the relationships among the members of the superfamily. For example, Boersma and Pyenson (2016) and Boersma et al. (2017) recovered Platanistoidea including the family Allodelphinidae, which was not included in our original matrix. In addition, the homology of some characters (see below) needs a careful revision and consideration in future phylogenetic analyses of Platanistoidea.

Both phylogenetic analyses consistently recovered *Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. and *Papahu* ZMT-73 in a basal position within Platanistoidea sensu lato (except in implied weights under $K = 3$) either forming a clade or as successive branches. Both species share a combination of synapomorphic characters, such as a deep emargination of the neck muscle fossa, slit-like external acoustic meatus, anteroposteriorly wide squared-off postglenoid process, dorsoventrally thin pars cochlearis, abruptly ventrally deflected anterior process of periotic and a shorter inner posterior prominence than outer posterior prominence of the tympanic bulla. *Aondelphis talen* also exhibits some derived characters in the morphology of the earbones, such as a small contact of the anterior process of periotic with squamosal, developed anteroposterior ridge on anterior process and body...
of periotic, tubular fundus and circular outline of internal acoustic meatus of periotic and poorly defined ventromedial keel of the tympanic bulla. *Aondelphis talen* + cf. *Papahu* ZMT-73 also exhibit a combination of some plesiomorphic characters, which also supported their basal position within Platanistoidea, such as: the absence of a lateral groove affecting profile of the periotic and a tear-drop fenestra rotunda. We observed unambiguous synapomorphies in the ear bones of *A. talen*, structures that have a strong phylogenetic signal in cetaceans (e.g., Kasuya 1973; Ekdale et al. 2011; Tsai and Fordyce 2016). In addition, *A. talen* was consistently observed in a basal position among platanistoids in all the different analyses performed in this work.

*Aondelphis talen* gen. et sp. nov. is markedly different to the other well-known platanistoid from the early Miocene of Patagonia, *Notocetus vanbenedeni*. These differences include: an abruptly deflected anterior process of the periotic; dorsally convex dorsal surface of periotic; presence of a posterdorsal edge of the stapedral muscle fossa; absence of an articular rim; narrow angle between the posterior process of periotic and long axis of pars cochlearis; long posterior process of periotic; median furrow reaching beyond the lateral furrow of the bulla; shorter inner posterior prominence of bulla; excavated dorsal margin of involucrum; and absence of a ridge inside of the bulla. Unfortunately, no ear bones of *Phoberodon* are available for comparison.

*Aondelphis talen* adds to the diversity of platanistoids in Patagonia during the early Miocene, demonstrating the coexistence of at least two different morphotypes: one characterized by a more plesiomorphic morphology (i.e., *Aondelphis*), and another one with a more derived *Platanista*-like morphology (i.e., *Notocetus*). Similar patterns were also observed in platanistoids from Peru (e.g., Lambert et al. 2014, 2017). These suggest that during the early Miocene platanistoids were morphologically diverse and may have occupied different ecological niches. Finally, a close relationship between *A. talen* and another early Miocene platanistoid from New Zealand (ZMT-73) implies a rapid diversification and spread distribution of the group in the Southern Hemisphere during a period of only 10 Ma.

**Parabullary sulcus.**—The parabullary sulcus was defined recently by Tanaka and Fordyce (2014) as a sulcus on the anterior process of the periotic, associated with the anterolateral sulcus. This sulcus has been proposed by Tanaka and Fordyce (2014) as a characteristic of platanistoids, although not shown as a synapomorphy in their phylogenetic analysis. In contrast, Boersma and Pyenson (2016) recovered the parabullary sulcus as one of the ambiguous synapomorphies in their definition of Platanistoidea. Here, the presence of a parabullary sulcus (character 176) was recovered as an ambiguous synapomorphy of Platanistoidea sensu lato under implied weights analysis (K = 3). As mentioned by Tanaka and Fordyce (2017), other taxa such as *Papahu taitapu* (Aguirre-Fernández and Fordyce 2014) and some eurhinodelphinids (e.g., Lambert 2005) also present this feature. When looking at the evolutionary trend of this character, the parabullary sulcus appeared no earlier than the Platanistoidea sensu lato node, reversed to an absent state in *Platanista gangetica* and also in the Delphinida clade. Since some authors have proposed Eurhinodelphinidae as closely related to the Squalodontidae (e.g., Barnes et al. 1985) or even Platanistoidea (Muizon 1991), a thorough revision of eurhinodelphinids should test if the parabullary sulcus is a potential synapomorphy of Platanistoidea or a convergent feature among early-diverging odontocetes.

**Suprameatal pit and fossa for the articular rim.**—Muizon (1987: 5) observed a fossa in the squamosal of *Notocetus* that encloses the hook-like articular process of the periotic, located “at the base of the post-meatal process (= post-tympanic process)”. This author did not formally name this structure (see below), but also described a structure that was named as subcircular fossa, located “dorsal to the spiny process and above the periotic” and stated that “the function of this structure has not been elucidated, although it could represent a simple extension of the peribullary sinus which partially surrounds the periotic and the tympanic”.

On the other hand, Geisler and Sanders (2003: 50) mentioned that: “The feature herein termed the suprameatal pit of the squamosal was briefly mentioned by Muizon (1987) as the fossa that receives the hook-like articular process of the petrosal in *Platanista* and *Zarhuchis*. The pit probably houses an expansion of the peribullary sinus because (1) it is much larger than the hook-like articular process, and (2) some taxa that do not have the articular process do have a suprameatal pit (e.g., *Xenorophus*). The suprameatal pit occurs immediately dorsolateral to the spiny process of the squamosal and ventrolateral to the subcircular fossa of the squamosal”.

In this sense, Geisler and Sanders (2003) definition of the suprameatal pit, its location and function partially overlaps the anatomical location and function of the two fossae that Muizon (1987) described. Also, the subcircular fossa (= suprameatal pit) lies in a different position, being anatomically impossible to house the articular process of the periotic, as the latter is located on the dorsal surface of the posterior process of the periotic, close to the post-tympanic process of the squamosal. The spiny process, on the other hand, is located anterior to the periotic fossa, posterior to the falciform process and medial to the external acoustic meatus. In conclusion, in the present study, the subcircular fossa was defined as the fossa located dorsolateral to the spiny process of the squamosal and medial to the external auditory meatus, thus the term suprameatal pit should be avoided.

In our study, the subcircular fossa (character 153) appeared to have evolved independently in several clades, such as cf. *Papahu* ZMT-73, Platanistoidea sensu stricto, *Papahu taitapu*, *Physeter catodon*, some ziphiids, some inidoids; it is also variably developed in some species of Delphinoidae (see matrix in SOM 8). These results are in contrast with the suggestion of Muizon (1994) that the presence of a subcircular fossa is a synapomorphy of Squalodelphinidae +
More studies on the anatomy and evolutionary history of echolocation in odontocetes will help elucidate their acoustic abilities.

Conclusions

A new Platanistoid species Aondelphis talen gen. et sp. nov. from the early Miocene of Patagonia is presented here, following a thorough anatomical description. The phylogenetic analysis recovered A. talen as closely related to the unnamed specimen cf. Papahu ZMT-73 from the early Miocene of New Zealand, in a basal clade sister to Platanistoidea sensu stricto. Aondelphis talen exhibits some plesiomorphic characters that support its basal position within Platanistoidea sensu lato, but also some derived characters, especially in the morphology of the ear bones. A new character (fossa for the articular rim) was proposed in the phylogenetic analysis and some modifications of some other key characters were also discussed. This new taxon increases the diversity of this superfamily in Patagonia, and suggests the coexistence of at least two different morphotypes that might have occupied different ecological niches. The close relationship of platanistoids from Patagonia and New Zealand indicates diversification and spread distribution of the group during the early Miocene in the Southern Hemisphere. Future research will improve our knowledge on the morphology of other important and poorly known platanistoids from Patagonia (i.e., Notocetus and Phoberodon). This will shed light on the evolution of platanistoids and help us understand Miocene cetacean assemblages in Patagonia.
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